

THE INTEGRAL QUANTUM LOOP ALGEBRA OF \mathfrak{gl}_n

JIE DU AND QIANG FU[†]

ABSTRACT. We will construct the Lusztig form for the quantum loop algebra of \mathfrak{gl}_n by proving the conjecture [4, 3.8.6] and establish partially the Schur–Weyl duality at the integral level in this case. We will also investigate the integral form of the modified quantum affine \mathfrak{gl}_n by introducing an affine stabilisation property and will lift the canonical bases from affine quantum Schur algebras to a canonical basis for this integral form. As an application of our theory, we will also discuss the integral form of the modified extended quantum affine \mathfrak{sl}_n and construct its canonical basis to verify a conjecture of Lusztig in this case.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\mathcal{Z} = \mathbb{Z}[v, v^{-1}]$ be the integral Laurent polynomial ring. It is well known that the Lusztig form $U_{\mathcal{Z}}$ of a quantum enveloping $\mathbb{Q}(v)$ -algebra \mathbf{U} associated with a Cartan matrix of finite or affine type is a \mathcal{Z} -free subalgebra generated by divided powers of simple root vectors $E_{\alpha_i}, F_{\alpha_i}$ together with group-like elements $K_{\alpha_i}^{\pm}$. In particular, there is a triangular decomposition $U_{\mathcal{Z}} = U_{\mathcal{Z}}^+ \cdot U_{\mathcal{Z}}^0 \cdot U_{\mathcal{Z}}^-$ where, in the simply-laced case, the 0-part $U_{\mathcal{Z}}^0$ of this form is generated by $K_{\alpha_i}^{\vee}$ and $[{}^t K_{\alpha_i}^{\vee}, 0]$.

We now consider the quantum loop algebra $\mathbf{U}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$. It contains a proper subalgebra ${}'\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{U}_{\Delta}(n)$ generated by $E_i = E_{\alpha_i}, F_i = F_{\alpha_i}$ and K_i^{\pm} , $1 \leq i \leq n$, where $K_i K_{i+1}^{-1} = K_{\alpha_i}^{\vee}$ with $K_{n+1} = K_1$. This is called the “extended” quantum affine \mathfrak{sl}_n in [4] which is also investigated in [28] (cf. the definition in [28, 7.7]). Note that the subalgebra generated by E_i, F_i and $K_{\alpha_i}^{\vee}$ is usually called the quantum enveloping algebra of affine \mathfrak{sl}_n type or the quantum loop algebra of \mathfrak{sl}_n (see, e.g., [28, 9.3] or [4, §1.3]). If ${}'U_{\mathcal{Z}}^+$ (resp., ${}'U_{\mathcal{Z}}^-$) denotes the \mathcal{Z} -subalgebra generated by divided powers $E_i^{(m)}$ (resp., $F_i^{(m)}$) and $U_{\mathcal{Z}}^0$ denotes the \mathcal{Z} -subalgebra generated by K_i and $[{}^t K_i, 0]$ ($t \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq i \leq n$), then the \mathcal{Z} -submodule ${}'U_{\mathcal{Z}} = {}'U_{\mathcal{Z}}^+ \cdot U_{\mathcal{Z}}^0 \cdot {}'U_{\mathcal{Z}}^-$ is a \mathcal{Z} -free subalgebra of ${}'\mathbf{U}$ which is the Lusztig form of ${}'\mathbf{U}$ mentioned above. Now, naturally, one would ask what is a natural Lusztig form for $\mathbf{U}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$?

By using Drinfeld’s presentation for $\mathbf{U}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$, a so-called restricted integral form $U_v^{\text{res}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ was constructed over $\mathbb{C}[v, v^{-1}]$ by Frenkel–Mukhin in [13, §7.2]. However, it is not clear from the construction whether $U_v^{\text{res}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ is a Hopf algebra. Another integral form is constructed in [4,

[†]Corresponding author.

Supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China, Fok Ying Tung Education Foundation and the Australian Research Council DP120101436.

2.4.4] by using a double Ringel–Hall algebra presentation for $\mathbf{U}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$. This integral form is the tensor product of the Lusztig form ${}'U_{\mathcal{Z}}$ of ${}'U$ with an integral central subalgebra. This is a Hopf subalgebra but not large enough to have integral affine quantum Schur algebras as its quotients; see example [4, 5.3.8].

However, there is a natural candidate constructed in [4, §3.8]. By the double Ringel–Hall algebra presentation, we have a triangular decomposition: $\mathbf{U}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n) \cong \mathfrak{D}_{\Delta}(n) = \mathfrak{H}_{\Delta}(n) \cdot \mathbf{U}^0 \cdot \mathfrak{H}_{\Delta}(n)^{\text{op}}$, where $\mathfrak{H}_{\Delta}(n)$ is a Ringel–Hall algebra over $\mathbb{Q}(v)$ associated with a cyclic quiver and $\mathbf{U}^0 = \mathbb{Q}(v)[K_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, K_n^{\pm 1}]$ is the 0-part of $\mathbf{U}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$. The candidate we proposed is to use the (integral) Ringel–Hall algebra $\mathfrak{H}_{\Delta}(n)_{\mathcal{Z}}$ over \mathcal{Z} and the 0-part $U_{\mathcal{Z}}^0$ defined above to form the \mathcal{Z} -free submodule¹ $\mathfrak{D}_{\Delta}(n)_{\mathcal{Z}} := \mathfrak{H}_{\Delta}(n)_{\mathcal{Z}} \cdot U_{\mathcal{Z}}^0 \cdot \mathfrak{H}_{\Delta}(n)_{\mathcal{Z}}^{\text{op}}$. We conjectured in [4, 3.8.6] that $\mathfrak{D}_{\Delta}(n)_{\mathcal{Z}}$ is a \mathcal{Z} -subalgebra of $\mathfrak{D}_{\Delta}(n)$. If the conjecture is true, then $\mathfrak{D}_{\Delta}(n)_{\mathcal{Z}}$ is a Hopf subalgebra having integral affine quantum Schur algebras as its quotients.

In this paper, we will prove this conjecture. The proof is a beautiful application of a recent resolution of another conjecture, a realisation conjecture for quantum affine \mathfrak{gl}_n , by the authors [11], together with some successful attempts in the classical case [14, 15] (see also [16]). The realisation conjecture is a natural affine generalisation of a new construction for quantum \mathfrak{gl}_n via quantum Schur algebras by A.A. Beilinson, G. Lusztig and R. MacPherson (BLM) in [1]. This remarkable work has important applications to the investigation of integral quantum Schur–Weyl reciprocity [12]. This reciprocity at non-roots of unity was formulated in [20] and its integral version was given in [8, 12], built on the work [1] and the Kazhdan–Lusztig cell theory.

Attempts to generalise the BLM work have been made by Ginzburg–Vasserot [18], Lusztig [28], etc. These constructions are geometric in nature, following BLM’s geometric construction, but cannot resolve a realisation for the entire quantum affine \mathfrak{gl}_n . The main obstacle is that $\mathbf{U}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ cannot be generated by simple root vectors or simple generators. In [11], we discovered certain key multiplication formulas by semisimple generators via the affine Hecke algebra and affine quantum Schur algebras. This allows, by modifying BLM’s approach, to introduce a new algebra $\mathfrak{V}_{\Delta}(n)$ by a basis together with explicit multiplication formulas of basis elements by semisimple generators. This algebra is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{D}_{\Delta}(n)$ and hence to $\mathbf{U}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$.

We now construct an integral \mathcal{Z} -subalgebra $\mathfrak{V}_{\Delta}(n)_{\mathcal{Z}}$ of $\mathfrak{V}_{\Delta}(n)$ and then prove that the image of $\mathfrak{V}_{\Delta}(n)_{\mathcal{Z}}$ in $\mathfrak{D}_{\Delta}(n)$ coincides with $\mathfrak{D}_{\Delta}(n)_{\mathcal{Z}}$. In this way we prove that $\mathfrak{D}_{\Delta}(n)_{\mathcal{Z}}$ is a subalgebra. As an immediate application, the $\mathbb{Q}(v)$ -algebra epimorphism ζ_r given in [4, Th. 3.8.1] restricts to a \mathcal{Z} -algebra epimorphism ζ_r from $\mathfrak{D}_{\Delta}(n)_{\mathcal{Z}}$ to the affine quantum Schur algebra $\mathcal{S}_{\Delta}(n, r)_{\mathcal{Z}}$. This establishes partially the Schur–Weyl duality at the integral level and, hence, at roots of unity.

¹It is denoted by $\widetilde{\mathfrak{D}}_{\Delta}(n)$ in [4, (3.8.1.1)], while $\mathfrak{D}_{\Delta}(n)$ denote the tensor product of ${}'U_{\mathcal{Z}}$ with the integral central subalgebra in [4, 2.4.4].

There is another application of the key multiplication formulas mentioned above. In [1], the $\mathbb{Q}(v)$ -algebra $\mathbf{K}(n)$ was constructed as a result of a stabilisation property. The algebra $\mathbf{K}(n)$ is in fact isomorphic to the modified quantum group $\dot{\mathbf{U}}(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$. We will prove that a stabilisation property continue to hold in the affine case. Thus, we may also introduce a new $\mathbb{Q}(v)$ -algebra $\mathbf{K}_\Delta(n)$, which is isomorphic to the modified quantum group $\dot{\mathbf{U}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$, and realise $\mathbf{U}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ as a subalgebra of the completion algebra $\widehat{\mathbf{K}}_\Delta(n)$. In this way, we obtain an (unmodified!) affine generalisation of BLM's construction. We will further discuss the integral form $\mathbf{K}_\Delta(n)_\mathcal{Z}$ of $\mathbf{K}_\Delta(n)$ which is a realisation of $\dot{\mathfrak{D}}_\Delta(n)_\mathcal{Z}$ (see Theorem 6.6) and construct its canonical basis as a lifting of the canonical bases for affine quantum Schur algebras. Applying our theory to the extended quantum affine \mathfrak{sl}_n , we will introduce the canonical basis for the modified quantum group $\dot{\mathbf{U}}_\Delta(n)$ and verify in this case a conjecture of Lusztig [28, 9.3] which has been already proved in [32] (cf. [29, 7.9]).

The sections of the paper are organised as follows:

1. Introduction
2. The double Ringel–Hall algebra presentation
3. A BLM type presentation
4. Some integral multiplication formulas
5. Lusztig form of $\mathbf{U}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ and integral affine quantum Schur–Weyl reciprocity
6. The affine BLM algebra $\mathbf{K}_\Delta(n)_\mathcal{Z}$
7. Canonical bases for the integral modified quantum affine \mathfrak{gl}_n
8. Application to a conjecture of Lusztig.

Notation 1.1. For a positive integer n , let $\Theta_\Delta(n)$ (resp., $\widetilde{\Theta}_\Delta(n)$) be the set of all matrices $A = (a_{i,j})_{i,j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ with $a_{i,j} \in \mathbb{N}$ (resp. $a_{i,j} \in \mathbb{Z}$, $a_{i,j} \geq 0$ for all $i \neq j$) such that

- (a) $a_{i,j} = a_{i+n,j+n}$ for $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$;
- (b) for every $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, both sets $\{j \in \mathbb{Z} \mid a_{i,j} \neq 0\}$ and $\{j \in \mathbb{Z} \mid a_{j,i} \neq 0\}$ are finite.

Let $\mathbb{Z}_\Delta^n = \{(\lambda_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mid \lambda_i \in \mathbb{Z}, \lambda_i = \lambda_{i-n} \text{ for } i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ and $\mathbb{N}_\Delta^n = \{(\lambda_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \mathbb{Z}_\Delta^n \mid \lambda_i \geq 0 \text{ for } i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. We will sometimes identify \mathbb{Z}_Δ^n with \mathbb{Z}^n via the natural bijection $\flat : \mathbb{Z}_\Delta^n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^n$ defined by sending \mathbf{j} to $\flat(\mathbf{j}) = (j_1, \dots, j_n)$. Define an order relation \leq and “dot” product on \mathbb{Z}_Δ^n by

$$(1.1.1) \quad \lambda \leq \mu \iff \lambda_i \leq \mu_i \ (1 \leq i \leq n) \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda \cdot \mu = \lambda_1 \mu_1 + \dots + \lambda_n \mu_n = \flat(\lambda) \cdot \flat(\mu).$$

We say that $\lambda < \mu$ if $\lambda \leq \mu$ and $\lambda \neq \mu$.

Let $\mathbb{Q}(v)$ be the fraction field of $\mathcal{Z} = \mathbb{Z}[v, v^{-1}]$. For integers N, t with $t \geq 0$, define Gaussian polynomials and their symmetric version in \mathcal{Z} : $\left[\begin{matrix} N \\ t \end{matrix} \right] = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq t} \frac{v^{2(N-i+1)} - 1}{v^{2i} - 1}$ and $\left[\begin{matrix} N \\ t \end{matrix} \right] = v^{-t(N-t)} \left[\begin{matrix} N \\ t \end{matrix} \right]$. For $\mu \in \mathbb{Z}_\Delta^n$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}_\Delta^n$, let $\left[\begin{matrix} \mu \\ \lambda \end{matrix} \right] = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} \left[\begin{matrix} \mu_i \\ \lambda_i \end{matrix} \right]$ and let $[\mu] = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} [\mu_i]$. The

following identity holds (see [16, 3.3]): for any $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{N}_\Delta^n$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_\Delta^n$,

$$(1.1.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha + \beta \\ \lambda \end{bmatrix} &= \sum_{\mu \in \mathbb{N}_\Delta^n, \mu \leq \lambda} v^{\alpha \cdot (\lambda - \mu) - \mu \cdot \beta} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ \mu \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \beta \\ \lambda - \mu \end{bmatrix}; \\ \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ \lambda \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ \mu \end{bmatrix} &= \sum_{\substack{\gamma \in \mathbb{N}_\Delta^n \\ \gamma \leq \lambda, \gamma \leq \mu}} v^{\lambda \cdot \mu - \alpha \cdot \gamma} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ \lambda + \mu - \gamma \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda + \mu - \gamma \\ \gamma, \lambda - \gamma, \mu - \gamma \end{bmatrix}; \end{aligned}$$

see [5, Exercises 0.14 and 0.15] for a proof in the case of Gaussian polynomials.

2. THE DOUBLE RINGEL–HALL ALGEBRA PRESENTATION

Let $\Delta(n)$ ($n \geq 2$) be the cyclic quiver with vertex set $I = \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and arrow set $\{i \rightarrow i+1 \mid i \in I\}$. Note that we will regard I as an abelian group as well as a subset of \mathbb{Z} depending on context.

Let \mathbb{F} be a field. For $i \in I$ and $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $i < j$, let S_i denote the one-dimensional representation of $\Delta(n)$ with $(S_i)_i = \mathbb{F}$ and $(S_i)_k = 0$ for $i \neq k$ and $M^{i,j}$ the unique indecomposable nilpotent representation of dimension $j - i$ with top S_i . Let

$$\Theta_\Delta^+(n) = \{A \in \Theta_\Delta(n) \mid a_{i,j} = 0 \text{ for } i \geq j\}.$$

Lemma 2.1. *For any $A = (a_{i,j}) \in \Theta_\Delta^+(n)$, let*

$$(2.1.1) \quad M(A) = M_{\mathbb{F}}(A) = \bigoplus_{1 \leq i \leq n, i < j} a_{i,j} M^{i,j}.$$

Then $\mathcal{M} = \{[M(A)]\}_{A \in \Theta_\Delta^+(n)}$ forms a complete set of isomorphism classes of finite dimensional nilpotent representations of $\Delta(n)$.

Let $\mathbf{d}(A) \in \mathbb{N}I = \mathbb{N}^n$ be the dimension vector of $M(A)$. For $\mathbf{a} = (a_i) \in \mathbb{Z}_\Delta^n$ and $\mathbf{b} = (b_i) \in \mathbb{Z}_\Delta^n$, the Euler form associated with the cyclic quiver $\Delta(n)$ is the bilinear form $\langle -, - \rangle : \mathbb{Z}_\Delta^n \times \mathbb{Z}_\Delta^n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ defined by

$$\langle \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \rangle = \sum_{i \in I} a_i b_i - \sum_{i \in I} a_i b_{i+1}.$$

By [31], for $A, B, C \in \Theta_\Delta^+(n)$, the Hall polynomial $\varphi_{A,B}^C \in \mathbb{Z}[v^2]$ is defined such that, for any finite field \mathbb{F}_q , $\varphi_{A,B}^C|_{v^2=q}$ is equal to the number of submodules N of $M_{\mathbb{F}_q}(C)$ satisfying $N \cong M_{\mathbb{F}_q}(B)$ and $M_{\mathbb{F}_q}(C)/N \cong M_{\mathbb{F}_q}(A)$.

The (generic) twisted *Ringel–Hall algebra* $\mathfrak{H}_\Delta(n)_\mathcal{Z}$ of $\Delta(n)$ is, by definition, the \mathcal{Z} -algebra spanned by basis $\{u_A = u_{[M(A)]} \mid A \in \Theta_\Delta^+(n)\}$ whose multiplication is defined by, for all $A, B \in \Theta_\Delta^+(n)$,

$$u_A u_B = v^{\langle \mathbf{d}(A), \mathbf{d}(B) \rangle} \sum_{C \in \Theta_\Delta^+(n)} \varphi_{A,B}^C u_C.$$

Base change gives the $\mathbb{Q}(v)$ -algebra $\mathfrak{H}_\Delta(n) = \mathfrak{H}_\Delta(n)_\mathcal{Z} \otimes \mathbb{Q}(v)$.

We now describe the semisimple generators $u_\lambda = u_{[S_\lambda]}$ ($\lambda \in \mathbb{N}_\Delta^n$) of $\mathfrak{H}_\Delta(n)_\mathbb{Z}$, where $S_\lambda := \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \lambda_i S_i$ is a semisimple representation of $\Delta(n)$.²

On the set \mathcal{M} of isoclasses of finite dimensional nilpotent representations of $\Delta(n)$, define a multiplication $*$ by $[M] * [N] = [M * N]$ for any $[M], [N] \in \mathcal{M}$, where $M * N$ is the generic extension of M by N . By [3, 30] \mathcal{M} is a monoid with identity $1 = [0]$.

