

Cohomology 3: F-rational forms

Aim Lecture: Some math. objects easier over alg. closed fields e.g. factorising poly.
Naturally raises Q studied today: can you obtain results \sqrt{F} from results \sqrt{F} ?

F-rational Forms

Let $K/F = \text{Galois ext}^n$, Gal group G .

$V = K\text{-space}$, $\dim_K V < \infty$

Defⁿ 1: A G -equivariant action on the K -space V is a G -action on the additive group V s.t.

$$\sigma_*(\alpha v) = \sigma(\alpha)(\sigma_* v) \quad \forall \sigma \in G, \alpha \in K, v \in V.$$

E.g. 1. $V = K^n$. G -action $\sigma \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_n \end{pmatrix} := \begin{pmatrix} \sigma(\alpha_1) \\ \vdots \\ \sigma(\alpha_n) \end{pmatrix}$

Check: $\sigma_*(\alpha v) = \sigma \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \alpha_1 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha \alpha_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma(\alpha) \sigma(\alpha_1) \\ \vdots \\ \sigma(\alpha) \sigma(\alpha_n) \end{pmatrix} = \sigma(\alpha) \sigma_* v$

N.B. σ is F -linear $\therefore \alpha \in F \Rightarrow \sigma(\alpha) = \alpha$.

Propⁿ-Defⁿ: (a) An F -rational form for K -space V

is a subspace V_0 of F -space V s.t. any of the following equiv. condⁿs hold

(i) There's an F -basis $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ for V_0 which is a K -basis for V .

(ii) Any F -basis for V_0 is a K -basis for V .

(b) In this case, using notⁿ in (i), the following defines a G -equiv. action on V

$\sigma(\alpha_1 v_1 + \dots + \alpha_n v_n) = \sigma(\alpha_1) v_1 + \dots + \sigma(\alpha_n) v_n$
 which is independent of choice of F -basis $\{v_i\}$.

Ⓒ The fixed F -subspace

$$V^G := \{v \in V \mid \sigma(v) = v, \text{ for all } \sigma \in G\} = V_0.$$

Proof: ex □

Eg. 1 again, $F^n \subseteq K^n$ an F -rational form for K^n .
 G -equiv. action in Ⓒ is one given in
 e.g. 1. Clear $(K^n)^G = F^n$ here.

Speiser's Lemma

Following converse result shows F -rational forms correspond to G -equiv. actions.

Lemma (Speiser): Given a G -equiv. action on K -space V , $V_0 := V^G$ is an F -rational form for V & the G -equiv. action is the same as that given in Propⁿ-Defⁿ Ⓒ.

Proof: only in case $G = \langle \sigma \rangle$ order n & F contains primitive n -th root ζ of 1 so
 $K = F(\alpha)$ where $\alpha^n \in F$ & $\sigma(\alpha) = \zeta \alpha$.

Note $\dim_F V \stackrel{\text{ex}}{=} \dim_K V \cdot [K:F] = n \dim_K V$
 $V_0 = V^G = V^{\sigma} = \{v \in V \mid \sigma \cdot v = v\}$
 = 1 -e-space of F -linear
 map $\sigma: V \rightarrow V: v \mapsto \sigma \cdot v$

More gen. define $V_i = \zeta^i V$ - e-space & note $\sigma^n = 1 \Rightarrow V \cong V_0 \oplus V_1 \oplus \dots \oplus V_{n-1}$.

For $v \in V_i$, $\sigma(\alpha^j v) = \sigma(\alpha^j)(\sigma \cdot v) = \zeta^j \alpha^j \zeta^i v = \zeta^{i+j} \alpha^j v \Rightarrow \alpha^j v \in V_{i+j}$.
Hence multⁿ by α cyclically permutes e-spaces & $\otimes \Rightarrow$

$$V = V_0 \oplus \alpha V_0 \oplus \dots \oplus \alpha^{n-1} V_0.$$

Now $K = F(\alpha)$ so any F -basis for V_0 is a K -basis for V .

ex. show last statement of lemma \square

Relation to 1-cocycles

\square How do two G -equiv. actions differ?

\square Fix "preferred" G -equiv. action

$$\varphi: G \rightarrow \text{Aut } V,$$

Let $\text{Aut}_K V =$ group of K -linear K -space isom. $V \rightarrow V$

G acts on $\text{Aut}_K V$ by $\sigma \cdot \gamma := \varphi(\sigma) \circ \gamma \circ \varphi(\sigma^{-1})$

Note $\sigma \cdot \gamma \in \text{Aut}_K V$:

$$\begin{aligned} (\sigma \cdot \gamma)(\alpha v) &= \varphi(\sigma) \circ \gamma \circ \varphi(\sigma^{-1})(\alpha v) \\ &\stackrel{K \cong V}{=} [\varphi(\sigma) \circ \gamma](\sigma^{-1}(\alpha) \sigma^{-1} v) \\ &= [\varphi(\sigma)](\sigma^{-1}(\alpha) \gamma(\sigma^{-1} v)) \\ &= \alpha(\sigma \cdot \gamma(\sigma^{-1} v)) \\ &= \alpha(\sigma \cdot \gamma)(v) \end{aligned}$$

Consider another G -equiv. action
 $\psi: G \rightarrow \text{Aut } V$. Define
 $\gamma_\sigma = \psi(\sigma) \varphi(\sigma^{-1})$.

Propⁿ 1: (a) We obtain a 1-cocycle

$$\delta_x: G \rightarrow \text{Aut}_k V.$$

(b) Conversely, given any 1-cocycle

$$\delta_x: G \rightarrow \text{Aut}_k V, \quad \psi: G \rightarrow \text{Aut } V: \sigma \mapsto \delta_\sigma \circ \varphi(\sigma)$$

defines a G -equiv. action.

Proof: (a) only. ex check $\gamma_\sigma \in \text{Aut}_k V$.

$$\gamma_{\sigma\tau} = \psi(\sigma\tau) \varphi(\tau^{-1} \sigma^{-1}) = \psi(\sigma) \psi(\tau) \varphi(\tau^{-1}) \varphi(\sigma^{-1})$$

$$= \underbrace{\psi(\sigma) \varphi(\sigma^{-1})}_{\gamma_\sigma} \varphi(\sigma) \underbrace{\psi(\tau) \varphi(\tau^{-1})}_{\gamma_\tau} \varphi(\sigma^{-1})$$

$$= \delta_\sigma(\sigma, \delta_\tau) \quad \square$$

Scholium Suppose 1-cocycle $\delta_x \leftrightarrow$

G -equiv. action $\psi \leftrightarrow F$ -rational form $V_0 = V^G$.

For $a \in \text{Aut}_k V$, 1-cohomologous cocycle

$(a \cdot \delta_x)_\sigma = a \delta_\sigma(\sigma, a^{-1}) \leftrightarrow G$ -equiv. action

$$\sigma \mapsto a \delta_\sigma \varphi(\sigma) a^{-1} \varphi(\sigma^{-1}) \varphi(\sigma) = a \delta_\sigma \varphi(\sigma) a^{-1}$$

\therefore corresp. F -rational form is aV_0 .

Rem: Nothing interesting here: $H^1(G, \text{GL}_n(k)) = 1$.