

# On the complexification of the classical geometries and exceptional numbers

April 1995

## 0. Introduction

The classical groups  $O(n; \mathbf{R})$ ,  $Sp(2n; \mathbf{R})$ , and  $GL(n; \mathbf{C})$  appear as the isometry groups of special geometries on a real vector space. In fact, the orthogonal group  $O(n; \mathbf{R})$  represents the linear isomorphisms of a real vector space  $V$  (of dimension  $n$ ) leaving invariant a positive definite and symmetric bilinear form  $g$  on  $V$ . The symplectic group  $Sp(2n; \mathbf{R})$  represents the isometry group of a real vector space (of dimension  $2n$ ) leaving invariant a nondegenerate skew-symmetric bilinear form  $\omega$  on  $V$ . Finally,  $GL(n; \mathbf{C})$  represents the linear isomorphisms of a real vector space  $V$  (of dimension  $2n$ ) leaving invariant a complex structure on  $V$ , i.e., an endomorphism  $J: V \rightarrow V$  satisfying  $J^2 = -1$ . These three geometries  $O(2n; \mathbf{R})$ ,  $Sp(2n; \mathbf{R})$ , and  $GL(n; \mathbf{C})$  in  $GL(2n; \mathbf{R})$  intersect (even pairwise) in the unitary group  $U(n; \mathbf{C})$ .

Considering now the relative versions of these geometries on a real manifold (of dimension  $2n$ ) leads to the notions of a Riemannian manifold, an almost-symplectic manifold, and an almost-complex manifold. A symplectic manifold however is an almost-symplectic manifold  $(X, \omega)$ , i.e.,  $X$  is a manifold and  $\omega$  is a nondegenerate 2-form on  $X$ , so that the 2-form is closed. Similarly an almost-complex manifold  $(X, J)$  is called complex, if the torsion tensor  $N(J)$  vanishes. These three geometries “intersect” in the notion of a Kähler manifold.

In view of the importance of the complexification of the real Lie groups—for instance in the structure theory and representation theory of semi-simple real Lie groups—we consider here the question on the underlying geometrical structures on a complex vector space (of dimension  $2n$ ) giving rise to isometry groups which are the Lie theoretic complexifications of the classical groups. While the procedure is quite clear for the orthogonal group  $O(n; \mathbf{C}) = O(n; \mathbf{R})^c$  and the symplectic group  $Sp(2n; \mathbf{C}) = Sp(2n; \mathbf{R})^c$ , it turns out that the so-called exceptional numbers  $\mathbf{E}$  (cf. [Wildberger]) are quite useful to describe the complexifications of  $GL(n; \mathbf{C}) \subseteq GL(2n; \mathbf{R})$  and accordingly of  $U(n; \mathbf{C})$ . In fact one finds that

$$GL(n; \mathbf{E}) \cong GL(n; \mathbf{C})^c = GL(n; \mathbf{C}) \times GL(n; \mathbf{C})$$

and

$$U(n; \mathbf{E}) \cong U(n; \mathbf{C})^c = GL(n; \mathbf{C}).$$

After clarifying this task of so to say elementary linear algebra over the exceptional numbers, we come to the relative notions of these groups over a given complex manifold. The corresponding notions are called a euclidean, symplectic or exceptional structure on a complex manifold (of dimension  $2n$ ). They “intersect” in the notion of a Kähler manifold. The question of existence of such structures are briefly discussed. The main point however is the final example. Consider a semi-simple complex Lie algebra  $L$  and let  $a \in L$  be a semi-simple element. Denote further by  $G = \text{Int}(L) \subseteq GL(L)$  the adjoint group of  $L$ . It is shown that the adjoint orbit  $G(a) \subseteq L$  carries the structure of a Kähler manifold.

## 1. Elementary theory of exceptional vector spaces

**(1.1) Euclidean structures.** Consider a complex vector space  $V$  of dimension  $n$ . A *euclidean structure* on  $V$  is a symmetric and nondegenerate bilinear form  $g: V \times V \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ .

**Proposition 1.** *If  $g$  is a euclidean structure on  $V$ , there exists an orthonormal basis  $(v_1, \dots, v_n)$  on  $V$ , i.e.,  $g(v_\mu, v_\nu) = \delta_{\mu\nu}$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $g \neq 0$  we can choose a vector  $v \in V$  so that  $g(v, v) \neq 0$ . By rescaling  $v$ —observe that  $z \mapsto z^2$  is surjective on  $\mathbf{C}$ —we may assume that  $g(v, v) = 1$ . The orthogonal complement  $W := v^\perp = \{w \in V \mid g(v, w) = 0\}$  is a euclidean subspace of  $V$ , i.e., the restriction of  $g$  to  $W \times W$  remains nondegenerate. In fact, if  $w \in W$  and suppose that  $W \subseteq w^\perp$ , then, since  $v \in w^\perp$ , one finds that  $V \subseteq w^\perp$  implying  $w = 0$ , since  $g$  is nondegenerate on  $V$ . Now by induction on the dimension the result follows.

**Remark.** Recall that the rescaling argument does not apply in the real, i.e., in the classical case. In fact, in this case, a nondegenerate bilinear form has an additional invariant: its index, that is the dimension of a maximal subspace of  $V$  on which  $g$  is positive definite.

Let  $V = \mathbf{C}^n$  and define the *standard euclidean structure* by

$$g(z, w) = \sum_{\nu=1}^n z_\nu w_\nu.$$

Then any euclidean vector space is equivalent to  $(\mathbf{C}^n, g)$ . In particular, the complex orthogonal group

$$\mathrm{O}(n; \mathbf{C}) = \{A \in \mathrm{GL}(n; \mathbf{C}) \mid A^t A = 1\}$$

is—up to isomorphy—the isometry group of such a structure.