An element λ in \mathbb{N}_Δ^n is called *sincere* if $\lambda_i > 0$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. For $1 \leq i \leq n$ let $\mathbf{e}_i^\Delta \in \mathbb{N}_\Delta^n$ be the element satisfying $(\mathbf{e}_i^\Delta)_j = \delta_{\bar{i}, \bar{j}}$ for $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Here \bar{i} is the congruence class of i modulo n . Let

$$\tilde{I} = \{\mathbf{e}_1^\Delta, \mathbf{e}_2^\Delta, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n^\Delta\} \cup \{\text{all sincere vectors in } \mathbb{N}_\Delta^n\}.$$

Let $\tilde{\Sigma}$ be the set of words on the alphabet \tilde{I} .

There is a natural surjective map $\varphi^+ : \tilde{\Sigma} \rightarrow \Theta_\Delta^+(n)$ ([6, 3.3]) by taking $w = \mathbf{a}_1 \mathbf{a}_2 \cdots \mathbf{a}_m$ to $\varphi^+(w)$, where $\varphi^+(w) \in \Theta_\Delta^+(n)$ is defined by

$$[S_{\mathbf{a}_1}] * \cdots * [S_{\mathbf{a}_m}] = [M(\varphi^+(w))].$$

For $A \in \Theta_\Delta^+(n)$, let

$$\tilde{u}_A = v^{\dim \text{End}(M(A)) - \dim M(A)} u_A.$$

For $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}_\Delta^n$ let $\tilde{u}_\lambda = \tilde{u}_{[S_\lambda]}$. Any word $w = \mathbf{a}_1 \mathbf{a}_2 \cdots \mathbf{a}_m$ in $\tilde{\Sigma}$ can be uniquely expressed in the *tight form* $w = \mathbf{b}_1^{x_1} \mathbf{b}_2^{x_2} \cdots \mathbf{b}_t^{x_t}$ where $x_i = 1$ if \mathbf{b}_i is sincere, and x_i is the number of consecutive occurrences of \mathbf{b}_i if $\mathbf{b}_i \in \{\mathbf{e}_1^\Delta, \mathbf{e}_2^\Delta, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n^\Delta\}$. For $w = \mathbf{a}_1 \mathbf{a}_2 \cdots \mathbf{a}_m \in \tilde{\Sigma}$ with the tight form $\mathbf{b}_1^{x_1} \mathbf{b}_2^{x_2} \cdots \mathbf{b}_t^{x_t}$, define the associated monomials:

$$\tilde{u}_{(w)} = \tilde{u}_{x_1 \mathbf{b}_1} \tilde{u}_{x_2 \mathbf{b}_2} \cdots \tilde{u}_{x_t \mathbf{b}_t} \in \mathfrak{H}_\Delta(n)_\mathbb{Z}.$$

Following [1, 3.5] and [10] we may define the order relation \preceq on $M_{\Delta n}(\mathbb{Z})$ as follows. For $A \in M_{\Delta n}(\mathbb{Z})$ and $i \neq j \in \mathbb{Z}$, let

$$\sigma_{i,j}(A) = \begin{cases} \sum_{s \leq i, t \geq j} a_{s,t}, & \text{if } i < j; \\ \sum_{s \geq i, t \leq j} a_{s,t}, & \text{if } i > j. \end{cases}$$

For $A, B \in M_{\Delta n}(\mathbb{Z})$, define

$$(2.1.2) \quad B \preceq A \text{ if and only if } \sigma_{i,j}(B) \leq \sigma_{i,j}(A) \text{ for all } i \neq j.$$

Put $B \prec A$ if $B \preceq A$ and, for some pair (i, j) with $i \neq j$, $\sigma_{i,j}(B) < \sigma_{i,j}(A)$.

Associated each $A \in \Theta_\Delta^+(n)$ to a *distinguished word* w_A (see [6, (9.1)]), the following *triangular relation* relative to \preceq between the monomial basis $\{\tilde{u}_{(w_A)}\}_{A \in \Theta_\Delta^+(n)}$ and the defining basis $\{\tilde{u}_A\}_{A \in \Theta_\Delta^+(n)}$ holds (see [6, (9.2)], [10, 6.2]):

²For emphasising on semisimple generators, we will use the same notation to denote the matrix in (2.1.1) defining S_λ ; see, e.g., Theorem 3.3.

Proposition 2.2. *For $A \in \Theta_\Delta^+(n)$, there exist $w_A \in \tilde{\Sigma}$ such that $\wp^+(w_A) = A$ and*

$$(2.2.1) \quad \tilde{u}_{(w_A)} = \tilde{u}_A + \sum_{\substack{B \in \Theta_\Delta^+(n) \\ B \prec A, \mathbf{d}(A) = \mathbf{d}(B)}} f_{B,A} \tilde{u}_B.$$

where $f_{B,A} \in \mathcal{Z}$. In particular, $\mathfrak{H}_\Delta(n)_\mathcal{Z}$ is generated by u_λ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}_\Delta^n$ with a monomial basis $\{\tilde{u}_{(w_A)} \mid A \in \Theta_\Delta^+(n)\}$.

The Hall algebra and its opposite algebra can be used to describe the \pm -part of quantum affine \mathfrak{gl}_n . Let $\mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n)$ be the (reduced) double Ringel–Hall algebra of the cyclic quiver $\Delta(n)$ over $\mathbb{Q}(v)$ (cf. [34] and [4, (2.1.3.2)]). Then it has a triangular decomposition:

$$\mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n) \cong \mathfrak{D}_\Delta^+(n) \otimes \mathfrak{D}_\Delta^0(n) \otimes \mathfrak{D}_\Delta^-(n)$$

with $\mathfrak{D}_\Delta^+(n) = \mathfrak{H}_\Delta(n)$, $\mathfrak{D}_\Delta^-(n) = \mathfrak{H}_\Delta(n)^{\text{op}}$, and $\mathfrak{D}_\Delta^0(n) = \mathbb{Q}(v)[K_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, K_n^{\pm 1}]$. We will add superscript $+$ or $-$ to u_A , u_λ , $u_{(w)}$, etc. for the corresponding objects in $\mathfrak{D}_\Delta^+(n)$ or $\mathfrak{D}_\Delta^-(n)$. Thus, $\mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n)^\pm$ has basis $\{\tilde{u}_A^\pm\}_{A \in \Theta_\Delta^\pm(n)}$, generators u_λ^\pm , $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}_\Delta^n$ and monomials $\tilde{u}_{(w)}^\pm$.

Note that it is also natural to use the notation $\{\tilde{u}_A := \tilde{u}_A^+\}_{A \in \Theta_\Delta^+(n)}$ for a basis for $\mathfrak{D}_\Delta^+(n)$ and the notation $\{\tilde{u}_B := \tilde{u}_{t_B}^-\}_{B \in \Theta_\Delta^-(n)}$ for the corresponding basis for $\mathfrak{D}_\Delta^-(n)$, where

$$\Theta_\Delta^-(n) = \{A \in \Theta_\Delta(n) \mid a_{i,j} = 0 \text{ for } i \leq j\}.$$

With such notations, the matrix transpose induces the anti-isomorphism

$$(2.2.2) \quad \tau : \mathfrak{D}_\Delta^+(n) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{D}_\Delta^-(n), \quad \tilde{u}_A \longmapsto \tilde{u}_{t_A}.$$

For $A \in \tilde{\Theta}_\Delta(n)$, we write

$$(2.2.3) \quad A = A^+ + A^0 + A^-, \quad A^\pm = A^+ + A^-$$

where $A^+ \in \Theta_\Delta^+(n)$, $A^- \in \Theta_\Delta^-(n)$ and A^0 is a diagonal matrix.

We have the following (not so elegant) presentation for quantum affine \mathfrak{gl}_n via the double Ringel–Hall algebra; see [4, 2.5.3, 2.6.1, 2.6.7 and 2.3.6(2)].

Theorem 2.3. (1) *The (Hopf) algebra $\mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n)$ is isomorphic to Drinfeld's algebra $\mathbf{U}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$. It is the algebra over $\mathbb{Q}(v)$ which is spanned by basis*

$$\{u_A^+ K^{\mathbf{j}} u_B^- \mid A, B \in \Theta_\Delta^+(n), \mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{Z}_\Delta^n\}, \text{ where } K^{\mathbf{j}} = K_1^{j_1} \cdots K_n^{j_n},$$

and is generated by u_λ^+ , $K_i^{\pm 1}$, u_μ^- ($\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{N}_\Delta^n$, $1 \leq i \leq n$), and whose multiplication is given by the following relations:

- (a) $K_i K_j = K_j K_i$, $K_i K_i^{-1} = 1$;
- (b) $K^{\mathbf{j}} u_A^+ = v^{\langle \mathbf{d}(A), \mathbf{j} \rangle} u_A^+ K^{\mathbf{j}}$, $u_A^- K^{\mathbf{j}} = v^{\langle \mathbf{d}(A), \mathbf{j} \rangle} K^{\mathbf{j}} u_A^-$;
- (c) $u_\lambda^+ u_A^+ = \sum_{C \in \Theta_\Delta^+(n)} v^{\langle \lambda, \mathbf{d}(A) \rangle} \varphi_{S_{\lambda,A}}^C u_C^+$;
- (d) $u_\mu^- u_A^- = \sum_{C \in \Theta_\Delta^+(n)} v^{\langle \mathbf{d}(A), \mu \rangle} \varphi_{A, S_\mu}^C u_C^-$;

$$(e) \quad u_\mu^- u_\lambda^+ - u_\lambda^+ u_\mu^- = \sum_{\substack{\alpha \neq 0, \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_\Delta^n \\ \alpha \leq \lambda, \alpha \leq \mu}} \sum_{0 \leq \gamma \leq \alpha} x_{\alpha, \gamma} \tilde{K}^{2\gamma - \alpha} u_{\lambda - \alpha}^+ u_{\mu - \alpha}^-, \text{ where the coefficients } x_{\alpha, \gamma} \in \mathcal{Z} \text{ are}$$

rather complicated as given in [4, Cor. 2.6.7].

(2) There exists a central subalgebra $\mathbf{Z}_\Delta(n) = \mathbb{Q}(v)[\mathbf{z}_m^+, \mathbf{z}_m^-]_{m \geq 1}$ such that $\mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n) \cong \mathbf{U}_\Delta(n) \otimes \mathbf{Z}_\Delta(n)$, where $\mathbf{U}_\Delta(n)$ is the subalgebra generated by $E_i = u_{e_i}^+, F_i = u_{e_i}^-, K_i$ for all $i \in I$.

We now define a candidate of the Lusztig form of $\mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n)$.

Let $\mathfrak{D}_\Delta^+(n)_\mathcal{Z} \cong \mathfrak{H}_\Delta(n)_\mathcal{Z}$ (resp., $\mathfrak{D}_\Delta^-(n)_\mathcal{Z} \cong \mathfrak{H}_\Delta(n)_\mathcal{Z}^{\text{op}}$) be the \mathcal{Z} -submodule of $\mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n)$ spanned by the elements u_A^+ (resp., u_A^-) for $A \in \Theta_\Delta^+(n)$, and let $\mathfrak{D}_\Delta^0(n)_\mathcal{Z}$ be the \mathcal{Z} -subalgebra of $\mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n)$ generated by $K_i^{\pm 1}$ and $\begin{bmatrix} K_i & 0 \\ & t \end{bmatrix}$, for $i \in I$ and $t \in \mathbb{N}$, where

$$\begin{bmatrix} K_i & 0 \\ & t \end{bmatrix} = \prod_{s=1}^t \frac{K_i v^{-s+1} - K_i^{-1} v^{s-1}}{v^s - v^{-s}}.$$

Let $\mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n)_\mathcal{Z} = \mathfrak{D}_\Delta^+(n)_\mathcal{Z} \mathfrak{D}_\Delta^0(n)_\mathcal{Z} \mathfrak{D}_\Delta^-(n)_\mathcal{Z}$. We will prove in Theorem 5.6 that $\mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n)_\mathcal{Z}$ is a \mathcal{Z} -subalgebra of $\mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n)$ and give a realisation for $\mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n)_\mathcal{Z}$.

3. A BLM TYPE PRESENTATION

We now describe a better presentation for $\mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n)$. Let $\mathfrak{S}_{\Delta, r}$ be the group consisting of all permutations $w : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ such that $w(i+r) = w(i) + r$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. The extended affine Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}_\Delta(r)_\mathcal{Z}$ over \mathcal{Z} associated to $\mathfrak{S}_{\Delta, r}$ is the (unital) \mathcal{Z} -algebra with basis $\{T_w\}_{w \in \mathfrak{S}_{\Delta, r}}$, and multiplication defined by

$$\begin{cases} T_{s_i}^2 = (v^2 - 1)T_{s_i} + v^2, & \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq r \\ T_w T_{w'} = T_{ww'}, & \text{if } \ell(ww') = \ell(w) + \ell(w'), \end{cases}$$

where $s_i \in \mathfrak{S}_{\Delta, r}$ is defined by setting $s_i(j) = j$ for $j \not\equiv i, i+1 \pmod{r}$, $s_i(j) = j-1$ for $j \equiv i+1 \pmod{r}$ and $s_i(j) = j+1$ for $j \equiv i \pmod{r}$. Let $\mathcal{H}_\Delta(r) = \mathcal{H}_\Delta(r)_\mathcal{Z} \otimes_{\mathcal{Z}} \mathbb{Q}(v)$.

For $\lambda = (\lambda_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \mathbb{Z}_\Delta^n$ let $\sigma(\lambda) = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} \lambda_i$. For $r \geq 0$ we set

$$\Lambda_\Delta(n, r) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{N}_\Delta^n \mid \sigma(\lambda) = r\}.$$

For $\lambda \in \Lambda_\Delta(n, r)$, let $\mathfrak{S}_\lambda := \mathfrak{S}_{(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)}$ be the corresponding standard Young subgroup of \mathfrak{S}_r .

For each $\lambda \in \Lambda_\Delta(n, r)$, let $x_\lambda = \sum_{w \in \mathfrak{S}_\lambda} T_w \in \mathcal{H}_\Delta(r)_\mathcal{Z}$. The endomorphism algebras

$$\mathcal{S}_\Delta(n, r)_\mathcal{Z} := \text{End}_{\mathcal{H}_\Delta(r)_\mathcal{Z}} \left(\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda_\Delta(n, r)} x_\lambda \mathcal{H}_\Delta(r)_\mathcal{Z} \right) \text{ and } \mathcal{S}_\Delta(n, r) := \text{End}_{\mathcal{H}_\Delta(r)} \left(\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda_\Delta(n, r)} x_\lambda \mathcal{H}_\Delta(r) \right).$$

are called affine quantum Schur algebras (cf. [18, 19, 28]).

For $A \in \tilde{\Theta}_\Delta(n)$ and $r \geq 0$, let

$$\sigma(A) = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n, j \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{i, j} \quad \text{and} \quad \Theta_\Delta(n, r) = \{A \in \Theta_\Delta(n) \mid \sigma(A) = r\}.$$

For $\lambda \in \Lambda_\Delta(n, r)$, let $\mathcal{D}_\lambda^\Delta = \{d \mid d \in \mathfrak{S}_{\Delta, r}, \ell(wd) = \ell(w) + \ell(d) \text{ for } w \in \mathfrak{S}_\lambda\}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda, \mu}^\Delta = \mathcal{D}_\lambda^\Delta \cap \mathcal{D}_\mu^{\Delta^{-1}}$. By [33, 7.4] (see also [10, 9.2]), there is a bijective map

$$\mathcal{J}_\Delta : \{(\lambda, d, \mu) \mid d \in \mathcal{D}_{\lambda, \mu}^\Delta, \lambda, \mu \in \Lambda_\Delta(n, r)\} \longrightarrow \Theta_\Delta(n, r)$$

sending (λ, d, μ) to the matrix $A = (|R_k^\lambda \cap dR_l^\mu|)_{k, l \in \mathbb{Z}}$, where

$$R_{i+kn}^\nu = \{\nu_{k, i-1} + 1, \nu_{k, i-1} + 2, \dots, \nu_{k, i-1} + \nu_i = \nu_{k, i}\} \text{ with } \nu_{k, i-1} = kr + \sum_{1 \leq t \leq i-1} \nu_t,$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq n$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\nu \in \Lambda_\Delta(n, r)$.

For $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda_\Delta(n, r)$ and $d \in \mathcal{D}_{\lambda, \mu}^\Delta$ satisfying $A = \mathcal{J}_\Delta(\lambda, d, \mu) \in \Theta_\Delta(n, r)$, define $e_A \in \mathcal{S}_\Delta(n, r)_\mathcal{Z}$ by

$$(3.0.1) \quad e_A(x_\nu h) = \delta_{\mu\nu} \sum_{w \in \mathfrak{S}_\lambda d \mathfrak{S}_\mu} T_w h,$$

where $\nu \in \Lambda_\Delta(n, r)$ and $h \in \mathcal{H}_\Delta(r)_\mathcal{Z}$, and let

$$(3.0.2) \quad [A] = v^{-d_A} e_A, \quad \text{where } d_A = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n \\ i \geq k, j < l}} a_{i, j} a_{k, l}.$$

Note that the sets $\{e_A \mid A \in \Theta_\Delta(n, r)\}$ and $\{[A] \mid A \in \Theta_\Delta(n, r)\}$ form \mathcal{Z} -bases for $\mathcal{S}_\Delta(n, r)_\mathcal{Z}$.

Let

$$\Theta_\Delta^\pm(n) = \{A \in \Theta_\Delta(n) \mid a_{i, i} = 0 \text{ for all } i\}.$$

For $A \in \Theta_\Delta^\pm(n)$, $\mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{Z}_\Delta^n$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}_\Delta^n$ let

$$\begin{aligned} A(\mathbf{j}, r) &= \sum_{\mu \in \Lambda_\Delta(n, r - \sigma(A))} v^{\mu \cdot \mathbf{j}} [A + \text{diag}(\mu)] \in \mathcal{S}_\Delta(n, r)_\mathcal{Z}. \\ A(\mathbf{j}, \lambda, r) &= \sum_{\mu \in \Lambda_\Delta(n, r - \sigma(A))} v^{\mu \cdot \mathbf{j}} \begin{bmatrix} \mu \\ \lambda \end{bmatrix} [A + \text{diag}(\mu)] \in \mathcal{S}_\Delta(n, r)_\mathcal{Z} \end{aligned}$$

The relationship between $\mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n)$ and $\mathcal{S}_\Delta(n, r)$ can be seen from the following (cf. [18, 28] and [33, Prop. 7.6]).

