

## Lecture 7: Subspaces. Linear combinations

**Aim Lecture** Intro subspaces which allow you to define linear subsets of a vect space.

### Subspace axioms

Subspace **Thm-Defn** Let  $V$  be a vector space / field  $\mathbb{F}$ . A subset  $W \subseteq V$  is a subspace of  $V$  if (all) the following closure axioms hold.

a.  $W$  contains the zero vector.

b.  $W$  is closed under addn

i.e. for any  $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \in W$  we have  $\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w} \in W$ .

& c.  $W$  is closed under scalar multn

i.e. for any  $\mathbf{w} \in W, \lambda \in \mathbb{F}$  we have  $\lambda \mathbf{w} \in W$ .

In this case, addn & scalar multn on  $V$  restrict to addn and scalar multn law on  $W$  making  $W$  a vector space.

We write  $W \leq V$  in this case.

Why? Vector space axioms for  $V \implies$  those for  $W$ . Try to check some axioms for yourself.

### Counter-examples

**e.g. 1** Subspaces aren't curved.

$$V = \mathbb{R}^2, \quad W = \{(x, y) \mid x^2 + y^2 = 2x + 2y\}.$$

$W$  is not a subspace of  $V$

Why? Note  $(2, 0) \in W$ ,

but  $2(2, 0) = (4, 0) \notin W$ .

$\therefore W$  is not closed under  
scalar multn.

$W$  fails one of the axioms of a subspace.

$\therefore W$  is not a subspace.

Note: If  $W \leq \mathbb{R}^n$  contains  $\mathbf{w} \neq \mathbf{0}$ , it contains the  
line  $\mathbf{x} = \lambda \mathbf{w}, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ .

**e.g. 2**  $V = \mathbb{R}^2$ ,  $W = x$  and  $y$  axes is not a

subspace.

Why?  $(1, 0), (0, 1) \in W$

but  $(1, 0) + (0, 1) = (1, 1) \notin W$

$\therefore W$  is not closed under addn.

$\therefore W$  is not a subspace.

Note  $W$  is closed under scalar multn.

**ex** Is  $\mathbb{Z}$  a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}$ ?

**ex** Is  $\{(x, y) | x + 2y = 3\}$  a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ ?

## Examples

**e.g. 3** Suppose  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^3$  represents some portfolio  
i.e.  $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, v_2, v_3)$  where

$v_i =$  amount of investment in  $i$ -th asset.

Suppose  $r_i =$  rate of return of  $i$ -th asset.

so net profit is  $r_1v_1 +$

Show  $W := \{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid \text{net profit is } 0\}$

is a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .

$$\mathbf{A} \quad W = \{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid \mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{v} = 0\}$$

where  $\mathbf{r} = (r_1, r_2, r_3)$ .

N.B. Geom,  $W$  is a

Check closure axioms.

Zero:  $\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{0} = 0$  so  $\mathbf{0} \in W$ .

Addn: if  $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \in W$  then

$$\mathbf{r} \cdot (\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w}) = \mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{w} = 0 + 0 = 0$$

so  $\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w} \in W$  &  $W$  is closed under addn.

Scalar Multn: if  $\mathbf{v} \in W, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  then

$$\mathbf{r} \cdot (\lambda \mathbf{v}) = \lambda \mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{v} = \lambda 0 = 0$$

so  $\lambda \mathbf{v} \in W$  &  $W$  is closed under scalar multn.

Closure axioms hold so  $W \leq \mathbb{R}^3$ .

**e.g.**  $\mathbf{4} \quad V = \mathcal{R}[\mathbb{R}]$  has subsets

$\mathbb{P}$  = set of real poly fns.

$\mathbb{P}_d$  = subset of poly of degree  $\leq d$ .

$\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{P}_d$  are subspaces of  $\mathcal{R}[\mathbb{R}]$ .

Why? 0 is a poly of degree  $\leq d$  so  $0 \in \mathbb{P}_d$ .

$\mathbb{P}_d$  is closed under addn  $\therefore$

$\mathbb{P}_d$  is closed under scalar multn since

This verifies closure axioms for a subspace so  $\mathbb{P}_d \leq \mathcal{R}[\mathbb{R}]$

Argument above works for  $d = \infty$  to show also  $\mathbb{P} \leq \mathcal{R}[\mathbb{R}]$ .