**(1.2) Exceptional structures.** Consider a complex vector space  $V$  of finite dimension and an endomorphism  $J: V \rightarrow V$  satisfying  $J^2 = -1$ . Since the minimal polynomial of  $J$  divides  $X^2 + 1 = (X - i)(X + i)$ ,  $J$  is necessarily semi-simple, i.e.,  $V$  splits into the  $\pm i$ -eigenspaces,

$$V = \mathrm{Eig}(J, i) + \mathrm{Eig}(J, -i).$$

We call  $J$  an *exceptional structure* on  $V$ , if the multiplicities of the  $+i$ - and  $-i$ -eigenspace of  $J$  are equal,

$$\dim \mathrm{Eig}(J, i) = \dim \mathrm{Eig}(J, -i).$$

**Proposition 2.** *Let  $J$  be an exceptional structure on a finite dimensional complex vector space  $V$ . Then  $V$  is even dimensional, say of dimension  $2n$ , and there exists a basis  $(v_1, \dots, v_n, w_1, \dots, w_n)$  of  $V$  such that the matrix of  $J$  with respect to this basis is*

$$I := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1_n \\ 1_n & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $(\tilde{v}_1, \dots, \tilde{v}_n, \tilde{w}_1, \dots, \tilde{w}_n)$  be a basis such that the corresponding matrix of  $J$  is  $\begin{pmatrix} i1_n & 0 \\ 0 & -i1_n \end{pmatrix}$ . Then the basis  $v_\nu := \tilde{v}_\nu + \tilde{w}_\nu$ ,  $w_\nu := \tilde{v}_\nu - \tilde{w}_\nu$  satisfies the assertion.

Recall the basic construction of the exceptional numbers (see [Wildberger]). Here  $\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{C}$  together with its vectorspace structure over  $\mathbf{C}$  and its multiplicative structure resulting from  $j^2 := -1$ , where  $j := (0, 1) \in \mathbf{E}$ . The null numbers were defined as the set of noninvertible elements  $\alpha \in \mathbf{E}$ , i.e.,  $\alpha = z e_+$  with  $z \in \mathbf{C}$  or  $\alpha = z e_-$  with  $z \in \mathbf{C}$ . Here

$$e_+ := \frac{1}{2}(1 - ij), \quad e_- := \frac{1}{2}(1 + ij).$$

The  $\mathbf{C}$ -algebra  $\mathbf{E}$  is isomorphic to the direct product (of  $\mathbf{C}$ -algebras) of  $\mathbf{C}$  with itself via

$$\mathbf{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbf{E}, \quad (\alpha^+, \alpha^-) \mapsto \alpha^+ e_+ + \alpha^- e_-,$$

since  $e_+^2 = e_+$ ,  $e_-^2 = e_-$ , and  $e_+e_- = 0$ , as one verifies immediately. Observe furthermore that multiplication by  $j \in \mathbf{E}$  gives  $\mathbf{E}$  its canonical exceptional structure, since

$$je_+ = ie_+, \quad je_- = -ie_-.$$

Now we can identify our complex vector space (of dimension  $2n$ ) with the  $\mathbf{E}$ -modul  $\mathbf{E}^n$  in the obvious way. Set for  $z, w \in \mathbf{C}$  and  $v \in V$

$$(z + jw) \cdot v := zv + wJ(v).$$

Then it follows immediately that  $V \cong \mathbf{E}^n$ .

**Remark.** Recall that in the real case a complex structure on a real vector space is defined only by an endomorphism  $J: V \rightarrow V$  with  $J^2 = -1$  satisfying no additional properties on its eigenvalue multiplicities. Denoting by  $V^c$  the complexification of  $V$ , i.e.,  $V^c = V \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{C}$ , and by  $J^c: V^c \rightarrow V^c$  the complexification of  $J$ , i.e., its unique complex linear extension from  $V$  to  $V^c$ , then the eigenvalue multiplicities of  $J^c$  are automatically equal. In fact, the complex conjugation  $\text{conj}: z \mapsto \bar{z}$  on  $\mathbf{C}$  gives rise to an  $\mathbf{R}$ -linear map  $\text{bar} := 1 \otimes \text{conj}: V^c \rightarrow V^c$ , which is an isomorphism. Since  $\text{bar}(\text{Eig}(J^c, i)) = \text{Eig}(J^c, -i)$ , the multiplicities of  $+i$  and  $-i$  coincide.

Let us briefly look at the isometries of  $\mathbf{C}^{2n}$  concerning the *standard exceptional structure*  $J: \mathbf{C}^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{2n}$  given by the matrix

$$I = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

with respect to the canonical basis of  $\mathbf{C}^{2n}$ ,

$$\text{GL}(n; \mathbf{E}) := \{A \in \text{GL}(2n; \mathbf{C}) \mid AI = IA\}.$$

Looking at  $A \in \text{GL}(n; \mathbf{E})$  as an  $\mathbf{E}$ -linear endomorphism  $F$  of  $\mathbf{E}^n$  and representing it with respect to the null basis  $(e_+, e_-)$  in each factor  $\mathbf{E}$  shows that the matrix of  $F$  has to commute with  $\begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{pmatrix}$ , i.e., it has block form  $\begin{pmatrix} A_+ & 0 \\ 0 & A_- \end{pmatrix}$ , with  $A_+, A_- \in \text{GL}(n; \mathbf{C})$ . This shows that

$$\text{GL}(n; \mathbf{E}) \cong \text{GL}(n; \mathbf{C}) \times \text{GL}(n; \mathbf{C}).$$

**(1.3) Symplectic structures.** Consider a complex vector space  $V$  of finite dimension. A *symplectic structure* on  $V$  is a nondegenerate and skew-symmetric bilinear form  $\omega: V \times V \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ .

**Proposition 3.** *Let  $\omega$  be a symplectic structure on  $V$ . Then  $V$  is necessarily even dimensional—say of dimension  $2n$ —and there exists a symplectic basis  $(v_1, \dots, w_n)$  of  $V$ , i.e.,  $\omega(v_\mu, v_\nu) = \omega(w_\mu, w_\nu) = 0$  and  $\omega(v_\mu, w_\nu) = \delta_{\mu\nu}$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\omega \neq 0$  there exist vectors  $v, w \in V$  so that  $\omega(v, w) \neq 0$ . One can assume that  $\omega(v, w) = 1$ . Let  $H := \text{span}(v, w)$ . Now considering the symplectic complement  $H^\perp = \{x \in V \mid \omega(x, v) = \omega(x, w) = 0\}$ , we see that  $V = H + H^\perp$  is direct sum decomposition of symplectic vector spaces. In fact,  $H$  is obviously a symplectic subspace (i.e.,  $\omega|_{H \times H}$  is nondegenerate) implying  $H \cap H^\perp = 0$  and therefore  $V = H + H^\perp$  as vector spaces for dimension reasons, and finally  $H^\perp$  is also symplectic. Indeed, if  $x \in H^\perp$  and  $H^\perp \subseteq x^\perp$ , then, since  $H \subseteq x^\perp$ , we find that  $V \subseteq x^\perp$  implying  $x = 0$ . Now by induction the proposition follows.

The *standard symplectic structure* on  $\mathbf{C}^{2n}$  is given by

$$\omega((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) = g(x_1, y_2) - g(x_2, y_1),$$

where  $g$  is the standard euclidean structure on  $\mathbf{C}^n$ . The complex symmetric group is

$$\text{Sp}(2n; \mathbf{C}) = \{A \in \text{GL}(2n; \mathbf{C}) \mid A^t I A = I\},$$

and the proposition shows that the isometry group of a complex symplectic vector space of dimension  $2n$  is isomorphic to  $\mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbf{C})$ .

**(1.4) The unitary group over the exceptional numbers.** Now we want to compute the pairwise intersection of the complex groups  $\mathrm{O}(2n; \mathbf{C})$ ,  $\mathrm{GL}(n; \mathbf{E})$ , and  $\mathrm{Sp}(2n; \mathbf{C})$  in  $\mathrm{GL}(2n; \mathbf{C})$ . To that end consider the free  $\mathbf{E}$ -modul  $\mathbf{E}^n$ . Denote by  $\bar{\cdot}: \mathbf{E} \rightarrow \mathbf{E}$  the complex linear conjugation  $z + jw \mapsto z - jw$  on  $\mathbf{E}$ . Observe that  $\bar{e}_+ = e_-$  and  $\bar{e}_- = e_+$ . The *canonical hermitean form*  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle: \mathbf{E}^n \times \mathbf{E}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{E}$  is defined by

$$\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle := \sum_{\nu=1}^n \bar{\alpha}_\nu \beta_\nu.$$

Decomposition in complex and fictitious part yields the equation

$$\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle = g(\alpha, \beta) + j\omega(\alpha, \beta),$$

where  $g$  and  $\omega$  are the standard euclidean and symplectic structures on  $\mathbf{E}^n = \mathbf{C}^{2n}$ . A hermitean form  $h$  is by definition a sesquilinear form on  $V = \mathbf{E}^n$ , i.e., a  $\mathbf{C}$ -bilinear form satisfying  $h(v, \alpha w) = \alpha h(v, w)$  and  $h(w, v) = \overline{h(v, w)}$  for all  $\alpha \in \mathbf{E}$  and  $v, w \in V$ . According to the standard  $\mathbf{C}$ -basis  $(e_1, \dots, e_n, je_1, \dots, je_n)$  of  $\mathbf{C}^{2n} = \mathbf{E}^n$  the matrices of  $g$ ,  $J$ , and  $\omega$  are

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

respectively. On the other hand, the matrices according to the null basis  $((e_+)_1, \dots, (e_+)_n, (e_-)_1, \dots, (e_-)_n)$  is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & i \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{i}{2} \\ -\frac{i}{2} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Define now by  $\mathrm{U}(n; \mathbf{E})$  the complex-linear transformations of  $\mathbf{C}^{2n} = \mathbf{E}^n$  respecting the standard hermitean form on  $\mathbf{E}^n$ , i.e.,

$$\mathrm{U}(n; \mathbf{E}) = \{A \in \mathrm{GL}(n; \mathbf{E}) \mid \bar{A}^t A = 1\}.$$

In fact, such a transformation is necessary  $\mathbf{E}$ -linear, i.e, the matrix  $A$  commutes with  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = I$ . Since  $A \in \mathrm{O}(2n; \mathbf{C})$ , we have  $A^t = A^{-1}$  and since  $A \in \mathrm{Sp}(2n; \mathbf{C})$ , we find  $A^t I A = I$ , i.e.,  $I A = (A^t)^{-1} I = A I$ . We have now seen that  $\mathrm{U}(n; \mathbf{E}) \subseteq \mathrm{Sp}(2n; \mathbf{C}) \cap \mathrm{GL}(n; \mathbf{E}) \cap \mathrm{O}(2n; \mathbf{C})$ . On the other hand the equations

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle = g + j\omega, \quad g(\alpha, \beta) = \omega(\alpha, J\beta)$$

show that  $\mathrm{Sp}(2n; \mathbf{C}) \cap \mathrm{GL}(n; \mathbf{E}) = \mathrm{O}(2n; \mathbf{C}) \cap \mathrm{GL}(n; \mathbf{E}) = \mathrm{Sp}(2n; \mathbf{C}) \cap \mathrm{O}(n; \mathbf{C}) \subseteq \mathrm{U}(n; \mathbf{E})$ . The three complex geometries on  $\mathbf{C}^{2n}$  intersect in the exceptional unitary group  $\mathrm{U}(n; \mathbf{E})$ .

To identify  $\mathrm{U}(n; \mathbf{E})$  let us finally recall what it means that a matrix  $X = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}$  in  $\mathrm{GL}(2n; \mathbf{C})$  is symplectic, i.e.,  $X^t I X = X$ :

- (i)  $A^t C = C^t A$ ,
- (ii)  $B^t D = D^t B$ ,
- (iii)  $A^t D - C^t B = 1$ .