Theorem 3.1 ([4, 3.6.3, 3.8.1]). *For $r \geq 0$, the map $\zeta_r : \mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_\Delta(n, r)$ satisfying*

$$\zeta_r(K^{\mathbf{j}}) = 0(\mathbf{j}, r), \quad \zeta_r(\tilde{u}_A^+) = A(\mathbf{0}, r), \quad \text{and } \zeta_r(\tilde{u}_A^-) = ({}^t A)(\mathbf{0}, r),$$

for all $\mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{Z}_\Delta^n$, $A \in \Theta_\Delta^+(n)$ and the transpose ${}^t A$ of A , is a surjective algebra homomorphism.

The map ζ_r defined in Theorem 3.1 induce an algebra homomorphism

$$(3.1.1) \quad \zeta = \prod_{r \geq 0} \zeta_r : \mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_\Delta(n).$$

We now describe the image of ζ .

Let

$$\mathcal{S}_\Delta(n) = \prod_{r \geq 0} \mathcal{S}_\Delta(n, r).$$

For $A \in \Theta_\Delta^\pm(n)$, $\mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{Z}_\Delta^n$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}_\Delta^n$, define elements in $\mathcal{S}_\Delta(n)$

$$A(\mathbf{j}) = (A(\mathbf{j}, r))_{r \geq 0}, \quad A(\mathbf{j}, \lambda) = (A(\mathbf{j}, \lambda, r))_{r \geq 0}.$$

We set, for $A \in M_{\Delta, n}(\mathbb{Z})$ with $a_{i,j} < 0$ for some $i \neq j$, $A(\mathbf{j}, \lambda) = A(\mathbf{j}) = 0$.

Let $\mathcal{V}_\Delta(n)$ be the $\mathbb{Q}(v)$ -subspace of $\mathcal{S}_\Delta(n)$ spanned by $A(\mathbf{j}, \lambda)$ for $A \in \Theta_\Delta^\pm(n)$, $\mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{Z}_\Delta^n$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}_\Delta^n$. By [11, Lem. 4.1], $\{A(\mathbf{j}) \mid A \in \Theta_\Delta^\pm(n), \mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{N}_\Delta^n\}$ forms a basis for $\mathcal{V}_\Delta(n)$.

Theorem 3.2 ([11, 4.4]). *The $\mathbb{Q}(v)$ -space $\mathcal{V}_\Delta(n)$ is a subalgebra of $\mathcal{S}_\Delta(n)$. Furthermore, the restriction of ζ to $\mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n)$ induces a $\mathbb{Q}(v)$ -algebra isomorphism $\zeta : \mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n) \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_\Delta(n)$. In particular, we have*

$$\zeta(K^{\mathbf{j}}) = 0(\mathbf{j}), \quad \zeta(\tilde{u}_A^+) = A(\mathbf{0}), \quad \text{and} \quad \zeta(\tilde{u}_A^-) = ({}^t A)(\mathbf{0}),$$

for all $A \in \Theta_\Delta^\pm(n)$ and $\mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{Z}_\Delta^n$.

We shall identify $\mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n)$ with $\mathcal{V}_\Delta(n)$ via the map ζ and identify $\mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n)$ with $\mathbf{U}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ under the isomorphism given in Theorem 2.3. The following better presentation for $\mathbf{U}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$, called a *modified* BLM type realisation of quantum affine \mathfrak{gl}_n , is given in [11, Th. 1.1].

For $T = (t_{i,j}) \in \tilde{\Theta}_\Delta(n)$ let $\delta_T = (t_{i,i})_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{Z}}$, the ‘‘diagonal’’ of T and let $\tilde{T} = (\tilde{t}_{i,j})$, where $\tilde{t}_{i,j} = t_{i-1,j}$ for all $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}^n$.

For $A \in \tilde{\Theta}_\Delta(n)$, let $\text{ro}(A) = (\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{i,j})_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and $\text{co}(A) = (\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{i,j})_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$.

Theorem 3.3. *The quantum loop algebra $\mathbf{U}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ is the $\mathbb{Q}(v)$ -algebra which is spanned by the basis $\{A(\mathbf{j}) \mid A \in \Theta_\Delta^\pm(n), \mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{Z}_\Delta^n\}$ and generated by $0(\mathbf{j})$, $S_\alpha(\mathbf{0})$ and ${}^t S_\alpha(\mathbf{0})$ for all $\mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{Z}_\Delta^n$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_\Delta^n$, where $S_\alpha = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} \alpha_i E_{i,i+1}^\Delta$ and ${}^t S_\alpha$ is the transpose of S_α , and whose multiplication rules are given by:*

$$(1) \quad 0(\mathbf{j}')A(\mathbf{j}) = v^{\mathbf{j}' \cdot \text{ro}(A)} A(\mathbf{j}' + \mathbf{j}) \quad \text{and} \quad A(\mathbf{j})0(\mathbf{j}') = v^{\mathbf{j}' \cdot \text{co}(A)} A(\mathbf{j}' + \mathbf{j});$$

$$(2) \quad S_\alpha(\mathbf{0})A(\mathbf{j}) = \sum_{\substack{T \in \Theta_\Delta(n) \\ \text{ro}(T) = \alpha}} v^{f_T} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n \\ j \in \mathbb{Z}, j \neq i}} \left[\begin{array}{c} a_{i,j} + t_{i,j} - t_{i-1,j} \\ t_{i,j} \end{array} \right] (A + T^\pm - \tilde{T}^\pm)(\mathbf{j}_T, \delta_T),$$

where $\mathbf{j}_T = \mathbf{j} + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} (\sum_{j < i} (t_{i,j} - t_{i-1,j})) \mathbf{e}_i^\Delta$ and

$$f_T = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n \\ j > l, j \neq i}} a_{i,j} t_{i,l} - \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n \\ j > l, j \neq i+1}} a_{i+1,j} t_{i,l} - \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n \\ j > l, j \neq i}} t_{i-1,j} t_{i,l} + \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n \\ j > l, j \neq i, j \neq i+1}} t_{i,j} t_{i,l}$$

$$+ \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n \\ j < i+1}} t_{i,j} t_{i+1,i+1} + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} j_i (t_{i-1,i} - t_{i,i});$$

$$(3) \quad {}^t S_\alpha(\mathbf{0})A(\mathbf{j}) = \sum_{\substack{T \in \Theta_\Delta(n) \\ \text{ro}(T) = \alpha}} v^{f'_T} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n \\ j \in \mathbb{Z}, j \neq i}} \left[\begin{array}{c} a_{i,j} - t_{i,j} + t_{i-1,j} \\ t_{i-1,j} \end{array} \right] (A - T^\pm + \tilde{T}^\pm)(\mathbf{j}'_T, \delta_{\tilde{T}}),$$

where $\mathbf{j}'_T = \mathbf{j} + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} (\sum_{j > i} (t_{i-1,j} - t_{i,j})) \mathbf{e}_i^\Delta$ and

$$\begin{aligned} f_T^l = & \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n \\ l \geq j, j \neq i}} a_{i,j} t_{i-1,l} - \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n \\ l > j, j \neq i}} a_{i,j} t_{i,l} - \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n \\ j \geq l, l \neq i}} t_{i-1,j} t_{i,l} + \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n \\ j > l, l \neq i, l \neq i+1}} t_{i,j} t_{i,l} \\ & + \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n \\ i < j}} t_{i,j} t_{i-1,i} + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} j_i (t_{i,i} - t_{i-1,i}). \end{aligned}$$

4. SOME INTEGRAL MULTIPLICATION FORMULAS

Let $\bar{\cdot} : \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ be the ring homomorphism defined by $\bar{v} = v^{-1}$. The following result is proved in [11, 3.6].

Proposition 4.1. *Let $A \in \Theta_\Delta(n, r)$ and $\alpha, \gamma \in \mathbb{N}_\Delta^n$.*

(1) *If $B \in \Theta_\Delta(n, r)$ satisfies that $B - \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} \alpha_i E_{i,i+1}^\Delta$ is a diagonal matrix and $\text{co}(B) = \text{ro}(A)$, then in $\mathcal{S}_\Delta(n, r)_{\mathcal{Z}}$:*

$$[B][A] = \sum_{\substack{T \in \Theta_\Delta(n), \text{ro}(T) = \alpha \\ a_{i,j} + t_{i,j} - t_{i-1,j} \geq 0, \forall i, j}} v^{\beta(T, A)} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n \\ j \in \mathbb{Z}}} \overline{\left[\begin{array}{c} a_{i,j} + t_{i,j} - t_{i-1,j} \\ t_{i,j} \end{array} \right]} [A + T - \tilde{T}],$$

where $\beta(T, A) = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n, j \geq l} (a_{i,j} - t_{i-1,j}) t_{i,l} - \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n, j > l} (a_{i+1,j} - t_{i,j}) t_{i,l}$.

(2) *If $C \in \Theta_\Delta(n, r)$ satisfies that $C - \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} \gamma_i E_{i+1,i}^\Delta$ is a diagonal matrix and $\text{co}(C) = \text{ro}(A)$, then in $\mathcal{S}_\Delta(n, r)_{\mathcal{Z}}$:*

$$[C][A] = \sum_{\substack{T \in \Theta_\Delta(n), \text{ro}(T) = \gamma \\ a_{i,j} - t_{i,j} + t_{i-1,j} \geq 0, \forall i, j}} v^{\beta'(T, A)} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n \\ j \in \mathbb{Z}}} \overline{\left[\begin{array}{c} a_{i,j} - t_{i,j} + t_{i-1,j} \\ t_{i-1,j} \end{array} \right]} [A - T + \tilde{T}],$$

where $\beta'(T, A) = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n, l \geq j} (a_{i,j} - t_{i,j}) t_{i-1,l} - \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n, l > j} (a_{i,j} - t_{i,j}) t_{i,l}$.

We now derive some integral version of the multiplication formulas.

Proposition 4.2. *Let $A \in \Theta_\Delta^\pm(n)$, $S_\alpha = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} \alpha_i E_{i,i+1}^\Delta$ and ${}^t S_\alpha = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} \alpha_i E_{i+1,i}^\Delta$ with $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_\Delta^n$. Let $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{N}_\Delta^n$, $\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{j}' \in \mathbb{Z}_\Delta^n$. The following identities holds in $\mathcal{S}_\Delta(n)$:*

$$(1) \quad 0(\mathbf{j}', \mu) A(\mathbf{j}, \lambda) = \sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}_\Delta^n, \nu \leq \mu} a_\nu A(\mathbf{j}' + \mathbf{j} - \nu, \lambda + \mu - \nu);$$

where

$$a_\nu = \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{j}'' \in \mathbb{N}_\Delta^n \\ \nu - \lambda \leq \mathbf{j}'' \leq \nu}} v^{\text{ro}(A) \cdot (\mathbf{j}' + \mu - \mathbf{j}'') + \lambda \cdot (\mu - \mathbf{j}'')} \left[\begin{array}{c} \text{ro}(A) \\ \mathbf{j}'' \end{array} \right] \left[\begin{array}{c} \lambda + \mu - \nu \\ \nu - \mathbf{j}'', \lambda - \nu + \mathbf{j}'', \mu - \nu \end{array} \right];$$

$$(2) \quad S_\alpha(\mathbf{0}) A(\mathbf{j}, \lambda) = \sum_{\substack{T \in \Theta_\Delta(n), \text{ro}(T) = \alpha \\ \beta, \eta \in \mathbb{N}_\Delta^n, \beta \leq \delta_T, \beta + \eta \leq \lambda}} g_{\beta, \eta, T} \cdot (A + T^\pm - \tilde{T}^\pm)(\mathbf{j}_T + \lambda - \eta - 2\beta, \delta_T + \eta),$$

where

$$g_{\beta,\eta,T} = v^{f_T+(\eta+\beta)\cdot(2\delta_T-\delta_{\tilde{T}})} \begin{bmatrix} \delta_{\tilde{T}} - \delta_T \\ \lambda - \eta - \beta \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \delta_T + \eta \\ \beta, \delta_T - \beta, \eta \end{bmatrix} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n \\ j \neq i, j \in \mathbb{Z}}} \overline{\begin{bmatrix} a_{i,j} + t_{i,j} - t_{i-1,j} \\ t_{i,j} \end{bmatrix}} \in \mathcal{Z},$$

and \mathbf{j}_T, f_T are defined as in Theorem 3.3(2);

$$(3) \quad {}^t S_\alpha(\mathbf{0})A(\mathbf{j}, \lambda) = \sum_{\substack{T \in \Theta_\Delta(n), \text{ro}(T)=\alpha \\ \beta, \eta \in \mathbb{N}_\Delta^r, \beta \leq \delta_{\tilde{T}}, \beta + \eta \leq \lambda}} g'_{\beta,\eta,T} \cdot (A - T^\pm + \tilde{T}^\pm)(\mathbf{j}'_T + \lambda - \eta - 2\beta, \delta_{\tilde{T}} + \eta),$$

where

$$g'_{\beta,\eta,T} = v^{f'_T+(\eta+\beta)\cdot(2\delta_{\tilde{T}}-\delta_T)} \begin{bmatrix} \delta_T - \delta_{\tilde{T}} \\ \lambda - \eta - \beta \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \delta_{\tilde{T}} + \eta \\ \beta, \delta_{\tilde{T}} - \beta, \eta \end{bmatrix} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n \\ j \neq i, j \in \mathbb{Z}}} \overline{\begin{bmatrix} a_{i,j} - t_{i,j} + t_{i-1,j} \\ t_{i-1,j} \end{bmatrix}} \in \mathcal{Z},$$

and \mathbf{j}'_T, f'_T are defined as in Theorem 3.3(3). The same formulas hold in $\mathcal{S}_\Delta(n, r)_\mathcal{Z}$ with $A(\mathbf{j}, \lambda)$ etc. replaced by $A(\mathbf{j}, \lambda, r)$, etc.

Proof. The fact $[A][B] \neq 0 \implies \text{ro}(B) = \text{co}(A)$ gives

$$0(\mathbf{j}', \mu, r)A(\mathbf{j}, \lambda, r) = \sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda_\Delta(n, r - \sigma(A))} v^{\text{ro}(A)+\alpha, \mathbf{j}'+\alpha, \mathbf{j}} \begin{bmatrix} \text{ro}(A) + \alpha \\ \mu \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ \lambda \end{bmatrix} [A + \text{diag}(\alpha)].$$

Applying (1.1.2) yields the required formula. For more details, see [16, 3.4].

Similarly, by Proposition 4.1, the left hand side of (2) at level r becomes

$$\begin{aligned} S_\alpha(\mathbf{0}, r)A(\mathbf{j}, \lambda, r) &= \sum_{\gamma \in \Lambda_\Delta(n, r - \sigma(A))} v^{\gamma, \mathbf{j}} \begin{bmatrix} \gamma \\ \lambda \end{bmatrix} \left[S_\alpha + \text{diag} \left(\gamma + \text{ro}(A) - \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} \alpha_i e_{i+1}^\Delta \right) \right] [A + \text{diag}(\gamma)] \\ &= \sum_{\substack{T \in \Theta_\Delta(n) \\ \text{ro}(T)=\alpha}} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n \\ j \in \mathbb{Z}, j \neq i}} \overline{\begin{bmatrix} a_{i,j} + t_{i,j} - t_{i-1,j} \\ t_{i,j} \end{bmatrix}} x_T \end{aligned}$$

where

$$x_T = \sum_{\gamma \in \Lambda_\Delta(n, r - \sigma(A))} v^{\gamma, \mathbf{j} + \beta(T, A + \text{diag}(\gamma))} \begin{bmatrix} \gamma \\ \lambda \end{bmatrix} \overline{\begin{bmatrix} \gamma + \delta_T - \delta_{\tilde{T}} \\ \delta_T \end{bmatrix}} [A + T^\pm - \tilde{T}^\pm + \text{diag}(\gamma + \delta_T - \delta_{\tilde{T}})].$$

Let $\nu = \gamma + \delta_T - \delta_{\tilde{T}}$. Then $\beta(T, A + \text{diag}(\gamma)) = \beta_{A,T} + \beta_{\nu,T}$, where $\beta_{\nu,T} = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n, i \geq l} \nu_i t_{i,l} - \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n, i+1 > l} \nu_{i+1} t_{i,l}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_{A,T} &= \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n \\ j \geq l, j \neq i}} (a_{i,j} - t_{i-1,j}) t_{i,l} - \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n \\ j > l, j \neq i+1}} a_{i+1,j} t_{i,l} + \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n \\ j > l, j \neq i, i+1}} t_{i,j} t_{i,l} \\ &\quad - \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} t_{i,i}^2 + \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n \\ i+1 > l}} t_{i+1, i+1} t_{i,l}. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, we have $\overline{\begin{bmatrix} \nu \\ \delta_T \end{bmatrix}} = v^{\delta_T \cdot (\delta_T - \nu)} \begin{bmatrix} \nu \\ \delta_T \end{bmatrix}$, $\beta_{A,T} + \delta_T \cdot \delta_T + \mathbf{j} \cdot (\delta_{\tilde{T}} - \delta_T) = f_T$ and $\beta_{\nu,T} + \nu \cdot (\mathbf{j} - \delta_T) = \nu \cdot \mathbf{j}_T$. This implies that

$$x_T = \sum_{\nu \in \Lambda_\Delta(n, r - \sigma(A+T^\pm - \tilde{T}^\pm))} v^{f_T + \nu \cdot \delta_T \cdot \mathbf{j}} \begin{bmatrix} \nu \\ \delta_T \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \nu - \delta_T + \delta_{\tilde{T}} \\ \lambda \end{bmatrix} [A + T^\pm - \tilde{T}^\pm + \text{diag}(\nu)].$$

Applying the identities in (1.1.2) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{bmatrix} \nu \\ \delta_T \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \nu - \delta_T + \delta_{\tilde{T}} \\ \lambda \end{bmatrix} &= \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{N}_\Delta^n \\ \mathbf{x} \leq \lambda}} v^{\nu \cdot (\lambda - \mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{x} \cdot (\delta_{\tilde{T}} - \delta_T)} \begin{bmatrix} \nu \\ \delta_T \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \nu \\ \mathbf{x} \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \delta_{\tilde{T}} - \delta_T \\ \lambda - \mathbf{x} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{x}, \beta \in \mathbb{N}_\Delta^n, \beta \leq \delta_T \\ \beta \leq \mathbf{x} \leq \lambda}} v^{\nu \cdot (\lambda - \mathbf{x} - \beta) + \mathbf{x} \cdot (2\delta_T - \delta_{\tilde{T}})} \begin{bmatrix} \delta_{\tilde{T}} - \delta_T \\ \lambda - \mathbf{x} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \delta_T + \mathbf{x} - \beta \\ \beta, \delta_T - \beta, \mathbf{x} - \beta \end{bmatrix} \\ &\quad \times \begin{bmatrix} \nu \\ \delta_T + \mathbf{x} - \beta \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} x_T &= \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{x}, \beta \in \mathbb{N}_\Delta^n, \beta \leq \delta_T \\ \beta \leq \mathbf{x} \leq \lambda}} v^{f_T + \mathbf{x} \cdot (2\delta_T - \delta_{\tilde{T}})} \begin{bmatrix} \delta_{\tilde{T}} - \delta_T \\ \lambda - \mathbf{x} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \delta_T + \mathbf{x} - \beta \\ \beta, \delta_T - \beta, \mathbf{x} - \beta \end{bmatrix} \\ &\quad \times (A + T^\pm - \tilde{T}^\pm)(\mathbf{j}_T + \lambda - \mathbf{x} - \beta, \delta_T + \mathbf{x} - \beta) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\eta, \beta \in \mathbb{N}_\Delta^n, \beta \leq \delta_T \\ \beta + \eta \leq \lambda}} v^{f_T + (\eta + \beta) \cdot (2\delta_T - \delta_{\tilde{T}})} \begin{bmatrix} \delta_{\tilde{T}} - \delta_T \\ \lambda - \eta - \beta \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \delta_T + \eta \\ \beta, \delta_T - \beta, \eta \end{bmatrix} \\ &\quad \times (A + T^\pm - \tilde{T}^\pm)(\mathbf{j}_T + \lambda - \eta - 2\beta, \delta_T + \eta, r) \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, (2) holds. Formula (3) can be proved similarly. \square

5. LUSZTIG FORM OF $\mathbf{U}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ AND INTEGRAL AFFINE QUANTUM SCHUR–WEYL RECIPROCITY

We are now ready to determine the Lusztig form of $\mathbf{U}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ by proving the conjecture [4, 3.8.6].