**e.g. 5** Sim  $\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{C})$  resp  $\mathbb{P}_d(\mathbb{C})$  = set of complex poly (resp of degree  $\leq d$ ) are subspaces of  $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{C})$ .

**Alternative Subspace Thm** Let  $V$  = vect space / field  $\mathbb{F}$ . Then  $W \subseteq V$  is a subspace iff i)

$\mathbf{0} \in W$  and ii) for any  $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \in W, \lambda \in \mathbb{F}$  we have  $\lambda \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w} \in W$ .

Why? Cond'n ii) certainly follows from closure under addn & scalar multn. Conversely, cond'n ii) specialises to these two closure axioms on setting  $\lambda = 1$  or  $\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{0}$  (which is allowed by cond'n i)).

**e.g. 6** Interval  $I = [a, b] \subseteq \mathbb{R}, V = \mathcal{R}[I]$ .

Let  $C(I) =$  set of continuous fns.

$C^{(k)}(I) =$  set of  $k$ -times differentiable fns s.t.  $f^{(k)}(x)$  is also continuous.

Then  $C(I), C^{(k)}(I)$  are subspaces.

e.g. We check  $C(I)$  is a subspace using the alternative subspace thm.

i) 0 fn is continuous so  $0 \in C(I)$ .

ii) Let  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}, f, g \in C(I)$ . Then  $\lambda f + g$  is cont so  $\lambda f + g \in C(I)$ .

The alternative subspace thm then shows  $C(I)$  is a subspace of  $\mathcal{R}[I]$ .

Above subspaces are useful in calculus.

### Facts about subspaces

**Fact** Let  $V$  be vector space / field  $\mathbb{F}$ .

- 1)  $\mathbf{0}$  and  $V$  are subspaces of  $V$ .
- 2) If  $W \leq V, U \leq W$  then  $U \leq W$ .
- 3) The zero of  $V$  is the zero of any subspace.

Proof: easy. Clear from any example

$$0 < \mathbb{P}_d < \mathbb{P} < C(\mathbb{R}).$$

### Linear Combinations

**Defn** Let  $S = \{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n\} \subseteq V = \text{vect space} / \text{field } \mathbb{F}$ . A linear combination of  $S$  is a vector or expression of form

$$\lambda_1 \mathbf{v}_1 + \lambda_2 \mathbf{v}_2 + \dots + \lambda_n \mathbf{v}_n$$

for some scalars  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in \mathbb{F}$ .

If  $S = \emptyset$ , then we define by default that  $\mathbf{0}$  is the only linear combn of  $S$ .

**e.g. 7**  $\mathbf{v}_1 = (2, 1), \mathbf{v}_2 = (0, 1)$

Write  $(4, 3)$  as a lin  
combn of  $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2\}$ .

$$\begin{aligned}(4, 3) &= 2(2, 1) + 1(0, 1) \\ &= 2(2, 1) + (0, 1).\end{aligned}$$

Hence  $(4, 3)$  is a lin combn of  $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2$ .

**e.g. 8**  $V = M_{22}(\mathbb{R})$

$$\mathbf{v}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 3 \end{pmatrix}, \mathbf{v}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ 0 & 4 \end{pmatrix}, \mathbf{w} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 5 \\ 1 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$$

$\mathbf{w}$  is not a lin combn of  $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2$ .

Why? For  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\lambda_1 \mathbf{v}_1 + \lambda_2 \mathbf{v}_2 =$$



**e.g. 9** Is  $e^{3x}$  a lin combn of  $e^x, e^{2x}$ ?

**A** No. For suppose to the contrary that

$$e^{3x} = \lambda e^x + \mu e^{2x} \text{ for some } \lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}.$$

$$\therefore 1 =$$

Take limit

RHS

Thus  $e^{3x}$  is not a lin combn of  $e^x, e^{2x}$ .

**Prop** If  $S = \{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_r\} \subseteq W \leq V =$  vector space / field  $\mathbb{F}$ , then every lin combn of elements in  $S$  is in  $W$ .

Proof: For  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r \in \mathbb{F}$  we have

$$\lambda_1 \mathbf{v}_1 + \dots + \lambda_r \mathbf{v}_r$$