Representing the linear transformation  $X: \mathbf{E}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{E}^n$  with respect to the null basis yields the same result, since the representing matrix of  $\omega$  is  $-\frac{i}{2}I$ , as we have noted above. In this basis  $X$  has the description  $\begin{pmatrix} A_+ & 0 \\ 0 & A_- \end{pmatrix}$ , since  $X \in \mathrm{GL}(n; \mathbf{E})$ . Thus the three conditions come down to  $A_+^t A_- = 1$ , showing that

$$\mathrm{U}(n; \mathbf{E}) \cong \mathrm{GL}(n; \mathbf{C}).$$

In general a  $\mathbf{C}$ -bilinear map  $h: V \times V \rightarrow \mathbf{E}$  on a free  $\mathbf{E}$ -modul  $V$  of rank  $n$  is called a *Kählerian structure*, if it is a nondegenerate hermitean form on  $V$ .

**Proposition 4.** *Let  $V$  be a free  $\mathbf{E}$ -modul of rank  $n$  and let  $h$  be a Kählerian structure on  $V$ . Then there exists an  $\mathbf{E}$ -basis  $(v_1, \dots, v_n)$  so that  $h(v_\mu, v_\nu) = \delta_{\mu\nu}$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $h \neq 0$  (and the polarization formula) we find a vector  $v \in V$  so that  $h(v, v) \neq 0$ . Now  $h(v, v) = \overline{h(v, v)}$ , so  $h(v, v) \in \mathbf{C} \subseteq \mathbf{E}$ . Thus we can rescale  $v \in V$  so that  $h(v, v) = 1$ . Now the hermitean complement  $v^\perp = \{w \mid h(w, v) = 0\}$  is again a free  $\mathbf{E}$ -modul. In fact it is at least a  $\mathbf{C}$ -vector space of dimension  $2n - 2$  and it is  $J$ -invariant, where  $J$  is the exceptional structure on  $V$ . But  $J|_{v^\perp}$  is again an exceptional structure since  $J(v + jv) = j(v + Jv)$  and  $J(v - jv) = -j(v - Jv)$  and thus the multiplicities of  $i$  and  $-i$  of  $J|_{v^\perp}$  coincide. Furthermore the restriction of  $h$  on  $v^\perp$  is again a Kählerian structure which is now left to the reader. So  $v^\perp$  is a free  $\mathbf{E}$ -modul of rank  $n - 1$  with Kählerian structure  $h|_{v^\perp}$  and the induction hypothesis applies.

**(1.5) Calibrated exceptional structures.** Let  $(V, \omega)$  be a (complex) symplectic vector space. A *calibrated exceptional structure* is given by an exceptional structure  $J$  so that  $J \in \mathrm{Sp}(V, \omega)$ , i.e.,  $\omega(Jv, Jw) = \omega(v, w)$  for all  $v, w \in V$ . The corresponding euclidean structure is defined by  $g: V \times V \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ ,

$$g(v, w) := \omega(v, Jw),$$

and the corresponding Kählerian structure  $h: V \times V \rightarrow \mathbf{E}$  is given by

$$h(v, w) := g(v, w) + j\omega(v, w).$$

The triple  $(V, J, h)$  is then a Kählerian vector space. In fact, it is straight forward to see that  $g$  is nondegenerate and moreover  $g$  is also  $J$ -invariant, i.e.,  $g(Jv, Jw) = g(v, w)$  for all  $v, w \in V$ .

Recall that in the real case a complex structure on a (real) symplectic vector space  $(V, \omega)$  is called calibrated if the corresponding bilinear form  $g$  is in addition positive definite. However, there isn't such an assumption in the complex case.

**(1.6) A fundamental example.** Consider now a (finite-dimensional) complex Lie algebra  $L$  (with Lie bracket  $[\cdot, \cdot]$ ). The infinitesimal adjoint action of  $L$  is given by the Lie homomorphism  $\mathrm{ad}: L \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(L)$ ,  $\mathrm{ad}(a)(b) = [a, b]$ . For a given  $a \in L$  the orbit  $L(a) = \{\mathrm{ad}a(b) \mid b \in L\}$  is in one to one correspondence with the vector space  $V_a := L/C(a)$ , where  $C(a) = \ker \mathrm{ad}a$  is the centralizer of  $a$ . In fact,  $C(a)$  is nothing else than the isotropy algebra of the  $L$ -action in the point  $a$ . Consider next the dual vector space  $L^*$  of  $L$  together with its (infinitesimal) coadjoint action of  $L$ , i.e.,  $\mathrm{ad}^*: L \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(L^*)$ ,

$$\langle \mathrm{ad}^*(a)(\alpha), b \rangle := \langle \alpha, \mathrm{ad}(-a)(b) \rangle = \langle \alpha, [-a, b] \rangle,$$

for  $\alpha \in L^*$  and  $a, b \in L$ . The coadjoint orbit through  $\alpha$  is then identified with the vector space  $L/I(\alpha)$ , where

$$I(\alpha) = \{a \in L \mid \langle \alpha, [a, b] \rangle = 0 \quad \forall b \in L\},$$

since  $I(\alpha)$  is obviously the isotropy algebra of  $L$  in  $\alpha$ . Therefore the skew-symmetric form  $\omega_\alpha: L \times L \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ ,

$$\omega_\alpha(a, b) := \langle \alpha, [a, b] \rangle$$

induces a symplectic structure on the vector space  $L/I(\alpha)$ . It is called the *Kirillov-Kostant structure*.