Let $\mathcal{V}_\Delta(n)_\mathcal{Z}$ be the \mathcal{Z} -submodule of $\mathcal{S}_\Delta(n)$ spanned by $\{A(\mathbf{j}, \lambda) \mid A \in \Theta_\Delta^\pm(n), \mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{Z}_\Delta^n, \lambda \in \mathbb{N}_\Delta^n\}$. As seen above, $\mathcal{V}_\Delta(n)_\mathcal{Z}$ is a \mathcal{Z} -submodule of $\mathcal{V}_\Delta(n)$. Our aim is to show that $\mathcal{V}_\Delta(n)_\mathcal{Z}$ is a realisation of $\mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n)_\mathcal{Z}$ (see Theorem 5.6 below). The following result is [16, 4.8].

Lemma 5.1. *The set $\{A(\mathbf{j}, \lambda) \mid A \in \Theta_\Delta^\pm(n), \mathbf{j}, \lambda \in \mathbb{N}_\Delta^n, j_i \in \{0, 1\}, \forall i\}$ forms a \mathcal{Z} -basis for $\mathcal{V}_\Delta(n)_\mathcal{Z}$.*

Proof. Since the 0-part of $\mathbf{U}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ is the same as that of $\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$, the proof in the finite case [16, 4.2] carries over. \square

Let $\mathcal{V}_\Delta^+(n)_\mathcal{Z} = \text{span}_\mathcal{Z}\{A(\mathbf{0}) \mid A \in \Theta_\Delta^+(n)\}$, $\mathcal{V}_\Delta^-(n)_\mathcal{Z} = \text{span}_\mathcal{Z}\{A(\mathbf{0}) \mid A \in \Theta_\Delta^-(n)\}$ and $\mathcal{V}_\Delta^0(n)_\mathcal{Z} = \text{span}_\mathcal{Z}\{0(\mathbf{j}, \lambda) \mid \mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{Z}_\Delta^n, \lambda \in \mathbb{N}_\Delta^n\}$. By Proposition 4.2(1), $\mathcal{V}_\Delta^0(n)_\mathcal{Z}$ is a \mathcal{Z} -subalgebra of $\mathcal{S}_\Delta(n)$.

Lemma 5.2. *The \mathcal{Z} -module $\mathcal{V}_\Delta^+(n)_\mathcal{Z}$ (resp., $\mathcal{V}_\Delta^-(n)_\mathcal{Z}$) is a subalgebras of $\mathcal{S}_\Delta(n)$ which is generated by $(\sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} \alpha_i E_{i,i+1}^\Delta)(\mathbf{0})$ (resp., $(\sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} \alpha_i E_{i+1,i}^\Delta)(\mathbf{0})$) for $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_\Delta^n$ as a \mathcal{Z} -algebra.*

Proof. Since $\mathfrak{D}_\Delta^+(n)_\mathcal{Z} = \mathfrak{H}_\Delta(n)_\mathcal{Z}$ is a \mathcal{Z} -subalgebra of $\mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n)$ and $\mathcal{V}_\Delta^+(n) = \zeta(\mathfrak{D}_\Delta^+(n)_\mathcal{Z})$ by Theorem 3.2, we conclude the first assertion which together with Proposition 2.2 gives the second assertion. \square

We now recall the triangular relation for affine quantum Schur algebras. For $A, B \in \tilde{\Theta}_\Delta(n)$ define

$$(5.2.1) \quad B \sqsubseteq A \text{ if and only if } B \preceq A, \text{co}(B) = \text{co}(A) \text{ and } \text{ro}(B) = \text{ro}(A).$$

Put $B \sqsubset A$ if $B \sqsubseteq A$ and $B \neq A$. According to [10, 6.1] the order relation \sqsubseteq is a partial order relation on $\tilde{\Theta}_\Delta(n)$ with finite intervals $(-\infty, A]$ for all A ; see Lemma 7.5 below.

For $A \in \tilde{\Theta}_\Delta(n)$ with $\sigma(A) = r$, we denote $[A] = 0 \in \mathcal{S}_\Delta(n, r)_\mathcal{Z}$ if $a_{i,i} < 0$ for some $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. For $A \in \tilde{\Theta}_\Delta(n)$ let $\boldsymbol{\sigma}(A) = (\sigma_i(A))_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \mathbb{N}_\Delta^n$ where $\sigma_i(A) = a_{i,i} + \sum_{j < i} (a_{i,j} + a_{j,i})$. The following triangular relation for affine quantum Schur algebras is given in [4, 3.7.7]. The first assertion can be seen easily from the proof of loc. cit.

Proposition 5.3. *For $A \in \Theta_\Delta^\pm(n)$ and $\lambda \in \Lambda_\Delta(n, r)$, we have*

$$A^+(\mathbf{0}, r)[\text{diag}(\lambda)]A^-(\mathbf{0}, r) = [A + \text{diag}(\lambda - \boldsymbol{\sigma}(A))] + a \text{ } \mathcal{Z}\text{-linear comb. of } [A'] \text{ with } A' \sqsubset A.$$

In particular, the set

$$\{A^+(\mathbf{0}, r)[\text{diag}(\lambda)]A^-(\mathbf{0}, r) \mid A \in \Theta_\Delta^\pm(n), \lambda \in \Lambda_\Delta(n, r), \lambda \geq \boldsymbol{\sigma}(A)\}$$

forms a \mathcal{Z} -basis for $\mathcal{S}_\Delta(n, r)_\mathcal{Z}$, where the order relation \leq is defined in (1.1.1).

For $w \in \tilde{\Sigma}$, let

$$\mathfrak{m}_{(w)}^+ = \zeta(\tilde{u}_{(w)}^+) \in \mathcal{S}_\Delta(n) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{m}_{(w)}^- = \zeta(\tilde{u}_{(w)}^-) \in \mathcal{S}_\Delta(n).$$

The triangular relation for affine quantum Schur algebras can be lifted to the $\mathcal{S}_\Delta(n)$ level as follows.

Lemma 5.4. *Let $A \in \Theta_\Delta^\pm(n)$, $\mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{Z}_\Delta^n$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}_\Delta^n$.*

(1) *We have*

$$A^+(\mathbf{0})0(\mathbf{j}, \lambda)A^-(\mathbf{0}) = \sum_{\substack{\delta \in \mathbb{N}_\Delta^n \\ \delta \leq \lambda}} v^{(\mathbf{j}-\delta) \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}(A)} \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\sigma}(A) \\ \lambda - \delta \end{bmatrix} A(\mathbf{j} + \lambda - \delta, \delta) + f$$

where f is a \mathcal{Z} -linear combination of $B(\mathbf{j}', \delta)$ such that $B \in \Theta_\Delta^\pm(n)$, $B \prec A$, $\delta \in \mathbb{N}_\Delta^n$ and $\mathbf{j}' \in \mathbb{Z}_\Delta^n$. In particular, We have $\mathcal{V}_\Delta(n)_\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{V}_\Delta^+(n)_\mathcal{Z} \mathcal{V}_\Delta^0(n)_\mathcal{Z} \mathcal{V}_\Delta^-(n)_\mathcal{Z}$.

(2) There exist $w_{A^+}, w_{A^-} \in \tilde{\Sigma}$ such that $\wp^+(w_{A^+}) = A^+$, $\wp^-(w_{A^-}) := {}^t\wp^+(w_{A^-}) = A^-$ and

$$\mathfrak{m}_{(w_{A^+})}^+ 0(\mathbf{j}, \lambda) \mathfrak{m}_{(w_{A^-})}^- = \sum_{\substack{\delta \in \mathbb{N}_\Delta^n \\ \delta \leq \lambda}} v^{(\mathbf{j}-\delta), \sigma(A)} \begin{bmatrix} \sigma(A) \\ \lambda - \delta \end{bmatrix} A(\mathbf{j} + \lambda - \delta, \delta) + g$$

where g is a \mathcal{Z} -linear combination of $B(\mathbf{j}', \delta)$ such that $B \in \Theta_\Delta^\pm(n)$, $B \prec A$, $\delta \in \mathbb{N}_\Delta^n$ and $\mathbf{j}' \in \mathbb{Z}_\Delta^n$.

Proof. According to Proposition 5.3, for any $\mu \in \Lambda_\Delta(n, r)$, we have

$$A^+(\mathbf{0}, r)[\text{diag}(\mu)]A^-(\mathbf{0}, r) = [A + \text{diag}(\mu - \sigma(A))] + f_{\mu, r}$$

where $f_{\mu, r}$ is a \mathcal{Z} -linear combination of $[B]$ such that $B \in \Theta_\Delta(n, r)$ and $B \sqsubset A + \text{diag}(\mu - \sigma(A))$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} A^+(\mathbf{0}, r)0(\mathbf{j}, \lambda, r)A^-(\mathbf{0}, r) &= \sum_{\mu \in \Lambda_\Delta(n, r)} v^{\mathbf{j} \cdot \mu} \begin{bmatrix} \mu \\ \lambda \end{bmatrix} ([A + \text{diag}(\mu - \sigma(A))] + f_{\mu, r}) \\ &= \sum_{\nu \in \Lambda_\Delta(n-r-\sigma(A))} v^{\mathbf{j} \cdot (\nu + \sigma(A))} \begin{bmatrix} \nu + \sigma(A) \\ \lambda \end{bmatrix} [A + \text{diag}(\nu)] + f_r, \end{aligned}$$

where $f_r = \sum_{\mu \in \Lambda_\Delta(n, r)} v^{\mathbf{j} \cdot \mu} \begin{bmatrix} \mu \\ \lambda \end{bmatrix} f_{\mu, r}$. By (1.1.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} A^+(\mathbf{0}, r)0(\mathbf{j}, \lambda, r)A^-(\mathbf{0}, r) &= \sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}_\Delta^n} v^{\mathbf{j} \cdot (\nu + \sigma(A))} \sum_{\substack{\delta \in \mathbb{N}_\Delta^n \\ \delta \leq \lambda}} v^{\nu \cdot (\lambda - \delta) - \delta \cdot \sigma(A)} \begin{bmatrix} \nu \\ \delta \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \sigma(A) \\ \lambda - \delta \end{bmatrix} [A + \text{diag}(\nu)] + f_r \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\delta \in \mathbb{N}_\Delta^n \\ \delta \leq \lambda}} v^{(\mathbf{j}-\delta), \sigma(A)} \begin{bmatrix} \sigma(A) \\ \lambda - \delta \end{bmatrix} A(\mathbf{j} + \lambda - \delta, \delta) + f_r. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 5.2 and Proposition 4.2, we see that $(f_r)_{r \geq 0} \in \mathcal{V}_\Delta(n)_\mathcal{Z}$. Hence, $(f_r)_{r \geq 0}$ must be a \mathcal{Z} -linear combination of $B(\mathbf{j}', \delta)$ such that $B \in \Theta_\Delta^\pm(n)$, $B \prec A$, $\delta \in \mathbb{N}_\Delta^n$ and $\mathbf{j}' \in \mathbb{Z}_\Delta^n$. This proves (1). The assertion (2) follows from (1), Proposition 2.2 and Theorem 3.1. \square

For $A \in \tilde{\Theta}_\Delta(n)$, let

$$\|A\| = \sum_{\substack{i < j \\ 1 \leq i \leq n}} \binom{j-i+1}{2} (a_{i,j} + a_{j,i}).$$

Then, $A \prec B$ implies $\|A\| < \|B\|$. The following result is the affine version of [16, Prop. 4.3] which is conjectured in [16, 4.9].

Proposition 5.5. *The \mathcal{Z} -module $\mathcal{V}_\Delta(n)_\mathcal{Z}$ is a subalgebra of $\mathcal{S}_\Delta(n)$ which is generated by the elements $(\sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} \alpha_i E_{i, i+1}^\Delta)(\mathbf{0})$, $(\sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} \alpha_i E_{i+1, i}^\Delta)(\mathbf{0})$, $0(\mathbf{e}_i^\Delta)$, $0(\mathbf{0}, t\mathbf{e}_i^\Delta)$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_\Delta^n$, $t \in \mathbb{N}$, $1 \leq i \leq n$.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{V}_\Delta(n)'_\mathcal{Z}$ be the \mathcal{Z} -subalgebra of $\mathcal{S}_\Delta(n)$ generated by the indicated elements. According to Proposition 4.2, we have $\mathcal{V}_\Delta(n)'_\mathcal{Z} \subseteq \mathcal{V}_\Delta(n)_\mathcal{Z} \subseteq \mathcal{V}_\Delta(n)_\mathcal{Z}$. We shall show by induction on $\|A\|$ that $A(\mathbf{j}, \lambda) \in \mathcal{V}_\Delta(n)'_\mathcal{Z}$ for all $A \in \Theta_\Delta^\pm(n)$, $\mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{Z}_\Delta^n$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}_\Delta^n$. If $\|A\| = 0$, then $A = 0$ and

$0(\mathbf{j}, \lambda) = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} 0(\mathbf{e}_i^\Delta)^{j_i} 0(\mathbf{0}, \lambda_i \mathbf{e}_i^\Delta) \in \mathcal{V}_\Delta(n)'_{\mathcal{Z}}$. Now we assume that $\|A\| > 0$ and $A'(\mathbf{j}, \lambda) \in \mathcal{V}_\Delta(n)'_{\mathcal{Z}}$ for all A', \mathbf{j}, λ with $\|A'\| < \|A\|$. By Lemma 5.4(2) and [4, 3.7.6], there exist $w_{A^+}, w_{A^-} \in \tilde{\Sigma}$ such that

$$\mathfrak{m}_{(w_{A^+})}^+ \mathfrak{m}_{(w_{A^-})}^- = A(\mathbf{0}) + g$$

where g is a \mathcal{Z} -linear combination of $B(\mathbf{j}', \delta)$ with $B \in \Theta_\Delta^\pm(n)$, $\|B\| < \|A\|$, $\delta \in \mathbb{N}_\Delta^n$ and $\mathbf{j}' \in \mathbb{Z}_\Delta^n$. By the induction hypothesis we have $g \in \mathcal{V}_\Delta(n)'_{\mathcal{Z}}$. It follows that $A(\mathbf{0}) \in \mathcal{V}_\Delta(n)'_{\mathcal{Z}}$ and so $A(\mathbf{j}) \in \mathcal{V}_\Delta(n)'_{\mathcal{Z}}$ by Theorem 3.3(1). Furthermore, by Proposition 4.2(1) (setting $\mathbf{j}' = \mu - \nu$ there),

$$(5.5.1) \quad \begin{aligned} 0(\mathbf{j}, \lambda)A(\mathbf{0}) &= v^{\text{ro}(A) \cdot (\mathbf{j} + \lambda)} A(\mathbf{j}, \lambda) + \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{j}' \in \mathbb{N}_\Delta^n \\ \mathbf{j}' < \lambda}} v^{\text{ro}(A) \cdot (\mathbf{j} + \mathbf{j}')} \begin{bmatrix} \text{ro}(A) \\ \lambda - \mathbf{j}' \end{bmatrix} A(\mathbf{j} + \mathbf{j}' - \lambda, \mathbf{j}') \\ &= v^{\text{ro}(A) \cdot (\mathbf{j} + \lambda)} A(\mathbf{j}, \lambda) + \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{j}' \in \mathbb{N}_\Delta^n \\ \sigma(\mathbf{j}') < \sigma(\lambda)}} v^{\text{ro}(A) \cdot (\mathbf{j} + \mathbf{j}')} \begin{bmatrix} \text{ro}(A) \\ \lambda - \mathbf{j}' \end{bmatrix} A(\mathbf{j} + \mathbf{j}' - \lambda, \mathbf{j}'). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by induction on $\sigma(\lambda)$, we conclude that $A(\mathbf{j}, \lambda) \in \mathcal{V}_\Delta(n)'_{\mathcal{Z}}$ for all $\mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{Z}_\Delta^n$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}_\Delta^n$. \square

As indicated in [16, Rem. 4.10(3)], we now use Proposition 5.5 to prove the conjecture formulated in [4, 3.8.6]. Recall from Theorem 3.2 that the homomorphism ζ in (3.1.1) induces an isomorphism $\zeta : \mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n) \rightarrow \mathfrak{V}_\Delta(n)$.