Next assume that the Lie algebra  $L$  is semi-simple, i.e., the symmetric bilinear form  $B: L \times L \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ ,

$$B(a, b) := \mathrm{tr}(\mathrm{ad}(a)\mathrm{ad}(b))$$

is nondegenerate, i.e., it defines a euclidean structure on  $L$ .  $B$  is the *Killing form* on  $L$ . Thus it defines an isomorphism  $\Phi: L \rightarrow L^*$  by

$$\langle \Phi(a), b \rangle = B(a, b),$$

which is in addition equivariant with respect to the infinitesimal adjoint action of  $L$  on  $L$  and the infinitesimal coadjoint action of  $L$  on  $L^*$ ,

$$\Phi(\mathrm{ad}(a)(b)) = \mathrm{ad}^*(a)(\Phi(b)).$$

This follows from the relation

$$B([a, b], c) = B(a, [b, c])$$

for the Killing form  $B$ , coming from the Jacobi identity for  $[\cdot, \cdot]$ . In particular, the isotropy algebras corresponds and we have a canonical symplectic structure on  $V_a = L/C(a)$ , induced from  $\omega_a: L \times L \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ ,

$$\omega_a(b, c) = B(a, [b, c]).$$

We are now going to define an exceptional structure on  $V_a$  calibrated with respect to  $\omega_a$ , if  $a$  is a semi-simple element (i.e.,  $\text{ada}: L \rightarrow L$  is semi-simple). Accordingly this will give  $V_a$  the structure of a Kählerian complex vector space in the sense of section 1.4. To this end decompose  $L$  into the eigenspaces of the semi-simple endomorphism  $\text{ada}$ . Thus, if we denote by  $L_\lambda = \text{Eig}(\text{ada}, \lambda)$  and observe that  $L_0 = C(a)$ , we obtain

$$V = C(a) + \sum_{\lambda \in \Phi} L_\lambda,$$

where  $\Phi \subseteq \mathbf{C}$  denotes the non-zero eigenvalues of  $\text{ada}$ . A fundamental observation is the relation

$$[L_\lambda, L_\mu] \subseteq L_{\lambda+\mu} \quad (*)$$

for  $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbf{C}$ , since  $\delta := \text{ada}$  is a derivation, i.e.,  $\delta([b, c]) = [\delta b, c] + [b, \delta c]$ , coming from the Jacobi identity of  $[\cdot, \cdot]$ . This implies that  $L_\lambda$  is orthogonal to  $L_\mu$  if  $\lambda + \mu \neq 0$ , since for  $a \in L_\lambda$ ,  $b \in L_\mu$  we have that  $\text{ada} \text{ ad} b(L_\nu) \subseteq L_{\lambda+\mu+\nu}$  and therefore  $B(a, b) = \text{tr}(\text{ada} \text{ ad} b) = 0$ . Now using that  $B$  is nondegenerate, we find that  $\lambda \in \Phi$  implies  $-\lambda \in \Phi$  and moreover  $\dim L_\lambda = \dim L_{-\lambda}$ , since  $B$  induces a nondegenerate pairing on  $L_\lambda \times L_{-\lambda} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ . Thus the nonzero eigenvalues come in pairs  $\pm\lambda$  and choosing a decomposition of  $\Phi$  into a positive and negative part,

$$\Phi = \Phi^+ \dot{\cup} \Phi^-,$$

i.e.,  $\lambda \in \Phi^+$  if and only  $-\lambda \in \Phi^-$ , gives now the decomposition

$$V = C(a) + N^+ + N^-,$$

where

$$N^+ = \sum_{\lambda \in \Phi^+} L_\lambda, \quad N^- = \sum_{\lambda \in \Phi^-} L_\lambda.$$

Defining now  $J|N^+ := i \text{id}_{N^+}$  and  $J|N^- := -i \text{id}_{N^-}$  induces an exceptional structure  $J = J_a: V_a \rightarrow V_a$ , since  $\dim N^+ = \dim N^-$ . (We identify  $V_a$  with  $N^+ + N^-$ .) Finally, this exceptional structure is calibrated with respect to the Kirillov-Kostant structure  $\omega_a$  as is seen by the following argument. If  $b, c \in N^+$ , then  $[b, c] \notin C(a)$  by relation  $(*)$ ; so  $\omega_a(b, c) = B(a, [b, c]) = 0 = \omega_a(ib, ic) = \omega_a(Jb, Jc)$ . The same argument applies for the case  $b, c \in N^-$ . If  $b \in N^+$  and  $c \in N^-$ , then  $\omega_a(Jb, Jc) = \omega_a(ib, -ic) = \omega_a(b, c)$ . Thus we conclude that

$$\omega_a(Jb, Jc) = \omega_a(b, c)$$

for all  $b, c \in N^+ + N^-$  showing that  $J$  is a calibrated exceptional structure on  $V_a$ . The associated euclidean structure  $g_a: V_a \times V_a \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  is therefore defined by

$$g_a(b, c) = \omega_a(b, Jc),$$

and the associated Kählerian structure  $h_a: V_a \times V_a \rightarrow \mathbf{E}$  by  $h_a = g_a + j\omega_a$  as usual.

Since  $B: L_\lambda \times L_{-\lambda} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  is a nondegenerate pairing, we can find a basis  $(E_1^\lambda, \dots, E_{r_\lambda}^\lambda)$  of  $L_\lambda$  ( $r_\lambda := \dim L_\lambda$ ) and  $(E_{-1}^\lambda, \dots, E_{-r_\lambda}^\lambda)$  of  $L_{-\lambda}$  so that  $B(E_\rho^\lambda, E_{-\sigma}^\lambda) = \delta_{\rho\sigma}$  for  $1 \leq \rho, \sigma \leq r_\lambda$ , and  $\lambda \in \Phi^+$ . Let  $H_\rho^\lambda := [E_\rho^\lambda, E_{-\rho}^\lambda] \in C(a)$  and define the complex numbers

$$\gamma_\rho^\lambda := B(a, H_\rho^\lambda).$$

Denoting by  $\Gamma = \text{diag}(\gamma_\rho^\lambda)$ , we see that the matrix describing the Kirillov-Kostant structure  $\omega_a$  on  $V_a$  with respect to  $(E_\rho^\lambda, E_{-\rho}^\lambda)$  is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \Gamma \\ -\Gamma & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Of course, the matrix describing the exceptional structure  $J_a$  corresponding to this basis is

$$\begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & i \end{pmatrix},$$

implying that the matrix of  $g_a$  is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i\Gamma \\ -i\Gamma & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

**Remark.** Note that the centralizer  $C(a) \subseteq L$  is itself a euclidean subspace with respect to the Killing structure on  $L$ . In fact, since  $C(a) = L_0$ , the Killing form  $B$  induces a nondegenerate pairing of  $C(a)$  with itself. In particular, the quotient  $V_a = L/C(a)$  inherits a euclidean structure  $B_a$  using the natural identification of  $V_a$  with  $C(a)^\perp$ . Now  $C(a)^\perp = N^+ + N^- \subseteq L$ . However, by the choice of the basis  $(E_\rho^\lambda, E_{-\rho}^\lambda)$ , the matrix of the euclidean structure  $B_a$  is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This shows that the Killing form is in general *not* the complex part of the Kählerian structure on  $V_a$  described above. In fact, this is the case if and only if  $\Gamma = i$ , i.e.,  $\gamma_\rho^\lambda = i$  for all  $\lambda \in \Phi^+$  and  $\rho = 1, \dots, r_\lambda$ .