Theorem 5.6. *We have $\zeta^{-1}(\mathcal{V}_\Delta(n)_{\mathcal{Z}}) = \mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n)_{\mathcal{Z}}$. In particular, $\mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n)_{\mathcal{Z}}$ is a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n)$ isomorphic to $\mathcal{V}_\Delta(n)_{\mathcal{Z}}$. Moreover, $\mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n)_{\mathcal{Z}}$ is a Hopf subalgebra of $\mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n)$.*

Proof. Since $\zeta(\mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n)_{\mathcal{Z}}) = \zeta(\mathfrak{D}_\Delta^+(n)_{\mathcal{Z}})\zeta(\mathfrak{D}_\Delta^0(n)_{\mathcal{Z}})\zeta(\mathfrak{D}_\Delta^-(n)_{\mathcal{Z}}) = \mathcal{V}_\Delta^+(n)_{\mathcal{Z}}\mathcal{V}_\Delta^0(n)_{\mathcal{Z}}\mathcal{V}_\Delta^-(n)_{\mathcal{Z}}$, it follows from Lemma 5.4(1) that $\zeta(\mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n)_{\mathcal{Z}}) = \mathcal{V}_\Delta(n)_{\mathcal{Z}}$. Hence, by Proposition 5.5 and Theorem 3.2, $\mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n)_{\mathcal{Z}}$ is a subalgebra. By using the semisimple generators for $\mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n)_{\mathcal{Z}}$, the last assertion follows from [4, 3.5.7]. \square

Remark 5.7. (1) A different integral form $U_v^{\text{res}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ of $\mathbf{U}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ was constructed in [13, 7.2]. As pointed out in [13], it is not known if $U_v^{\text{res}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ is a Hopf subalgebra. It would be interesting to find a relation between $\mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n)_{\mathcal{Z}}$ and $U_v^{\text{res}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$.

(2) There is another form using the Lusztig form of $\mathbf{U}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ tensoring with an integral central algebra; see [4, 2.4.4]. However, this form does not map onto the integral affine quantum Schur algebras; see Example 5.3.8 in [4].

We end this section with an application to the affine quantum Schur–Weyl reciprocity at the integral level. The proof of the following result is the same as that of [4, Th. 3.8.1(1)].

Theorem 5.8. *The restriction of ζ_r to $\mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n)_{\mathcal{Z}}$ gives a surjective \mathcal{Z} -algebra homomorphism*

$$\zeta_r : \mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n)_{\mathcal{Z}} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{S}_\Delta(n, r)_{\mathcal{Z}}.$$

Let κ be a commutative ring containing an invertible element ε . We will regard κ as a \mathcal{Z} -module by specializing v to ε . Let $\mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n)_\kappa = \mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n)_\mathcal{Z} \otimes_{\mathcal{Z}} \kappa$, $\mathcal{S}_\Delta(n, r)_\kappa = \mathcal{S}_\Delta(n, r)_\mathcal{Z} \otimes_{\mathcal{Z}} \kappa$. Then we have $\mathcal{S}_\Delta(n, r)_\kappa \cong \text{End}_{\mathcal{H}_\Delta(r)_\kappa}(\mathcal{T}_\Delta(n, r)_\kappa)$, where $\mathcal{T}_\Delta(n, r)_\kappa = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda_\Delta(n, r)} (x_\lambda \mathcal{H}_\Delta(r)_\kappa)$ with $\mathcal{H}_\Delta(r)_\kappa = \mathcal{H}_\Delta(r)_\mathcal{Z} \otimes_{\mathcal{Z}} \kappa$.

Corollary 5.9. *For any commutative ring κ , there is an algebra epimorphism*

$$\zeta_r \otimes 1 : \mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n)_\kappa \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{S}_\Delta(n, r)_\kappa.$$

6. THE AFFINE BLM ALGEBRA $\mathbf{K}_\Delta(n)_\mathcal{Z}$

We first derive in Proposition 6.3 the affine stabilisation property for affine quantum Schur algebras, which is the affine analogue of [1, 4.2]. We then construct the affine BLM algebra $\mathbf{K}_\Delta(n)$ and prove that it is isomorphic to the modified quantum group $\dot{\mathfrak{D}}_\Delta(n)$.

Observe the structure constants in Proposition 4.1 and separate the Gaussian polynomial $\llbracket a_{i,i} + t_{i,i} - t_{i-1,i} \rrbracket_{t_{i,i}}$ from the product. We now introduce, for a second indeterminate v' , $T \in \Theta_\Delta(n)$ and $A \in \tilde{\Theta}_\Delta(n)$, the polynomials

$$P_{T,A}(v, v') = v^{\beta(T,A)} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n \\ j \in \mathbb{Z}, j \neq i}} \overline{\left[\begin{matrix} a_{i,j} + t_{i,j} - t_{i-1,j} \\ t_{i,j} \end{matrix} \right]} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n \\ 1 \leq s \leq t_{i,i}}} \frac{v^{-2(a_{i,i} + t_{i,i} - t_{i-1,i} - s + 1)} v'^2 - 1}{v^{-2s} - 1}$$

and

$$Q_{T,A}(v, v') = v^{\beta'(T,A)} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n \\ j \in \mathbb{Z}, j \neq i}} \overline{\left[\begin{matrix} a_{i,j} - t_{i,j} + t_{i-1,j} \\ t_{i,j} \end{matrix} \right]} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n \\ 1 \leq s \leq t_{i-1,i}}} \frac{v^{-2(a_{i,i} - t_{i,i} + t_{i-1,i} - s + 1)} v'^2 - 1}{v^{-2s} - 1}$$

in the subring \mathcal{Z}_1 of $\mathbb{Q}(v)[v', v'^{-1}]$, where

$$(6.0.1) \quad \mathcal{Z}_1 \text{ is generated (over } \mathbb{Z}!) \text{ by } \prod_{1 \leq i \leq t} \frac{v^{-2(a-i)} v'^2 - 1}{v^{-2i} - 1}, \prod_{1 \leq i \leq t} \frac{v^{2(a-i)} v'^{-2} - 1}{v^{2i} - 1}, \text{ and } v^j$$

for all $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, $t \geq 1$ and $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Note that $\mathcal{Z}_1|_{v'=1} = \mathcal{Z}$.

For $A \in \tilde{\Theta}_\Delta(n)$ and $p \in \mathbb{Z}$, let

$${}_p A = A + pI$$

where $I \in \Theta_\Delta(n)$ is the identity matrix. Then it is clear that $\beta(T, A) = \beta(T, {}_p A)$ and $\beta'(T, A) = \beta'(T, {}_p A)$. Thus, Proposition 4.1 can be generalised as follows.

Lemma 6.1. *Let $A, B \in \tilde{\Theta}_\Delta(n)$ and assume $\text{co}(B) = \text{ro}(A)$ and $b = \sigma(A) = \sigma(B)$.*

(1) *If $B - \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} \alpha_i E_{i,i+1}^\Delta$ is diagonal for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_\Delta^n$ then, for large p and $r = pn + b$, we have in $\mathcal{S}_\Delta(n, r)_\mathcal{Z}$:*

$$[{}_p B][{}_p A] = \sum_{\substack{T \in \Theta_\Delta(n), \text{ro}(T) = \alpha \\ \alpha_{i,j} + t_{i,j} - t_{i-1,j} \geq 0, \forall i \neq j}} P_{T,A}(v, v^{-p}) [{}_p(A + T - \tilde{T})].$$

(2) If $B - \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} \alpha_i E_{i+1,i}^\Delta$ is diagonal for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_\Delta^n$ then, for large p and $r = pn + b$, we have in $\mathcal{S}_\Delta(n, r)_Z$:

$$[{}_p B][{}_p A] = \sum_{\substack{T \in \Theta_\Delta(n), \text{ro}(T) = \alpha \\ \alpha_{i,j} - t_{i,j} + t_{i-1,j} \geq 0, \forall i \neq j}} Q_{T,A}(v, v^{-p}) [{}_p(A - T + \tilde{T})].$$

Let $\tilde{\Theta}_\Delta(n)^{ss}$ be the set of $X \in \tilde{\Theta}_\Delta(n)$ such that either $X - \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} \alpha_i E_{i,i+1}^\Delta$ or $X - \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} \alpha_i E_{i+1,i}^\Delta$ is diagonal for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_\Delta^n$. We have the following affine version of [1, 3.9] (see [15, 4.5] for a slightly different version). For completeness, we include a proof.

Proposition 6.2. *Let $A \in \Theta_\Delta(n, r)$. Then there exist upper triangular matrices A_1, A_2, \dots, A_s and lower triangular matrices $A_{s+1}, A_{s+2}, \dots, A_t$ in $\tilde{\Theta}_\Delta(n)^{ss} \cap \Theta_\Delta(n, r)$ such that $\text{co}(A_i) = \text{ro}(A_{i+1})$ ($1 \leq i \leq t-1$) and the following identity holds in $\mathcal{S}_\Delta(n, pn+r)_Z$: for $p \geq 0$,*

$$[{}_p(A_1)] \cdots [{}_p(A_s)] \cdot [{}_p(A_{s+1})] \cdots [{}_p(A_t)] = [{}_p A] + \text{lower terms relative to } \square.$$

Proof. By Proposition 2.2, there is a distinguished words w_B for every $B \in \Theta_\Delta^+(n)$ satisfying the triangular relation (2.2.1). Let $x = w_{A^+}$ and $y = {}^t w_{A^-}$. By Theorem 3.1 and Proposition 2.2, we have in $\mathcal{S}_\Delta(n, r)_Z$

$$\mathfrak{m}_{(x),r}^+ := \zeta_r(\tilde{u}_{(x)}^+) = A^+(\mathbf{0}, r) + f \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{m}_{(y),r}^- := \zeta_r(\tilde{u}_{(y)}^-) = A^-(\mathbf{0}, r) + g,$$

where f (resp., g) is a linear combination of $B(\mathbf{0}, r)$ with $B \in \Theta_\Delta^+(n)$ (resp., $B \in \Theta_\Delta^-(n)$) and $B \prec A^+$ (resp., $B \prec A^-$). By Proposition 5.3, we have for $p \geq 0$

$$\mathfrak{m}_{(x),r}^+[\text{diag}(\sigma({}_p A))] \mathfrak{m}_{(y),r}^- = [{}_p A] + \text{lower terms.}$$

Finally, by writing the words x, y in full, it is clear to see that there exist upper triangular matrices A_1, A_2, \dots, A_s and lower triangular matrices $A_{s+1}, A_{s+2}, \dots, A_t$ in $\tilde{\Theta}_\Delta(n)^{ss}$ such that

$$\mathfrak{m}_{(x),r}^+[\text{diag}(\sigma({}_p A))] = [{}_p(A_1)] \cdots [{}_p(A_s)] \quad \text{and} \quad [\text{diag}(\sigma({}_p A))] \mathfrak{m}_{(y),r}^- = [{}_p(A_{s+1})] \cdots [{}_p(A_t)],$$

as desired. \square

We can now prove the following stabilization property for affine quantum Schur algebras.

Proposition 6.3. *Let $A, B \in \tilde{\Theta}_\Delta(n)$ and assume $\text{co}(B) = \text{ro}(A)$. Then there exist unique $X_1, \dots, X_m \in \tilde{\Theta}_\Delta(n)$, unique $P_1(v, v'), \dots, P_m(v, v') \in \mathcal{Z}_1$ and an integer $p_0 \geq 0$ such that, in $\mathcal{S}_\Delta(n, pn + \sigma(A))_Z$,*

$$(6.3.1) \quad [{}_p B][{}_p A] = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq m} P_i(v, v^{-p}) [{}_p X_i] \quad \text{for all } p \geq p_0.$$

Proof. The proof can be conducted by induction on $\|B\|$. With Lemma 6.1 and Proposition 6.2, the proof is entirely similar to that of [1, 3.9] or [5, Prop. 14.1]. \square

Let $\tilde{\mathbf{K}}_\Delta(n)_{\mathcal{Z}_1}$ be the free \mathcal{Z}_1 -module with basis $\{A \mid A \in \tilde{\Theta}_\Delta(n)\}$. Then, by Proposition 6.3, we may make $\tilde{\mathbf{K}}_\Delta(n)_{\mathcal{Z}_1}$ into an associative \mathcal{Z}_1 -algebra (without unit) by the multiplication:

$$(6.3.2) \quad B \cdot A = \begin{cases} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq m} P_i(v, v') X_i, & \text{if } \text{co}(B) = \text{ro}(A); \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let

$$\mathbf{K}_\Delta(n)_{\mathcal{Z}} = \tilde{\mathbf{K}}_\Delta(n)_{\mathcal{Z}_1} \otimes_{\mathcal{Z}_1} \mathcal{Z},$$

where \mathcal{Z} is regarded as a \mathcal{Z}_1 -module by specializing v' to 1. Then $\mathbf{K}_\Delta(n)_{\mathcal{Z}}$ becomes an associative \mathcal{Z} -algebra with basis $\{[A] := A \otimes 1 \mid A \in \tilde{\Theta}_\Delta(n)\}$. Let $\mathbf{K}_\Delta(n) = \mathbf{K}_\Delta(n)_{\mathcal{Z}} \otimes_{\mathcal{Z}} \mathbb{Q}(v)$.

Following [1, 5.1], let $\hat{\mathbf{K}}_\Delta(n)$ be the vector space of all formal (possibly infinite) $\mathbb{Q}(v)$ -linear combinations $\sum_{A \in \tilde{\Theta}_\Delta(n)} \beta_A [A]$ such that, for any $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, the sets $\{A \in \tilde{\Theta}_\Delta(n) \mid \beta_A \neq 0, \text{ro}(A) = \mathbf{x}\}$ and $\{A \in \tilde{\Theta}_\Delta(n) \mid \beta_A \neq 0, \text{co}(A) = \mathbf{x}\}$ are finite. We can define the product of two elements $\sum_{A \in \tilde{\Theta}_\Delta(n)} \beta_A [A]$, $\sum_{B \in \tilde{\Theta}_\Delta(n)} \gamma_B [B]$ in $\hat{\mathbf{K}}_\Delta(n)$ to be $\sum_{A, B} \beta_A \gamma_B [A][B]$. This defines an associative algebra structure on $\hat{\mathbf{K}}_\Delta(n)$. The algebra $\mathcal{V}_\Delta(n)$ can also be realized as a $\mathbb{Q}(v)$ -subalgebra of $\hat{\mathbf{K}}_\Delta(n)$, which we now describe.

The following result can be proved in a way similar to the proof of [9, 6.7] (cf. [15, 6.3]).

Lemma 6.4. *The linear map $\dot{\zeta}_r : \mathbf{K}_\Delta(n)_{\mathcal{Z}} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_\Delta(n, r)_{\mathcal{Z}}$ defined by*

$$(6.4.1) \quad \dot{\zeta}_r([A]) = \begin{cases} [A] & \text{if } A \in \Theta_\Delta(n, r); \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

is an algebra epimorphism.

The map $\dot{\zeta}_r : \mathbf{K}_\Delta(n)_{\mathcal{Z}} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_\Delta(n, r)_{\mathcal{Z}}$ induces a surjective algebra homomorphism

$$(6.4.2) \quad \hat{\zeta}_r : \hat{\mathbf{K}}_\Delta(n) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_\Delta(n, r)$$

sending $\sum_{A \in \tilde{\Theta}_\Delta(n)} \beta_A [A]$ to $\sum_{A \in \tilde{\Theta}_\Delta(n)} \beta_A \dot{\zeta}_r([A])$. Consequently, we get a surjective algebra homomorphism

$$(6.4.3) \quad \hat{\zeta} : \hat{\mathbf{K}}_\Delta(n) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_\Delta(n).$$

defined by sending x to $\hat{\zeta}(x) := (\hat{\zeta}_r(x))_{r \geq 0}$. It is clear that we have $\hat{\zeta}(\mathbf{K}_\Delta(n)) = \mathcal{S}_\Delta^\oplus(n)$ where $\mathcal{S}_\Delta^\oplus(n) = \bigoplus_{r \geq 0} \mathcal{S}_\Delta(n, r)$. Thus, by restriction $\hat{\zeta}$ to $\mathbf{K}_\Delta(n)$, we get a surjective algebra homomorphism from $\mathbf{K}_\Delta(n)$ to $\mathcal{S}_\Delta^\oplus(n)$.

For $A \in \Theta_\Delta^\pm(n)$, $\mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{Z}_\Delta^n$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}_\Delta^n$, let

$$A(\mathbf{j}) := \sum_{\mu \in \mathbb{Z}_\Delta^n} v^{\mu \cdot \mathbf{j}} [A + \text{diag}(\mu)] \quad \text{and} \quad A(\mathbf{j}, \lambda) := \sum_{\mu \in \mathbb{Z}_\Delta^n} v^{\mu \cdot \mathbf{j}} \begin{bmatrix} \mu \\ \lambda \end{bmatrix} [A + \text{diag}(\mu)].$$

By Proposition 5.3, the stabilisation property Proposition 6.3 implies that for any $A \in \widetilde{\Theta}_\Delta(n)$,

$$(6.4.4) \quad A^+(\mathbf{0})[\text{diag}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}(A))]A^-(\mathbf{0}) = [A] + \text{a } \mathcal{Z}\text{-linear comb. of } [A'] \text{ with } A' \sqsubset A.$$

Let $\mathbf{V}_\Delta(n)$ be the $\mathbb{Q}(v)$ -subspace of $\widehat{\mathbf{K}}_\Delta(n)$ spanned by all $A(\mathbf{j})$ ($A \in \Theta_\Delta^\pm(n)$ and $\mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{Z}_\Delta^n$). Let $\mathbf{V}_\Delta(n)_\mathcal{Z}$ be the \mathcal{Z} -submodule of $\widehat{\mathbf{K}}_\Delta(n)$ spanned by $A(\mathbf{j}, \lambda)$ for all A, \mathbf{j}, λ as above.

Theorem 6.5. (1) $\mathbf{V}_\Delta(n)$ is a subalgebra of $\widehat{\mathbf{K}}_\Delta(n)$ and the restriction of $\widehat{\zeta}$ to $\mathbf{V}_\Delta(n)$ induces an algebra isomorphism $\widehat{\zeta} : \mathbf{V}_\Delta(n) \rightarrow \mathbf{V}_\Delta(n)$, $A(\mathbf{j}) \mapsto A(\mathbf{j})$.

(2) The \mathcal{Z} -module $\mathbf{V}_\Delta(n)_\mathcal{Z}$ is a subalgebra of $\widehat{\mathbf{K}}_\Delta(n)$ and the restriction of $\widehat{\zeta}$ to $\mathbf{V}_\Delta(n)_\mathcal{Z}$ induces an algebra isomorphism $\widehat{\zeta} : \mathbf{V}_\Delta(n)_\mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{V}_\Delta(n)_\mathcal{Z}$, $A(\mathbf{j}, \lambda) \mapsto A(\mathbf{j}, \lambda)$.

Proof. By looking at the kernel of $\widehat{\zeta}$ (cf. [10, §8]), it is clear that the restriction of $\widehat{\zeta}$ to $\mathbf{V}_\Delta(n)$ is injective. Note that $\widehat{\zeta}(\mathbf{V}_\Delta(n)) = \mathbf{V}_\Delta(n)$ and $\widehat{\zeta}(\mathbf{V}_\Delta(n)_\mathcal{Z}) = \mathbf{V}_\Delta(n)_\mathcal{Z}$. Now the assertion follows from Theorem 3.2 and Proposition 5.5. \square

This result together with Theorem 3.2 gives another realisation of $\mathbf{U}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$. This is an *unmodified* affine generalisation of the BLM construction in [1]. *In particular, we will identify $\mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n)$ with $\mathbf{V}_\Delta(n)$ and $\mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n)_\mathcal{Z}$ with $\mathbf{V}_\Delta(n)_\mathcal{Z}$ in the sequel.*

We end this section with a discussion on a realisation of the modified quantum group $\dot{\mathfrak{D}}_\Delta(n)$. We will prove that $\dot{\mathfrak{D}}_\Delta(n)$ and its integral form $\dot{\mathfrak{D}}_\Delta(n)_\mathcal{Z}$ is isomorphic the affine BLM algebras $\mathbf{K}_\Delta(n)$ and $\mathbf{K}_\Delta(n)_\mathcal{Z}$, respectively.

Let $\Pi_\Delta(n) = \{e_j^\Delta - e_{j+1}^\Delta \mid 1 \leq j \leq n\}$. According to [14, 3.5.2], the algebra $\mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n)$ is a \mathbb{Z}_Δ^n -graded algebra with $\deg(u_A^+) = \text{ro}(A) - \text{co}(A)$, $\deg(u_A^-) = \text{co}(A) - \text{ro}(A)$ and $\deg(K_i^{\pm 1}) = 0$ for $A \in \Theta_\Delta^+(n)$ and $1 \leq i \leq n$. For $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}_\Delta^n$, let $\mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n)_\nu$ be the set of homogeneous elements in $\mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n)$ of degree ν . Then we have $\mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n) = \bigoplus_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}_\Delta^n} \mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n)_\nu$.

For $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{Z}_\Delta^n$ we set ${}_\lambda \mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n)_\mu = \mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n) / {}_\lambda I_\mu$, where

$$(6.5.1) \quad {}_\lambda I_\mu = \left(\sum_{\mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{Z}_\Delta^n} (K^{\mathbf{j}} - v^{\lambda \cdot \mathbf{j}}) \mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n) + \sum_{\mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{Z}_\Delta^n} \mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n) (K^{\mathbf{j}} - v^{\mu \cdot \mathbf{j}}) \right).$$

Let $\pi_{\lambda, \mu} : \mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n) \rightarrow {}_\lambda \mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n)_\mu$ be the canonical projection. Since $\pi_{\lambda, \mu}(\mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n)_{\lambda - \mu}) = {}_\lambda \mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n)_\mu$ (cf. [9, Lemma 6.2]), it follows that ${}_\lambda \mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n)_\mu$ is spanned by the elements $\pi_{\lambda, \mu}(u_A^+ u_B^-)$ for all A, B, λ, μ with $\lambda - \mu = \deg(u_A^+ u_B^-)$. Let

$$\dot{\mathfrak{D}}_\Delta(n) := \bigoplus_{\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{Z}_\Delta^n} {}_\lambda \mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n)_\mu.$$

We define the product in $\dot{\mathfrak{D}}_\Delta(n)$ as follows. For $\lambda', \mu', \lambda'', \mu'' \in \mathbb{Z}_\Delta^n$ with $\lambda' - \mu', \lambda'' - \mu'' \in \mathbb{Z}\Pi_\Delta(n)$ and any $t \in \mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n)_{\lambda' - \mu'}$, $s \in \mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n)_{\lambda'' - \mu''}$, the product $\pi_{\lambda', \mu'}(t) \pi_{\lambda'', \mu''}(s)$ is equal to $\pi_{\lambda', \mu''}(ts)$ if $\mu' = \lambda''$, and it is zero, otherwise. Then $\dot{\mathfrak{D}}_\Delta(n)$ becomes an associative $\mathbb{Q}(v)$ -algebra with this product. The algebra $\dot{\mathfrak{D}}_\Delta(n)$ is naturally a $\mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n)$ -bimodule defined by $t' \pi_{\lambda', \lambda''}(s) t'' = \pi_{\lambda' + \nu', \lambda'' - \nu''}(t' s t'')$, for $t' \in \mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n)_{\nu'}$, $s \in \mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n)$, $t'' \in \mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n)_{\nu''}$ and $\lambda', \lambda'' \in \mathbb{Z}_\Delta^n$ (cf. [27, 14]). In

particular, putting $1_\lambda = \pi_{\lambda, \lambda}(1)$, we have $u_A^+ 1_\lambda u_B^- = \pi_{\lambda + \deg(u_A^+), \lambda - \deg(u_B^-)}(u_A^+ u_B^-)$ and $\dot{\mathfrak{D}}_\Delta(n)$ is spanned by the elements $u_A^+ 1_\lambda u_B^-$ for all A, B, λ .

Let $\dot{\mathfrak{D}}_\Delta(n)_\mathcal{Z}$ be the \mathcal{Z} -submodule of $\dot{\mathfrak{D}}_\Delta(n)$ spanned by the elements $u_A^+ 1_\lambda u_B^-$ for $A, B \in \Theta_\Delta^+(n)$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_\Delta^n$. It is proved in [14, Th. 4.2] that $\dot{\mathfrak{D}}_\Delta(n)_\mathcal{Z}$ is a \mathcal{Z} -subalgebra of $\dot{\mathfrak{D}}_\Delta(n)$. We now can realise $\dot{\mathfrak{D}}_\Delta(n)$ and $\dot{\mathfrak{D}}_\Delta(n)_\mathcal{Z}$ as $\mathbf{K}_\Delta(n)$ and $\mathbf{K}_\Delta(n)_\mathcal{Z}$, respectively; cf. [9, Th. 6.3].

Theorem 6.6. *The linear map $\Phi : \dot{\mathfrak{D}}_\Delta(n) \rightarrow \mathbf{K}_\Delta(n)$ sending $\pi_{\lambda\mu}(u)$ to $[\text{diag}(\lambda)]u[\text{diag}(\mu)]$ for all $u \in \dot{\mathfrak{D}}_\Delta(n)$ and $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{Z}_\Delta^n$, is an algebra isomorphism. Furthermore we have $\Phi(\dot{\mathfrak{D}}_\Delta(n)_\mathcal{Z}) = \mathbf{K}_\Delta(n)_\mathcal{Z}$.*

Proof. By a proof similar to that of [9, 6.3], it is easy to see that Φ is an algebra homomorphism. In particular, $\Phi(1_\lambda) = [\text{diag}(\lambda)]$. By (6.4.4), the image of the spanning set $\{u_A^+ 1_\lambda u_B^- \mid A, B \in \Theta_\Delta^+(n), \lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_\Delta^n\}$ is in fact a basis for $\mathbf{K}_\Delta(n)$, proving the first assertion which implies the last assertion by definition. \square

We will identify $\dot{\mathfrak{D}}_\Delta(n)$ with $\mathbf{K}_\Delta(n)$ and $\dot{\mathfrak{D}}_\Delta(n)_\mathcal{Z}$ with $\mathbf{K}_\Delta(n)_\mathcal{Z}$ via the map Φ defined in Theorem 6.6 and identify $\mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n)$ with $\mathbf{V}_\Delta(n)$ and $\mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n)_\mathcal{Z}$ with $\mathbf{V}_\Delta(n)_\mathcal{Z}$ as in Theorem 6.5. Then the $\mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n)$ -bimodule structure on $\dot{\mathfrak{D}}_\Delta(n)$ satisfies the following simple formula: for all $A \in \Theta_\Delta^\pm(n), \mathbf{j}, \lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_\Delta^n$,

$$(6.6.1) \quad A(\mathbf{j})[\text{diag}(\lambda)] = [A + \text{diag}(\lambda - \text{co}(A))], \quad [\text{diag}(\lambda)]A(\mathbf{j}) = [A + \text{diag}(\lambda - \text{ro}(A))].$$

For $A \in \tilde{\Theta}_\Delta(n)$, choose words $w_{A^+}, w_{A^-} \in \tilde{\Sigma}$ such that (2.2.1) and its opposite version (obtained by applying (2.2.2) to (2.2.1)) hold. Then, by (6.4.4),

$$(6.6.2) \quad \mathcal{M}^{(A)} := \tilde{u}_{(w_{A^+})}^+ 1_{\sigma(A)} \tilde{u}_{(w_{A^-})}^- = \tilde{u}_{(w_{A^+})}^+ [\text{diag}(\sigma(A))] \tilde{u}_{(w_{A^-})}^- = [A] + \sum_{\substack{B \sqsubset A \\ B \in \tilde{\Theta}_\Delta(n)}} h_{A,B} [B],$$

where $h_{A,B} \in \mathcal{Z}$. Thus, we have immediately:

Corollary 6.7. *The set $\{\mathcal{M}^{(A)} \mid A \in \tilde{\Theta}_\Delta(n)\}$ forms a \mathcal{Z} -basis for $\dot{\mathfrak{D}}_\Delta(n)_\mathcal{Z}$.*

7. CANONICAL BASES FOR THE INTEGRAL MODIFIED QUANTUM AFFINE \mathfrak{gl}_n

It is well known that the positive part of a quantum enveloping algebra \mathbf{U} has a canonical basis with remarkable properties (see [21], [23], [24]). In contrast, there is no canonical basis for \mathbf{U} . However, the modified form $\dot{\mathbf{U}}$ of \mathbf{U} can have a canonical basis (see [22], [26], [27]). We now define the canonical basis relative the basis $\{[A]\}_{A \in \tilde{\Theta}_\Delta(n)}$ for $\dot{\mathfrak{D}}_\Delta(n)_\mathcal{Z} = \mathbf{K}_\Delta(n)_\mathcal{Z}$. Our strategy is to use a stabilisation property for the bar involution on $\mathcal{S}_\Delta(n, r)_\mathcal{Z}$ to define a bar involution on $\tilde{\mathbf{K}}_\Delta(n)_{\mathcal{Z}_1}$ (see (6.3.2)) which then induces a bar involution on $\mathbf{K}_\Delta(n)_\mathcal{Z}$.

We first define the bar involution on $\mathcal{S}_\Delta(n, r)_\mathcal{Z}$ via the one on the Hecke algebra, following [7] (cf. [33]). Let W_r be the subgroup of $\mathfrak{S}_{\Delta, r}$ generated by s_i for $1 \leq i \leq r$. Let ρ be the permutation of \mathbb{Z} sending j to $j+1$ for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let $\mathcal{H}(W_r)$ be the \mathcal{Z} -subalgebra of $\mathcal{H}_\Delta(r)_\mathcal{Z}$ generated by T_{s_i} for $1 \leq i \leq r$. Let $\{C'_w \mid w \in W_r\}$ be the canonical basis of $\mathcal{H}(W_r)$ defined in [23, 1.1(c)]. For $w = \rho^a x \in \mathfrak{S}_{\Delta, r}$ with $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $x \in W_r$, let $C'_w = T_\rho^a C'_x$. Then the set $\{C'_w \mid w \in \mathfrak{S}_{\Delta, r}\}$ forms a \mathcal{Z} -basis for $\mathcal{H}_\Delta(r)_\mathcal{Z}$. Note that $C'_{w_{0, \mu}} = v^{-\ell(w_{0, \mu})} x_\mu$. Let $\bar{\cdot} : \mathcal{H}_\Delta(r)_\mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_\Delta(r)_\mathcal{Z}$ be the ring involution defined by $\bar{v} = v^{-1}$ and $\bar{T}_w = T_{w^{-1}}$. We define a map $\bar{\cdot} : \mathcal{S}_\Delta(n, r)_\mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_\Delta(n, r)_\mathcal{Z}$ such that $\bar{v} = v^{-1}$ and $\bar{f}(C'_{w_{0, \mu}} h) = \overline{f(C'_{w_{0, \mu}})} h$ for $f \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}_\Delta(r)_\mathcal{Z}}(x_\mu \mathcal{H}_\Delta(r)_\mathcal{Z}, x_\lambda \mathcal{H}_\Delta(r)_\mathcal{Z})$ and $h \in \mathcal{H}_\Delta(r)_\mathcal{Z}$. Then the map $\bar{\cdot} : \mathcal{S}_\Delta(n, r)_\mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_\Delta(n, r)_\mathcal{Z}$ is a ring involution.³ We need to look some first properties of the bar involution in Lemma 7.2 before proving its stabilisation property in Proposition 7.3.

Given $A \in \Theta_\Delta(n, r)$, write $y_A = w$ if $A = j_\Delta(\lambda, w, \mu)$, and also write y_A^+ for the unique longest element in $\mathfrak{S}_\lambda w \mathfrak{S}_\mu$. For $\lambda \in \Lambda_\Delta(n, r)$, let $w_{0, \lambda}$ be the longest element in \mathfrak{S}_λ .

Lemma 7.1. *For $A \in \Theta_\Delta(n, r)$ we have $\ell(y_A^+) = d_A + \ell(w_{0, \mu})$ where $\mu = \text{co}(A)$ and d_A is given in (3.0.2).*

Proof. For $1 \leq i \leq n$, let $\nu^{(i)}$ be the composition of μ_i obtained by removing all zeros from column i of A . Let $\lambda = \text{ro}(A)$. According to [4, 3.2.3], $y_A^{-1} \mathfrak{S}_\lambda y_A \cap \mathfrak{S}_\mu = \mathfrak{S}_\nu$, where $\nu = (\nu^{(1)}, \dots, \nu^{(n)})$. Let x be the longest element in $\mathcal{D}_\nu^\Delta \cap \mathfrak{S}_\mu$. Then $y_A^+ = w_{0, \lambda} y_A x$ and $\ell(y_A^+) = \ell(w_{0, \lambda}) + \ell(y_A) + \ell(x)$. Since $w_{0, \nu} x$ is the longest element in \mathfrak{S}_μ , it follows that $w_{0, \mu} = w_{0, \nu} x$ and

$$\ell(x) = \ell(w_{0, \mu}) - \ell(w_{0, \nu}) = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} \left(\binom{\mu_i}{2} - \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \binom{\nu_k^{(i)}}{2} \right) = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n \\ s < t}} \nu_s^{(i)} \nu_t^{(i)}.$$

Hence,

$$(7.1.1) \quad \ell(y_A^+) = \ell(w_{0, \lambda}) + \ell(y_A) + \ell(x) = \ell(w_{0, \lambda}) + \ell(y_A) + \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n \\ s < t}} a_{s, i} a_{t, i}$$

By [11, 5.3], $d_A - \ell(y_A) = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n; j < l} a_{i, j} a_{i, l}$. Furthermore, we have

$$\ell(w_{0, \lambda}) - \ell(w_{0, \mu}) = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} \left(\frac{\lambda_i(\lambda_i - 1)}{2} - \frac{\mu_i(\mu_i - 1)}{2} \right) = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n \\ k < l}} (a_{i, k} a_{i, l} - a_{k, i} a_{l, i}).$$

Thus, by (7.1.1), we conclude that $d_A - \ell(y_A) - (\ell(w_{0, \lambda}) - \ell(w_{0, \mu})) = \ell(y_A^+) - \ell(w_{0, \lambda}) - \ell(y_A)$. Consequently, $\ell(y_A^+) = d_A + \ell(w_{0, \mu})$. \square

For $d \in \mathcal{D}_{\lambda, \mu}^\Delta$ let

$$\tilde{T}_{\mathfrak{S}_\lambda d \mathfrak{S}_\mu} = v^{-\ell(d^+)} T_{\mathfrak{S}_\lambda d \mathfrak{S}_\mu},$$

³See [7, Prop. 3.2] for a proof.

where d^+ is the unique longest element in $\mathfrak{S}_\lambda d \mathfrak{S}_\mu$. Recall from Theorem 3.3 and Proposition 4.2 that the matrix $S_\alpha = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} \alpha_i E_{i,i+1}^\Delta$ defines a semisimple representation of the cyclic quiver $\Delta(n)$.

Lemma 7.2. *For $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}_\Delta^n$, let $A = S_\alpha + \text{diag}(\beta) \in \Theta_\Delta(n, r)$. Then, in $\mathcal{S}_\Delta(n, r)_{\mathcal{Z}}$, $\overline{[A]} = [A]$ and $\overline{[{}^t A]} = [{}^t A]$. In particular, we have $\overline{S_\alpha(\mathbf{0}, r)} = S_\alpha(\mathbf{0}, r)$, $\overline{{}^t S_\alpha(\mathbf{0}, r)} = {}^t S_\alpha(\mathbf{0}, r)$ for $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_\Delta^n$.*

Proof. Let $\lambda = \text{ro}(A)$ and $\mu = \text{co}(A)$. Then, by Lemma 7.1, we have $[A](C'_{w_0, \mu}) = \widetilde{T}_{\mathfrak{S}_\lambda y_A \mathfrak{S}_\mu}$ and $[{}^t A](C'_{w_0, \lambda}) = \widetilde{T}_{\mathfrak{S}_\mu y_{t_A} \mathfrak{S}_\lambda}$ (note that $y_{t_A} = y_A^{-1}$). By [11, (2.0.2)] (cf. the proof of [11, Prop. 3.5]), we have $y_A = \rho^{-\alpha_n}$ and $y_{t_A} = \rho^{\alpha_n}$. It follows from [2, (1.10)] that $C'_{y_A^+} = \widetilde{T}_{\mathfrak{S}_\lambda y_A \mathfrak{S}_\mu}$ and $C'_{y_{t_A}^+} = \widetilde{T}_{\mathfrak{S}_\mu y_{t_A} \mathfrak{S}_\lambda}$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{[A]}(C'_{w_0, \mu}) &= \overline{[A]}(C'_{w_0, \mu}) = \overline{C'_{y_A^+}} = C'_{y_A^+} = [A](C'_{w_0, \mu}) \\ \overline{[{}^t A]}(C'_{w_0, \lambda}) &= \overline{[{}^t A]}(C'_{w_0, \lambda}) = \overline{C'_{y_{t_A}^+}} = C'_{y_{t_A}^+} = [{}^t A](C'_{w_0, \lambda}). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently $\overline{[A]} = [A]$ and $\overline{[{}^t A]} = [{}^t A]$. The last assertion is clear. \square

The stabilisation property developed at the beginning of last section gives the following stabilisation property.