**Remark.** Observe that the Kählerian structure on  $V_a$  described above is not canonical in the sense that we have chosen a decomposition of the non-zero roots  $\Phi$  of  $a$  into positive and negative ones. However, in the real case, when  $L$  is a real semi-simple Lie algebra, it is not difficult to see that for a semi-simple  $a \in L$  the roots of  $\text{ada}$  are all on the real or imaginary axes of  $\mathbf{C}$ . This gives then a canonical choice of positive and negative by declaring a root  $\lambda$  to be positive, if  $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}_+$  or  $\lambda \in i\mathbf{R}_+$ . On the other hand, the induced euclidean structure  $g_a$  on  $V_a$  is only positive definite (i.e., the complex structure  $J_a$  is calibrated with respect to  $\omega_a$ ) if and only if *all* roots are on the imaginary axes, i.e., the Lie algebra  $L$  is compact. Thus, in the case of a compact semi-simple Lie algebra, the adjoint orbit  $V_a$  carries a canonical Kähler structure, for any  $a \in L$  (since every element is semi-simple).

## 2. $G$ -structures on complex manifolds

**(2.1) Definition of  $G$ -structures.** Consider a complex manifold  $X$ . We denote by  $\pi: FX \rightarrow X$  the bundle of linear frames on  $X$ . Thus a point  $p$  in the fibre  $\pi^{-1}(x)$  over  $x \in X$  is a basis of the tangent space  $TX_x$  of  $X$  in  $x$ . It may be seen as the linear isomorphism from the standard complex vector space  $\mathbf{C}^n$  to  $TX_x$  carrying the canonical basis  $(e_1, \dots, e_n)$  of  $\mathbf{C}^n$  into the basis given by  $p$ ,

$$p: \mathbf{C}^n \rightarrow TX_x.$$

The Lie group  $\text{GL}(n, \mathbf{C})$  acts naturally on  $FX$  by

$$g.p(z) := p(g^{-1}z),$$

for  $p \in FX$ ,  $g \in \text{GL}(n; \mathbf{C})$  and  $z \in \mathbf{C}^n$ . In fact,  $FX$  is a principle  $\text{GL}(n; \mathbf{C})$ -bundle, i.e., there exists a covering  $(U_\alpha)$  of  $X$  and equivariant holomorphic diffeomorphisms  $\pi \times \varphi_\alpha: \pi^{-1}(U_\alpha) \rightarrow U_\alpha \times \text{GL}(n; \mathbf{C})$ , where  $\text{GL}(n; \mathbf{C})$  acts only on the right factor,

$$\varphi_\alpha(g.p) = g.\varphi_\alpha(p).$$

Therefore, for  $x \in U_\alpha \cap U_\beta$  the matrix  $\varphi_\beta^{-1}(p)\varphi_\alpha(p) \in \mathrm{GL}(n; \mathbf{C})$  does not depend on  $p \in \pi^{-1}(x)$ , defining the transition functions  $\varphi_{\alpha\beta}: U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(n; \mathbf{C})$ ,  $\varphi_{\alpha\beta}(x) = \varphi_\beta^{-1}(p)\varphi_\alpha(p)$  (for some arbitrary  $p \in \pi^{-1}(x)$ ). Of course, the  $\{\varphi_{\alpha\beta}\}$  characterize the principle bundle and in the case at hand they are nothing else than the transition functions of the tangent bundle itself. ( $FX$  is the so-called associated principle bundle for the vector bundle  $TX$ , see e.g. [Steenrod].)

Now for any  $G$ -principle bundle  $P \rightarrow X$  ( $G$  a complex Lie group), one defines a reduction of  $P$  to a closed subgroup  $H \subseteq G$  to be a homomorphism  $\rho: Q \rightarrow P$  of an  $H$ -principle bundle  $Q$  to  $P$ , i.e.,  $\pi_P \circ \rho = \pi_Q$  and  $\rho$  has to be  $H$ -equivariant. A  $G$ -principle bundle is thus reducible to the closed subgroup  $H$  if and only if there exists a bundle covering  $(U_\alpha)$  of  $X$  so that the corresponding transition functions  $(\varphi_{\alpha\beta})$  take their values (not only in  $G$ , but) in  $H$ .

**Definition.** Let  $G$  be a closed subgroup of  $\mathrm{GL}(n; \mathbf{C})$  and  $X$  a complex manifold of dimension  $n$ . A  $G$ -structure on  $X$  is a reduction of the bundle of linear frames to  $G$ .

If  $\rho: Q \rightarrow FX$  is the reduction homomorphism, we call sometimes the submanifold  $B_G := \rho(Q) \subseteq FX$  the  $G$ -structure. In particular for  $G = \mathrm{O}(n; \mathbf{C})$ ,  $B_G$  is called an *almost-euclidean structure* (or *Riemannian structure*), for  $G = \mathrm{Sp}(2n; \mathbf{C}) \subseteq \mathrm{GL}(2n; \mathbf{C})$  an *almost-symplectic structure* and for  $G = \mathrm{GL}(n; \mathbf{E}) \subseteq \mathrm{GL}(2n; \mathbf{C})$  an *almost-exceptional structure* on  $X$ .

In general, if  $P \rightarrow X$  is a  $G$ -principle bundle and  $Y$  is a complex  $G$ -manifold, meaning that  $G$  acts holomorphically by biholomorphisms, one can build the associated fibre bundle

$$P \times_G Y,$$

which is the quotient of  $P \times Y$  by the diagonal action of  $G$ . If in particular  $P$  is the principle  $\mathrm{GL}(n; \mathbf{C})$ -bundle of linear frames over  $X$  and  $G \subseteq \mathrm{GL}(n; \mathbf{C})$  is a closed subgroup, then the coset space  $Y = \mathrm{GL}(n; \mathbf{C})/G$  is in a natural way a  $\mathrm{GL}(n; \mathbf{C})$ -space, and thus we can build the associated fibre bundle

$$F := FX \times_{\mathrm{GL}(n; \mathbf{C})} \mathrm{GL}(n; \mathbf{C})/G.$$

Now a reduction of  $P$  to  $G$  is the same as a holomorphic section of  $X$  in this fibre bundle. If  $\mathrm{GL}(n; \mathbf{C})/G$  is contractible, then there exists always a continuous section of  $F$ . This is the reason (in this language) why on a real manifold there always exists a Riemannian structure, since  $\mathrm{O}(n; \mathbf{R}) \subseteq \mathrm{GL}(n; \mathbf{R})$  is maximal compact, i.e.,  $\mathrm{GL}(n; \mathbf{R})/\mathrm{O}(n; \mathbf{R})$  is diffeomorphic to a cell. In a sense the obstruction for the existence of a  $G$ -structure is only in the topology of  $\mathrm{GL}(n; \mathbf{R})/G$  in the real case. Similarly, any symplectic manifold carries an almost-complex structure since  $\mathrm{U}(n; \mathbf{C}) \subseteq \mathrm{Sp}(2n; \mathbf{R})$  is again a maximal compact subgroup.

Now, in the complex analytic case, we have, in addition to the topological obstruction of the homogeneous space  $\mathrm{GL}(n; \mathbf{C})/G$ , an analytical obstruction. In fact, the existence of a continuous section does not at all imply the existence of a holomorphic section (in contrast to the differentiable case). However, if  $X$  is a Stein manifold, a fundamental example of Grauert (Grauert's Oka principle) says, that  $F$  has a continuous section if and only if  $F$  has a holomorphic section. Thus over a Stein manifold, we conclude again that the obstruction for the existence of a  $G$ -structure is in a sense only in the topology of  $\mathrm{GL}(n; \mathbf{C})/G$  (meaning the existence of a section of the topological fibre bundle  $F \rightarrow X$ , forgetting about the complex analytic structure of  $X$ ).

Finally, observe that all the homogeneous spaces  $\mathrm{GL}(n; \mathbf{C})/\mathrm{O}(n; \mathbf{C})$ ,  $\mathrm{GL}(2n; \mathbf{C})/\mathrm{GL}(n; \mathbf{E})$ ,  $\mathrm{GL}(2n; \mathbf{C})/\mathrm{Sp}(n; \mathbf{C})$  and  $\mathrm{Sp}(2n; \mathbf{C})/\mathrm{U}(n; \mathbf{E})$ , parametrizing the euclidean structures on  $\mathbf{C}^n$ , the exceptional structures on  $\mathbf{C}^{2n}$ , the symplectic structures on  $\mathbf{C}^{2n}$ , and the calibrated exceptional structures on  $\mathbf{C}^{2n}$  with respect to the standard symplectic structure are *not* contractible. This shows that in general these structures do *not* exist on a complex manifold, even in the case when  $X$  is Stein.

A fundamental question in the theory of  $G$ -structures is: When is a given  $G$ -structure locally flat? That means that it is locally equivalent to the standard  $G$ -structure on  $\mathbf{C}^n$  (or  $\mathbf{R}^n$  in the real case)? If  $G = \mathrm{O}(n; \mathbf{C})$ , we call a locally flat  $G$ -structure a *euclidean structure*, if  $G = \mathrm{GL}(n; \mathbf{E}) \subseteq \mathrm{GL}(2n; \mathbf{C})$  an *exceptional structure*, and if  $G = \mathrm{Sp}(2n; \mathbf{C}) \subseteq \mathrm{GL}(2n; \mathbf{C})$  we call a locally flat structure a *symplectic structure* on  $X$ . A necessary condition in the case  $G = \mathrm{O}(n; \mathbf{C})$  is that the sectional curvature tensor  $R$ —defined as in the real case—vanishes. In the case  $G = \mathrm{GL}(n; \mathbf{E})$  a necessary condition is that the torsion tensor of  $J$ , i.e.,  $N(J): TX \times TX \rightarrow TX$ ,

$$N(J)(\xi, \eta) := J([\xi, \eta] - [J\xi, J\eta]) - ([J\xi, \eta] + [\xi, J\eta])$$



vanishes. Finally, in the case  $G = \mathrm{Sp}(2n; \mathbf{C})$  it is necessary that the almost-symplectic form  $\omega$  is closed. In the real case, these necessary conditions are also known to be sufficient. Thus we define an almost-euclidean structure  $g$  on a complex manifold to be *euclidean*, if the associated Riemann curvature tensor vanishes; we define an almost-exceptional structure  $J$  on a  $2n$ -dimensional complex manifold to be *exceptional*, if the associated torsion tensor vanishes, and we define an almost-symplectic structure  $\omega$  on a  $2n$ -dimensional complex manifold to be *symplectic*, if it is closed.

If  $(X, \omega)$  is a symplectic manifold, an exceptional structure  $J$  on  $X$  is called *calibrated*, if it is pointwise calibrated with respect to  $\omega$ . Then the associated Kählerian structure is said to define a *Kähler structure* on  $X$ . Now we think that the given definitions of *euclidean*, *exceptional* and *symplectic structure* agree, i.e., we hope that the answer of the following question is in the affirmative.

**Question.** Are the conditions  $R = 0$ ,  $N(J) = 0$  and  $d\omega = 0$  equivalent to a locally flat structure also in the complex case?