Proposition 7.3. *For $A \in \widetilde{\Theta}_\Delta(n)$ there exist $C_1, \dots, C_m \in \widetilde{\Theta}_\Delta(n)$, elements $H_i(v, v') \in \mathcal{Z}_1$ ($1 \leq i \leq m$) and an integer $p_0 \geq 0$ such that, in $\mathcal{S}_\Delta(n, pn + \sigma(A))_{\mathcal{Z}}$,*

$$\overline{[{}_p A]} = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq m} H_i(v, v^{-p}) [{}_p C_i] \quad \text{for all } p \geq p_0.$$

Proof. We prove the assertion by induction on $\|A\|$. If $\|A\| = 0$ then $\overline{[{}_p A]} = [{}_p A]$ for all large enough p . Assume now that $\|A\| \geq 1$ and the result is true for all A' with $\|A'\| < \|A\|$. By Lemma 6.1 and Proposition 6.2, there exist $A_i \in \widetilde{\Theta}_\Delta(n)^{ss}$, $Z_j \in \widetilde{\Theta}_\Delta(n)$ and $Q_j(v, v') \in \mathcal{Z}_1$ ($1 \leq i \leq N$, $1 \leq j \leq m$) such that the following identity holds in $\mathcal{S}_\Delta(n, pn + \sigma(A))_{\mathcal{Z}}$

$$[{}_p A] = [{}_p A_1] \cdots [{}_p A_N] - \sum_{1 \leq j \leq m} Q_j(v, v^{-p}) [{}_p Z_j]$$

for all large enough p , where $\|Z_i\| < \|A\|$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$. It follows from Lemma 7.2 that

$$\overline{[{}_p A]} = [{}_p A_1] \cdots [{}_p A_N] - \sum_{1 \leq j \leq m} \overline{Q_j(v, v^{-p})} \cdot \overline{[{}_p Z_j]}.$$

Now the assertion follows from the induction hypothesis. \square

Recall the ring \mathcal{Z}_1 defined in (6.0.1). It admits a ring involution (i.e., a ring automorphism of order two) $\bar{}$ satisfying $\bar{v} = v^{-1}$ and $\bar{v'} = v'^{-1}$. Extend the bar involution on \mathcal{Z}_1 to define a ring involution $\bar{} : \widetilde{\mathcal{K}}_\Delta(n)_{\mathcal{Z}_1} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{K}}_\Delta(n)_{\mathcal{Z}_1}$ by setting $\overline{A} = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq m} H_i(v, v') C_i$ (notation of Proposition 7.3). This involution induces a ring involution

$$(7.3.1) \quad \bar{\cdot} : \mathbf{K}_\Delta(n)_{\mathcal{Z}} \rightarrow \mathbf{K}_\Delta(n)_{\mathcal{Z}} \text{ which satisfies } \overline{v^j[A]} = v^{-j} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq m} H_i(v, 1)[C].$$

The involution $\bar{\cdot}$ on $\mathbf{K}_\Delta(n)_{\mathcal{Z}}$ induces a \mathbb{Q} -algebra involution $\bar{\cdot} : \hat{\mathbf{K}}_\Delta(n) \rightarrow \hat{\mathbf{K}}_\Delta(n)$ such that $\sum_{A \in \tilde{\Theta}_\Delta(n)} \beta_A[A] = \sum_{A \in \tilde{\Theta}_\Delta(n)} \overline{\beta_A[A]}$.

Corollary 7.4. (1) For $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}_\Delta^n$, if $A = S_\alpha + \text{diag}(\beta) \in \Theta_\Delta(n, r)$, then $\overline{[A]} = [A]$ and $\overline{[{}^t A]} = [{}^t A]$. In particular, for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_\Delta^n$, $\overline{S_\alpha(\mathbf{0})} = S_\alpha(\mathbf{0})$, $\overline{{}^t S_\alpha(\mathbf{0})} = {}^t S_\alpha(\mathbf{0})$.

(2) There is a unique \mathbb{Q} -algebra involution⁴

$$\bar{\cdot} : \mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n) \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n) \text{ satisfying } \bar{v} = v^{-1}, \overline{\tilde{u}_\lambda^\pm} = \tilde{u}_\lambda^\pm \text{ and } \overline{K_i} = K_i^{-1} \text{ for } \lambda \in \mathbb{N}_\Delta^n, 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

(3) The bar involution on $\mathbf{K}_\Delta(n)_{\mathcal{Z}}$ preserves the bimodule structure on $\mathbf{K}_\Delta(n)_{\mathcal{Z}}$.

Proof. Clearly, by the definition of the bar involution on $\mathbf{K}_\Delta(n)_{\mathcal{Z}}$, (1) follows from Proposition 7.3 and Lemma 7.2. (2) follows from (1), Theorems 5.6 and 6.6. Finally, (3) is clear as the bimodule structure on $\mathbf{K}_\Delta(n)_{\mathcal{Z}}$ is induced by the algebra structure of $\hat{\mathbf{K}}_\Delta(n)$ on which the bar involution is an ring automorphism. \square

We first look at an algebraic construction of the canonical basis for affine quantum Schur algebras (see [28] for a geometric construction). We need the following interval finite condition.

Lemma 7.5. For $A \in \Theta_\Delta^\pm(n)$, the set $\{B \in \Theta_\Delta^\pm(n) \mid B \prec A\}$ is finite. Hence, the intervals $(-\infty, A'] := \{B \in \tilde{\Theta}_\Delta(n) \mid B \sqsubseteq A'\}$ for all $A' \in \tilde{\Theta}_\Delta(n)$ are finite.

Proof. There exist $j_0 \geq n$ such that $a_{s,j} = 0$ for $1 \leq s \leq n$ and $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $|j| > j_0$. Let $\mathcal{X}_A = \{B \in \Theta_\Delta^\pm(n) \mid b_{s,j} = 0 \text{ for } 1 \leq s \leq n \text{ and } |j| > j_0, \sigma(B) < \|A\|\}$. Then, \mathcal{X}_A is a finite set. If $B \prec A$, $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $j_0 < j$, then

$$b_{i,j} \leq \sigma_{i,j}(B) \leq \sigma_{i,j}(A) = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq s \leq n \\ s < t, j \leq t}} a_{s,t} |\{b \in \mathbb{N} \mid s - bn \leq i < j \leq t - bn\}| = 0.$$

This implies that if $B \prec A$, then $b_{i,j} = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $j > j_0$. Similarly, if $B \prec A$, then $b_{i,j} = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $j < -j_0$. Furthermore, by [4, 3.7.6], we conclude that $\sigma(B) \leq \|B\| < \|A\|$ for $B \in \Theta_\Delta^\pm(n)$ with $B \prec A$. Consequently, $\{B \in \Theta_\Delta^\pm(n) \mid B \prec A\} \subseteq \mathcal{X}_A$, proving the first assertion. The last assertion is clear from (5.2.1). \square

Proposition 7.6. (1) There is a unique \mathcal{Z} -basis $\{\theta_{A,r} \mid A \in \Theta_\Delta(n, r)\}$ for $\mathfrak{S}_\Delta(n, r)_{\mathcal{Z}}$ such that $\overline{\theta_{A,r}} = \theta_{A,r}$ and

$$(7.6.1) \quad \theta_{A,r} - [A] = \sum_{\substack{B \in \Theta_\Delta(n, r) \\ B \subset A}} g_{B,A,r} [B] \in \sum_{\substack{B \in \Theta_\Delta(n, r) \\ B \subset A}} v^{-1} \mathbb{Z}[v^{-1}][B].$$

⁴This bar involution can also be induced from the bar involutions on $\mathfrak{S}_\Delta(n, r)_{\mathcal{Z}}$ via $\mathfrak{S}_\Delta(n)$ and $\mathfrak{V}_\Delta(n)$. Thus, we may avoid using the stabilisation property.

(2) For the canonical basis $\{A\}$, $A \in \Theta_\Delta(n, r)$, of $\mathcal{S}_\Delta(n, r)_\mathcal{Z}$ defined in [28, 4.1(d)], we have $\{A\} = \theta_{A,r}$. In particular, $g_{B,A,r}$ can be described in terms of Kazhdan–Lusztig polynomials.

Proof. By Proposition 2.2, for each $A \in \Theta_\Delta(n, r)$, we may choose words $w_{A^+} \in \tilde{\Sigma}$ such that (2.2.1) hold. Let $w_{A^-} = {}^t w_{A^+}$. By (2.2.1) and its opposite version for $\tilde{u}_{w_{A^-}}^- = \tau(\tilde{u}_{w_{A^+}}^+)$ (see (2.2.2)) and Proposition 5.3, we have

$$(7.6.2) \quad m^{(A)} := \zeta_r(\tilde{u}_{w_{A^+}}^+)[\text{diag}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}(A))]\zeta_r(\tilde{u}_{w_{A^-}}^-) = [A] + \sum_{\substack{B \sqsubset A \\ B \in \Theta_\Delta(n, r)}} h_{A,B}[B] \quad (h_{A,B} \in \mathcal{Z}).$$

Now the interval finite condition in Lemma 7.5 implies that there exist $h'_{A,B} \in \mathcal{Z}$ such that

$$[A] = m^{(A)} + \sum_{\substack{B \in \Theta_\Delta(n, r) \\ B \sqsubset A}} h'_{A,B} m^{(B)}.$$

Furthermore, by Lemma 7.2, we have $\overline{m^{(A)}} = m^{(A)}$ for $A \in \Theta_\Delta(n, r)$. Thus, (7.6.2) implies

$$\overline{[A]} = m^{(A)} + \sum_{\substack{B \in \Theta_\Delta(n, r) \\ B \sqsubset A}} \overline{h'_{A,B}} m^{(B)} = [A] + \sum_{\substack{B \in \Theta_\Delta(n, r) \\ B \sqsubset A}} k_{A,B}[B],$$

where $k_{A,B} \in \mathcal{Z}$. Now (1) follows from a standard argument; see, e.g., [25, 7.10]. Let \leq be the partial order on $\Theta_\Delta(n, r)$ defined in [28, 4.1]. According to [29, §7], if $A, B \in \Theta_\Delta(n, r)$ and $B < A$ then $B \sqsubset A$. Thus, by [28, 4.1(e)] and [33, Remark 7.6], we conclude (2). \square

We now construct the canonical basis for $\mathsf{K}_\Delta(n)_\mathcal{Z}$ as follows. See [17] for a construction in the non-affine case.

Theorem 7.7. (1) *There exists a unique \mathcal{Z} -basis $\{\theta_A \mid A \in \tilde{\Theta}_\Delta(n)\}$ for $\mathsf{K}_\Delta(n)_\mathcal{Z} = \mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n)_\mathcal{Z}$ such that $\overline{\theta_A} = \theta_A$ and $\theta_A - [A] \in \sum_{B \in \tilde{\Theta}_\Delta(n), B \sqsubset A} v^{-1}\mathbb{Z}[v^{-1}][B]$.*

(2) *The algebra homomorphism $\dot{\zeta}_r : \mathsf{K}_\Delta(n)_\mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_\Delta(n, r)_\mathcal{Z}$ given in (6.4.1) preserves the bar involution and the canonical bases:*

$$(a) \quad \dot{\zeta}_r(\bar{u}) = \overline{\dot{\zeta}_r(u)} \text{ for all } u \in \mathsf{K}_\Delta(n)_\mathcal{Z}; \quad (b) \quad \dot{\zeta}_r(\theta_A) = \begin{cases} \theta_{A,r}, & \text{if } A \in \Theta_\Delta(n, r); \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(3) *There is an anti-automorphism $\dot{\tau}$ on $\mathsf{K}_\Delta(n)_\mathcal{Z}$ such that $\dot{\tau}([A]) = [{}^t A]$ and $\dot{\tau}(\theta_A) = \theta_{tA}$.*

Proof. Consider the monomial basis $\{\mathcal{M}^{(A)} \mid A \in \tilde{\Theta}_\Delta(n)\}$ given in Corollary 6.7. Then Lemma 7.2 implies $\overline{\mathcal{M}^{(A)}} = \mathcal{M}^{(A)}$ and (6.6.2) together with the interval finite property Lemma 7.5 implies $[A] = \mathcal{M}^{(A)} + h$, where h is a \mathcal{Z} -linear combination of $\mathcal{M}^{(C)}$ with $C \in \tilde{\Theta}_\Delta(n)$ and $C \sqsubset A$. Thus, we conclude that $\overline{[A]} - [A] \in \sum_{\substack{C \in \tilde{\Theta}_\Delta(n) \\ C \sqsubset A}} \mathcal{Z}[C]$. Hence, like the proof of Proposition 7.6, a standard argument proves (1).

According to (6.4.1) and Lemma 7.2 we see that $\dot{\zeta}_r(\overline{\mathcal{M}^{(A)}}) = \overline{\dot{\zeta}_r(\mathcal{M}^{(A)})}$ for $A \in \tilde{\Theta}_\Delta(n)$. Furthermore, by Corollary 6.7, the set $\{\mathcal{M}^{(A)} \mid A \in \tilde{\Theta}_\Delta(n)\}$ forms a \mathcal{Z} -basis for $\mathsf{K}_\Delta(n)_\mathcal{Z}$. Thus,

$\dot{\zeta}_r(\bar{u}) = \overline{\dot{\zeta}_r(u)}$ for $u \in \mathbf{K}_\Delta(n)_\mathcal{Z}$. The second assertion in (2) follows from the argument for the uniqueness of canonical basis.

By [28, 1.11], the \mathcal{Z} -linear map $\tau_r : \mathcal{S}_\Delta(n, r) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_\Delta(n, r)$, $[A] \mapsto [{}^t A]$ is an algebra anti-automorphism, where ${}^t A$ is the transpose of A . By Proposition 6.3, the maps τ_r induce an algebra anti-automorphism $\dot{\tau} : \mathbf{K}_\Delta(n)_\mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{K}_\Delta(n)_\mathcal{Z}$ such that $\dot{\tau}([A]) = [{}^t A]$ for $A \in \tilde{\Theta}_\Delta(n)$. Finally, applying $\dot{\tau}$ to $\theta_A - [A]$ yields $\dot{\tau}(\theta_A) = \theta_{{}^t A}$ by the uniqueness of canonical bases. \square

Remark 7.8. The basis constructed in Theorem 7.7(1) is the canonical basis for the integral modified quantum affine \mathfrak{gl}_n . Theorem 7.7(2b) shows that this basis is the lifting of the canonical bases for affine quantum Schur algebras. A similar basis with a similar property for the modified quantum affine \mathfrak{sl}_n was conjectured by Lusztig in [28, 9.3]. This conjecture (rather its slight modified version) was proved by Vasserot and Schiffmann in [32]. Thus, Theorems 6.5, 6.6 and 7.7 can be regarded as of a generalisation of the conjecture of Lusztig to the quantum loop algebra $\mathbf{U}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$. We will address an extension of our approach to the extended quantum affine \mathfrak{sl}_n case in the last section.

We end this section with a comparison of this canonical basis and the canonical basis for the Ringel–Hall algebra of a cyclic quiver. According to [33, Prop 7.5] (see also [24]), there is a unique \mathcal{Z} -basis $\{\theta_A^+ \mid A \in \Theta_\Delta^+(n)\}$ for the Ringel–Hall algebra $\mathfrak{H}_\Delta(n)_\mathcal{Z} = \mathfrak{D}_\Delta^+(n)_\mathcal{Z}$ such that $\overline{\theta_A^+} = \theta_A^+$ and

$$(7.8.1) \quad \theta_A^+ - \tilde{u}_A^+ \in \sum_{\substack{B \prec A, B \in \Theta_\Delta^+(n) \\ \mathbf{d}(B) = \mathbf{d}(A)}} v^{-1} \mathbb{Z}[v^{-1}] \tilde{u}_B^+.$$

Proposition 7.9. *Assume $A \in \Theta_\Delta^+(n)$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_\Delta^n$. Then we have $\theta_A^+[\text{diag}(\lambda)] = \theta_{A+\text{diag}(\lambda-\text{co}(A))}$. In particular, we have $\theta_A^+ = \sum_{\mu \in \mathbb{Z}_\Delta^n} \theta_{A+\text{diag}(\mu)}$.*

Proof. By (6.6.1) and (7.8.1),

$$\theta_A^+[\text{diag}(\lambda)] - [A + \text{diag}(\lambda - \text{co}(A))] \in \sum_{\substack{B \in \Theta_\Delta^+(n), B \prec A \\ \mathbf{d}(B) = \mathbf{d}(A)}} v^{-1} \mathbb{Z}[v^{-1}] [B + \text{diag}(\lambda - \text{co}(B))].$$

It is direct to check that, for $\mathbf{d}(B) = \mathbf{d}(A)$ and $B \in \Theta_\Delta^+(n)$, $\text{ro}(B) - \text{co}(B) = \text{ro}(A) - \text{co}(A)$. Hence,

$$\theta_A^+[\text{diag}(\lambda)] - [A + \text{diag}(\lambda - \text{co}(A))] \in \sum_{\substack{C \in \tilde{\Theta}_\Delta(n) \\ C \subset A + \text{diag}(\lambda - \text{co}(A))}} v^{-1} \mathbb{Z}[v^{-1}] [C].$$

Also, by Corollary 7.4(3), $\overline{\theta_A^+[\text{diag}(\lambda)]} = \overline{\theta_A^+[\text{diag}(\lambda)]} = \theta_A^+[\text{diag}(\lambda)]$. Hence, the first assertion follows from the uniqueness of the canonical basis. Now, the identity element $1 = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_\Delta^n} [\text{diag}(\lambda)]$ gives the last assertion. \square

8. APPLICATION TO A CONJECTURE OF LUSZTIG

Let $\mathbf{U}_\Delta(n)$ be the extended affine \mathfrak{sl}_n as defined in Theorem 2.3(2) and let $\dot{\mathbf{U}}_\Delta(n) = \bigoplus_{\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{Z}_\Delta^n} \mathbf{U}_\Delta(n) / \lambda I_\mu$, where $\lambda I_\mu := \sum_{\mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{Z}_\Delta^n} (K^{\mathbf{j}} - v^{\lambda \cdot \mathbf{j}}) \mathbf{U}_\Delta(n) + \sum_{\mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{Z}_\Delta^n} \mathbf{U}_\Delta(n) (K^{\mathbf{j}} - v^{\mu \cdot \mathbf{j}})$. Since $\lambda I_\mu = \lambda I_\mu \cap \mathbf{U}_\Delta(n)$ (see Theorem 2.3(2)), it follows that $\dot{\mathbf{U}}_\Delta(n) \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{Z}_\Delta^n} \lambda \mathbf{U}_\Delta(n)_\mu$, where $\lambda \mathbf{U}_\Delta(n)_\mu = \pi_{\lambda, \mu}(\mathbf{U}_\Delta(n))$. Thus, we will regard $\dot{\mathbf{U}}_\Delta(n)$ as this subalgebra of $\dot{\mathfrak{D}}_\Delta(n) = \mathbf{K}_\Delta(n)$. We now look at an application to the conjecture given in [28, 9.3] which is proved in [32].