**(2.2) A fundamental example.** Consider now a complex Lie group  $G$  and let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be its Lie algebra. Then  $G$  operates on  $\mathfrak{g}$  via its adjoint action and on  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  via its coadjoint action. The tangent space of the coadjoint orbit  $G(\alpha) \subseteq \mathfrak{g}^*$  for some  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}^*$  is canonically identified with  $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ , where  $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha = \{a \in \mathfrak{g} \mid \langle \alpha, [a, b] \rangle = 0 \text{ for all } b \in \mathfrak{g}\}$ . It carries therefore the Kirillov-Kostant structure discussed earlier. Now it is easy to see that the corresponding 2-form  $\omega$  on  $X = G(\alpha)$  is  $G$ -invariant and moreover closed. In fact, this follows from Cartan's formula for  $d\omega$ , i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} d\omega(X, Y, Z) = & X\omega(Y, Z) - Y\omega(X, Z) + Z\omega(Y, Z) \\ & - \omega([X, Y], Z) + \omega([X, Z], Y) - \omega([Y, Z], X). \end{aligned}$$

Thus for any complex Lie group the coadjoint orbit carries a natural structure of a symplectic complex manifold.

Consider now a semi-simple complex Lie group  $G$  and let  $a \in \mathfrak{g}$  be a semi-simple element. Then the roots of  $\mathrm{ad}a$  are the same as the roots of  $\mathrm{ad}(\mathrm{Ad}g(a))$  for any  $g \in G$ . In fact, if one identifies  $G$  via  $\mathrm{Ad}: G \rightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{g}) \subseteq \mathrm{GL}(\mathfrak{g})$  with  $\mathrm{Ad}(G)$  and  $\mathfrak{g}$  with  $\mathrm{ad}\mathfrak{g} \subseteq \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g})$ , then  $\mathrm{Ad}$  is the conjugation action on  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Thus choosing once a decomposition of the non-zero roots  $\Phi$  of  $a$  into negative and positive ones,

$$\Phi = \Phi^+ \dot{\cup} \Phi^-,$$

gives an almost-exceptional structure  $J$  on the adjoint orbit  $G(a) \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$ , which is clearly  $G$ -invariant by construction. To check that the torsion tensor vanishes, i.e.,

$$J([X, Y] - [JX, JY]) - ([JX, Y] + [X, JY]) = 0,$$

we may assume that  $X = \mathrm{ad}a(b)$  and  $Y = \mathrm{ad}a(c)$  for  $b, c \in N^+ + N^-$  and since the infinitesimal action of  $\mathfrak{g}$  on the vector fields of  $G(a)$  is (up to a minus sign) a Lie homomorphism, one has to check the above relation simply for  $X = b$  and  $Y = c$  in  $N^+ + N^-$ . Now, if  $b \in N^+$  and  $c \in N^-$  then the equation is obviously fulfilled since  $J|_{N^+} = i \mathrm{id}$  and  $J|_{N^-} = -i \mathrm{id}$ . However, to satisfy the relation also in the cases  $b, c \in N^+$  and  $b, c \in N^-$ , we need that the decomposition has the property

$$\lambda, \mu \in \Phi^+ \implies \lambda + \mu \notin \Phi^-.$$

In this case the relation is true also in these cases. An equivalent formulation is of course, that  $N^+$  and  $N^-$  are Lie subalgebras of  $\mathfrak{g}$  (which are necessarily nilpotent then). We have proved now:

**Theorem.** Let  $G(a)$  be a semi-simple adjoint orbit of a semi-simple complex Lie group  $G$ . Let  $\Phi \subseteq \mathbf{C}$  be the non-zero eigenvalues of  $\mathrm{ad}(a)$ . Then  $\lambda \in \Phi$  if and only if  $-\lambda \in \Phi$  and any choice of a decomposition of  $\Phi$  into negative and positive eigenvalues,  $\Phi = \Phi^+ \cup \Phi^-$ , meaning that  $\lambda \in \Phi^+$  if and only if  $\lambda \in \Phi^-$ , satisfying  $\Phi^+ + \Phi^+ \subseteq \mathbf{C} \setminus \Phi^-$  induces a Kähler structure on  $X$ .

As a particular case consider  $G = \mathrm{SL}(n+1; \mathbf{C})$  and  $a = \begin{pmatrix} -n & 0 \\ 0 & 1_n \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{sl}(n+1; \mathbf{C})$ . Then the adjoint orbit of  $G$  is naturally identified with  $\mathrm{SL}(n+1; \mathbf{C})/\mathrm{GL}(n; \mathbf{C})$ . In view of the above discussion we may identify

this as  $\mathrm{SU}(n+1; \mathbf{E})/\mathrm{U}(n; \mathbf{E})$  and call it the *exceptional projective space*  $\mathbf{P}^n(\mathbf{E})$ . The exceptional structure comes from the natural decomposition of  $\mathfrak{sl}(n+1; \mathbf{C})$  according to  $a$ ,

$$\mathfrak{sl}(n+1; \mathbf{C}) = \mathfrak{gl}(n; \mathbf{C}) + N^+ + N^-,$$

where

$$\mathfrak{gl}(n; \mathbf{C}) \cong \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} -\mathrm{tr}(b) & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} \mid b \in \mathfrak{gl}(n; \mathbf{C}) \right\}$$

and

$$N^+ = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & v \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mid v \in \mathbf{C}^n \right\}, \quad N^- = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ v & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mid v \in \mathbf{C}^n \right\}.$$

Since  $\mathrm{SU}(n+1; \mathbf{E})$  acts transitively on  $\{v \in \mathbf{E}^{n+1} \mid \langle v, v \rangle = 1\}$ , we conclude that we may identify  $\mathbf{P}^n(\mathbf{E})$  with the quotient of  $(\mathbf{E}^{n+1})^* := \{v \in \mathbf{E}^{n+1} \mid \langle v, v \rangle \neq 0\}$  by the natural diagonal action of  $\mathbf{E}^*$ ,  $\alpha.v = \alpha v$ , i.e.,

$$\mathbf{P}^n(\mathbf{E}) = (\mathbf{E}^{n+1})^*/\mathbf{E}^*.$$

**Address:** Frank Loose, Mathematisches Institut, D – 40225 Düsseldorf, e-mail: loose@cs.uni-duesseldorf.de