Let $\dot{U}_\Delta(n)_\mathcal{Z}$ be the \mathcal{Z} -subalgebra of $\dot{\mathfrak{D}}_\Delta(n)$ generated by

$$\tilde{u}_{me_i^\Delta}^+[\text{diag}(\lambda)] = E_i^{(m)}[\text{diag}(\lambda)], \quad \tilde{u}_{me_i^\Delta}^-[\text{diag}(\lambda)] = F_i^{(m)}[\text{diag}(\lambda)]$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq n$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_\Delta^n$. Then $\dot{U}_\Delta(n)_\mathcal{Z}$ is a subalgebra of $\dot{\mathfrak{D}}_\Delta(n)_\mathcal{Z} = \mathbf{K}_\Delta(n)_\mathcal{Z}$.

Call a matrix $A = (a_{i,j}) \in \tilde{\Theta}_\Delta(n)$ to be *aperiodic* if for every integer $l \neq 0$ there exists $1 \leq i \leq n$ such that $a_{i, i+l} = 0$. Let $\tilde{\Theta}_\Delta^{\text{ap}}(n)$ be the set of all aperiodic matrices in $\tilde{\Theta}_\Delta(n)$.

Recall the monomial basis for $\dot{\mathfrak{D}}_\Delta(n)_\mathcal{Z}$ given in Corollary 6.7.

Lemma 8.1. *The set $\{\mathcal{M}^{(A)} \mid A \in \tilde{\Theta}_\Delta^{\text{ap}}(n)\}$ forms a \mathcal{Z} -basis for $\dot{U}_\Delta(n)_\mathcal{Z}$.*

Proof. By [6, Th. 7.5(1)], the elements $\tilde{u}_{(w_A)}^+$, $A \in \Theta_\Delta^+(n) \cap \tilde{\Theta}_\Delta^{\text{ap}}(n)$, form a basis for the $+$ -part $U_\Delta^+(n)_\mathcal{Z}$ generated by all $E_i^{(m)}$. Hence, the set $\{\mathcal{M}^{(A)} \mid A \in \tilde{\Theta}_\Delta^{\text{ap}}(n)\}$ spans $\dot{U}_\Delta(n)_\mathcal{Z}$. By (6.6.2), the set is linearly independent. \square

For each $A \in \tilde{\Theta}_\Delta^{\text{ap}}(n)$, use the coefficients $h_{A,B}$ given in (6.6.2) and the order \sqsubseteq given in (5.2.1) to define (cf. [6, Def. 7.2]) recursively the elements $\mathcal{E}_A \in \dot{U}_\Delta(n)_\mathcal{Z}$ by

$$(8.1.1) \quad \mathcal{E}_A = \begin{cases} \mathcal{M}^{(A)}, & \text{if } A \text{ is minimal relative to } \sqsubseteq; \\ \mathcal{M}^{(A)} - \sum_{\substack{B \sqsubset A \\ B \in \tilde{\Theta}_\Delta^{\text{ap}}(n)}} h_{A,B} \mathcal{E}_B. & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Lemma 8.2. (1) *The set $\{\mathcal{E}_A \mid A \in \tilde{\Theta}_\Delta^{\text{ap}}(n)\}$ forms a \mathcal{Z} -basis for $\dot{U}_\Delta(n)_\mathcal{Z}$.*

(2) *For $A \in \tilde{\Theta}_\Delta^{\text{ap}}(n)$ we have $\mathcal{E}_A - [A] \in \sum_{\substack{B \in \tilde{\Theta}_\Delta(n) \setminus \tilde{\Theta}_\Delta^{\text{ap}}(n) \\ B \sqsubset A}} \mathcal{Z}[B]$.*

Proof. Statement (1) follows from Lemma 8.1 and the definition \mathcal{E}_A (8.1.1). We prove (2) by induction on $\|A\|$. The assertion is clear for by $\|A\| = 0$. Assume now $\|A\| \geq 1$. By (6.6.2) and (8.1.1), we have

$$\mathcal{E}_A - [A] + \sum_{\substack{B \in \tilde{\Theta}_\Delta^{\text{ap}}(n) \\ B \sqsubset A}} h_{A,B} (\mathcal{E}_B - [B]) = \sum_{\substack{B \in \tilde{\Theta}_\Delta(n) \setminus \tilde{\Theta}_\Delta^{\text{ap}}(n) \\ B \sqsubset A}} h_{A,B} [B].$$

Now the assertion follows from induction since $B \sqsubset A$ implies $\|B\| < \|A\|$. \square

Note that the restriction of the bar involution (7.3.1) gives a bar involution on $\dot{U}_\Delta(n)_\mathcal{Z}$.

Proposition 8.3. *There exists a unique \mathcal{Z} -basis $\{\theta'_A \mid A \in \tilde{\Theta}_\Delta^{\text{ap}}(n)\}$ for $\dot{U}_\Delta(n)_\mathcal{Z}$ such that $\overline{\theta'_A} = \theta'_A$ and*

$$\theta'_A - \mathcal{E}_A \in \sum_{B \in \tilde{\Theta}_\Delta^{\text{ap}}(n), B \sqsubset A} v^{-1} \mathbb{Z}[v^{-1}] \mathcal{E}_B.$$

Proof. Since, by (8.1.1),

$$\mathcal{E}_A = \mathcal{M}^{(A)} + \text{a } \mathcal{Z}\text{-linear combination of } \mathcal{M}^{(C)} \text{ with } C \in \tilde{\Theta}_\Delta^{\text{ap}}(n) \text{ and } C \sqsubset A,$$

it follows that $\overline{\mathcal{E}_A} - \mathcal{E}_A \in \sum_{\substack{C \in \tilde{\Theta}_\Delta^{\text{ap}}(n) \\ C \sqsubset A}} \mathcal{Z} \mathcal{E}_C$. Now the assertion follows from a standard argument. \square

Remark 8.4. Motivated by [28, Th. 8.2], it would be natural to conjecture that $\theta_A \in \dot{U}_\Delta(n)_\mathcal{Z}$ for all $A \in \tilde{\Theta}_\Delta^{\text{ap}}(n)$. Equivalently, $\theta'_A = \theta_A$ if $A \in \tilde{\Theta}_\Delta^{\text{ap}}(n)$ (cf. [6, Th. 8.5]). In the rest of the section, we show some strong evidence for the truth of this conjecture.

Let $\mathcal{L}_r = \sum_{A \in \Theta_\Delta(n,r)} \mathbb{Z}[v^{-1}][A] \in \mathcal{S}_\Delta(n,r)_\mathcal{Z}$ and let \mathcal{P} be the \mathcal{Z} -submodule of $\dot{\mathfrak{D}}_\Delta(n)_\mathcal{Z}$ spanned by the *periodic* elements $[B]$ with $B \in \tilde{\Theta}_\Delta(n) \setminus \tilde{\Theta}_\Delta^{\text{ap}}(n)$. Recall the algebra homomorphisms ζ in Theorem 3.2 and $\dot{\zeta}_r$ in (6.4.1) and note that $\dot{\zeta}_r(\mathcal{P}) \cap \mathbf{U}_\Delta(n,r) = 0$, where $\zeta_r(\mathbf{U}_\Delta(n)) = \mathbf{U}_\Delta(n,r)$.

Let $\Theta_\Delta^{\text{ap}}(n,r) = \tilde{\Theta}_\Delta^{\text{ap}}(n) \cap \Theta_\Delta(n,r)$.

Lemma 8.5. *Assume $A \in \tilde{\Theta}_\Delta^{\text{ap}}(n)$.*

- (1) *If $A \notin \Theta_\Delta(n,r)$ then we have $\dot{\zeta}_r(\mathcal{E}_A) = 0$.*
- (2) *If $A \in \Theta_\Delta(n,r)$ then we have $\dot{\zeta}_r(\mathcal{E}_A) - [A] \in v^{-1} \mathcal{L}_r$.*

Proof. If $A \notin \Theta_\Delta(n,r)$, Lemma 8.2(2) implies $\dot{\zeta}_r(\mathcal{E}_A) = \dot{\zeta}_r(\mathcal{E}_A) - \dot{\zeta}_r([A]) \in \dot{\zeta}_r(\mathcal{P}) \cap \mathbf{U}_\Delta(n,r) = 0$, proving (1).

Now we assume $A \in \Theta_\Delta(n,r)$. If $\|A\| = 0$ then $\mathcal{E}_A = [A]$ and $\dot{\zeta}_r(\mathcal{E}_A) - [A] = 0$. Now we assume $\|A\| > 0$. We write $\theta_{A,r}$ as in (7.6.1). By Lemma 8.2 and [28, 8.2], we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_{A,r} - \left(\dot{\zeta}_r(\mathcal{E}_A) + \sum_{\substack{B \in \Theta_\Delta^{\text{ap}}(n,r) \\ B \sqsubset A}} g_{B,A,r} \dot{\zeta}_r(\mathcal{E}_B) \right) &= ([A] - \dot{\zeta}_r(\mathcal{E}_A)) + \sum_{\substack{B \in \Theta_\Delta^{\text{ap}}(n,r) \\ B \sqsubset A}} g_{B,A,r} ([B] - \dot{\zeta}_r(\mathcal{E}_B)) \\ &\quad + \sum_{\substack{B \in \Theta_\Delta(n,r) \setminus \Theta_\Delta^{\text{ap}}(n,r) \\ B \sqsubset A}} g_{B,A,r} [B], \end{aligned}$$

which belongs to $\dot{\zeta}_r(\mathcal{P}) \cap \mathbf{U}_\Delta(n,r) = 0$. Thus, by the induction hypothesis,

$$\dot{\zeta}_r(\mathcal{E}_A) - [A] = \sum_{\substack{B \in \Theta_\Delta^{\text{ap}}(n,r) \\ B \sqsubset A}} g_{B,A,r} ([B] - \dot{\zeta}_r(\mathcal{E}_B)) + \sum_{\substack{B \in \Theta_\Delta(n,r) \setminus \Theta_\Delta^{\text{ap}}(n,r) \\ B \sqsubset A}} g_{B,A,r} [B] \in v^{-1} \mathcal{L}_r$$

as required. \square

We now show that the basis θ'_A satisfies a property similar to Theorem 7.7(2b).

Theorem 8.6. *Let $A \in \widetilde{\Theta}_\Delta^{\text{ap}}(n)$. Then we have*

$$\dot{\zeta}_r(\theta'_A) = \begin{cases} \theta_{A,r} & \text{if } A \in \Theta_\Delta(n, r); \\ 0 & \text{if } A \notin \Theta_\Delta(n, r). \end{cases}$$

Hence, we have $\dot{\zeta}_r(\theta'_A) = \dot{\zeta}_r(\theta_A)$ for $A \in \widetilde{\Theta}_\Delta^{\text{ap}}(n)$.

Proof. If $A \notin \Theta_\Delta(n, r)$ then, by Proposition 8.3 and Lemma 8.5, we see that

$$\dot{\zeta}_r(\theta'_A) = \dot{\zeta}_r(\theta'_A - \mathcal{E}_A) \in \sum_{\substack{B \in \Theta_\Delta^{\text{ap}}(n, r) \\ B \sqsubset A}} v^{-1} \mathbb{Z}[v^{-1}] \dot{\zeta}_r(\mathcal{E}_B) \subseteq v^{-1} \mathcal{L}_r.$$

If $A \in \Theta_\Delta(n, r)$ then, by loc. cit., we have

$$\dot{\zeta}_r(\theta'_A) \in \dot{\zeta}_r(\mathcal{E}_A) + \sum_{\substack{B \in \Theta_\Delta^{\text{ap}}(n, r) \\ B \sqsubset A}} v^{-1} \mathbb{Z}[v^{-1}] \dot{\zeta}_r(\mathcal{E}_B) \subseteq [A] + v^{-1} \mathcal{L}_r.$$

Furthermore, we have $\overline{\dot{\zeta}_r(\theta'_A)} = \dot{\zeta}_r(\theta'_A)$ for all $A \in \widetilde{\Theta}_\Delta^{\text{ap}}(n)$. The assertion follows the uniqueness of the canonical basis. \square

Theorem 8.6 gives an algebraic construction of the conjecture of Lusztig stated at the end of [28, §9.3]⁵ for the modified extended quantum affine \mathfrak{sl}_n , $\dot{U}_\Delta(n)_\mathcal{Z}$, idempotented on \mathbb{Z}^n ; see [32] for a proof for the (polynomial weighted) modified quantum affine \mathfrak{sl}_n which is idempotented on \mathbb{N}^n (compare the construction in [29, §7] for the modified quantum affine \mathfrak{sl}_n idempotented on \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}). Note that, by the presentation for $\dot{U}_\Delta(n)_\mathcal{Z}$ given in [27, 31.1.3], this modified algebra of Schiffmann–Vasserot is a homomorphic image of $\dot{U}_\Delta(n)_\mathcal{Z}$.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. A. Beilinson, G. Lusztig and R. MacPherson, *A geometric setting for the quantum deformation of GL_n* , Duke Math. J. **61** (1990), 655–677.
- [2] C. W. Curtis, *On Lusztig's isomorphism theorem for Hecke algebras*, J. Algebra **92** (1985), 348–365.
- [3] B. Deng and J. Du *Monomial bases for quantum affine \mathfrak{sl}_n* , Adv. Math. **191** (2005), 276–304.
- [4] B. Deng, J. Du and Q. Fu, *A double Hall algebra approach to affine quantum Schur–Weyl theory*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, **401**, Cambridge University Press, 2012.
- [5] B. Deng, J. Du, B. Parshall and J.-p. Wang, *Finite dimensional algebras and quantum groups*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, Vol. **150**, Amer. Math. Soc. (2008).
- [6] B. Deng, J. Du and J. Xiao, *Generic extensions and canonical bases for cyclic quivers*, Can. J. Math. **59** (2007), 1260–1283.
- [7] J. Du, *Kahzdan-Lusztig bases and isomorphism theorems for q -Schur algebras*, Contemp. Math. **139** (1992), 121–140.
- [8] J. Du, *A note on the quantized Weyl reciprocity at roots of unity*, Algebra Colloq. **2** (1995), 363–372.

⁵This conjecture was made for quantum affine \mathfrak{sl}_n with associated modified quantum group idempotented on \mathbb{Z}^{n-1} .

- [9] J. Du and Q. Fu, *Quantum \mathfrak{gl}_∞ , infinite q -Schur algebras and their representations*, J. Algebra **322** (2009), 1516–1547.
- [10] J. Du and Q. Fu, *A modified BLM approach to quantum affine \mathfrak{gl}_n* , Math. Z. **266** (2010), 747–781.
- [11] J. Du and Q. Fu, *Quantum affine \mathfrak{gl}_n via Hecke algebras*, preprint, arXiv:1311.1868.
- [12] J. Du, B. Parshall and L. Scott, *Quantum Weyl reciprocity and tilting modules*, Commun. Math. Phys. **195** (1998), 321–352.
- [13] E. Frenkel and E. Mukhin, *The Hopf algebra $\text{Rep } U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_\infty)$* , Sel. math., New Ser. **8** (2002), 537–635.
- [14] Q. Fu, *Integral affine Schur–Weyl reciprocity*, Adv. Math. **243** (2013), 1–21.
- [15] Q. Fu, *BLM realization for $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$* , preprint, arXiv:1204.3142.
- [16] Q. Fu, *BLM realization for the integral form of quantum \mathfrak{gl}_n* , preprint, arXiv:1211.0671.
- [17] Q. Fu, *Canonical bases for modified quantum \mathfrak{gl}_n and q -Schur algebras*, J. Algebra, **406** (2014), 308–320.
- [18] V. Ginzburg and E. Vasserot, *Langlands reciprocity for affine quantum groups of type A_n* , Internat. Math. Res. Notices 1993, 67–85.
- [19] R. M. Green, *The affine q -Schur algebra*, J. Algebra **215** (1999), 379–411.
- [20] M. Jimbo, *A q -analogue of $U(\mathfrak{gl}(N+1))$, Hecke algebras, and the Yang–Baxter equation*, Lett. Math. Phys. **11**(1986), 247–252.
- [21] M. Kashiwara, *On crystal bases of the q -analogue of universal enveloping algebras*, Duke Math. J. **63** (1991), 465–516.
- [22] M. Kashiwara, *Crystal bases of modified quantized enveloping algebra*, Duke Math. J. **73**(1994), 383–413.
- [23] D. Kazhdan and G. Lusztig, *Representations of Coxeter groups and Hecke Algebras*, Invent. Math. **53** (1979), 165–184.
- [24] B. Leclerc, J.-Y. Thibon and E. Vasserot, *Zelevinsky’s involution at roots of unity*, J. reine angew. Math. **513** (1999), 33–51.
- [25] G. Lusztig, *Canonical bases arising from quantized enveloping algebras*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **3** (1990), 447–498.
- [26] G. Lusztig, *Canonical bases in tensor products*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **89** (1992), 8177–8179.
- [27] G. Lusztig, *Introduction to quantum groups*, Progress in Math. **110**, Birkhäuser, 1993.
- [28] G. Lusztig, *Aperiodicity in quantum affine \mathfrak{gl}_n* , Asian J. Math. **3** (1999), 147–177.
- [29] K. McGerty, *On the geometric realization of the inner product and canonical basis for quantum affine \mathfrak{sl}_n* , Algebra and Number Theory **6** (2012), 1097–1131.
- [30] M. Reineke, *Generic extensions and multiplicative bases of quantum groups at $q = 0$* , Represent. Theory **5** (2001), 147–163.
- [31] C. M. Ringel, *The composition algebra of a cyclic quiver*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **66** (1993), 507–537.
- [32] O. Schiffmann and E. Vasserot, *Geometric construction of the global base of the quantum modified algebra of $\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n$* , Transform. Groups **5** (2000), 351–360.
- [33] M. Varagnolo and E. Vasserot, *On the decomposition matrices of the quantized Schur algebra*, Duke Math. J. **100** (1999), 267–297.
- [34] J. Xiao, *Drinfeld double and Ringel–Green theory of Hall algebras*, J. Algebra **190** (1997), 100–144.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF NEW SOUTH WALES, SYDNEY 2052, AUSTRALIA.

E-mail address: `j.du@unsw.edu.au`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TONGJI UNIVERSITY, SHANGHAI, 200092, CHINA.

E-mail address: `q.fu@hotmail.com`, `q.fu@tongji.edu.cn`