

Lecture 22: Asymptotic behaviour. Markov chains

Aim lecture Look at asymptotic behaviour of dynamical systems via e-value theory.

Asymptotic behaviour

e.g. 1 see MATLAB

Consider dts $\mathbf{x}(k+1) = A \mathbf{x}(k)$

where $A = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & -2 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$

$x_1(k)$ = popn of roadrunners

$x_2(k)$ = popn of

Describe behaviour as $k \longrightarrow \infty$

A MATLAB says

e-values are

e-vectors are

so $\mathbf{x}(k) =$

for some scalars α_1, α_2 .

Let's assume $\alpha_1 \neq 0$. If α_1, α_2 are "chosen" randomly then we expect this to occur. We say more precisely, it occurs generically i.e. with probability 1.

This means dominant term is $\alpha_1 3^k \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$.

\therefore As $k \rightarrow \infty$ expect

1) Popn growth: popn tends to

$$\begin{aligned} \text{e.g. } \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x_1(k+1)}{x_1(k)} \\ = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2\alpha_1 3^{k+1} + \alpha_2 2^{k+1}}{2\alpha_1 3^k + \alpha_2 2^k} \end{aligned}$$

=

$$\text{Sim } \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x_2(k+1)}{x_2(k)}$$

2) Popn ratio $x_1(k) : x_2(k) \rightarrow$

$$\text{Check: } \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2\alpha_1 3^k + \alpha_2 2^k}{\alpha_1 3^k + \alpha_2 2^k}$$

Upshot Largest e-value gives expected popn growth

rate,

corresp e-vector gives asymptotic popn distribution.

Defn 1 The dts $\mathbf{x}(k+1) = A\mathbf{x}(k)$

is stable if for any soln $\mathbf{x}(k)$ we have

i.e. (assuming A diag) all e-values of A have magnitude

We say the dts is unstable if there is a soln $\mathbf{x}(k)$ with

The system in e.g. 1 above is unstable.

Markov processes

In e.g. 1, total popn grows. In some applicns, total popn fixed but individuals “shuffle” around.

e.g. 2 Residents of Jiggalong only vote labour or

liberal.

$x_1(k)$ = no. labour voters on day k

$x_2(k)$ = no. liberal voters on day k

Suppose each day, after the nightly news, quarter of the labour voters switch to liberal

& half liberal voters switch to labour.

i.e. $x_1(k+1) =$

$x_2(k+1) =$

or $\mathbf{x}(k+1) = A \mathbf{x}(k)$

where $A =$

N.B. Entries in 1st (resp 2nd) column of A is fraction of labour (resp liberal) voters voting labour/liberal the next day.

\implies total in each column is 1.

Suggests

Defn 2 A dts $\mathbf{x}(k+1) = A\mathbf{x}(k)$ is said to be a Markov chain if

i) entries of A are non-negative,

& ii) the sum of entries in each column is 1.

e.g. 3 above is a Markov chain.

A is called a transition matrix.

Prop 1 Suppose $A \in M_{nn}(\mathbb{R})$ has non-negative entries.

Then (*) $\mathbf{x}(k+1) = A\mathbf{x}(k)$ describes a Markov chain iff $(1, 1, \dots, 1)^T$ is an e-vector for A^T with e-value 1.

e.g.3 again $A^T \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} =$

Proof propn 1: Let $\mathbf{a}_1^T, \dots, \mathbf{a}_n^T$ be the rows of $A =$

By defn, (*) defines a Markov chain

\iff the sum of the rows of A ,

$$\sum_i \mathbf{a}_i^T = (1, 1, \dots, 1)$$

\iff sum of col of $A^T =$

$$\iff A^T$$

$\iff A^T$ has e-vector

Prop 2 1) $A \in M_{nn}(\mathbb{R})$ & A^T have the same e-values.

2) If $\mathbf{x}(k+1) = A\mathbf{x}(k)$ defines a Markov chain, then 1 is an e-value for A .

Proof: 1) It suffices to prove the char poly for A^T is the same as for A .

$$\det(A^T - \lambda I) = \det$$

2) follows from 1) & prop 1.

e.g. 3 yet again $A - I =$

$A - I$ is not invertible \because rows of $A - I$ are parallel.

\therefore 1 is indeed an e-value of A .

Defn 3 Let $\mathbf{x}(k + 1) = A \mathbf{x}(k)$ define a Markov chain. We say it's regular if for some $r \geq 1$ all entries of A^r are positive.

Thm Let $\mathbf{x}(k + 1) = A \mathbf{x}(k)$

define a regular Markov chain. Then

1) Any e-value λ other than 1 satisfies $|\lambda| < 1$

2) The $\lambda = 1$ e-space is one-dimensional.

Rem 1 In this case, as $k \longrightarrow \infty$

expect $\mathbf{x}(k) \longrightarrow$

Proof: None but see next section.

Back to e.g. 3

Recall $A = \begin{pmatrix} .75 & .5 \\ .25 & .5 \end{pmatrix}$

If total popn of Jiggalong is 6000, find asymptotic voting pattern.

Ans: Thm & prop 2 $\implies \lambda = 1$ is an e-value. Let $\lambda = \mu$ be other e-value.

$\lambda = 1$ e-space:

$$\ker(A - I) =$$

Let $\mathbf{f}_1 =$

\mathbf{f}_2 be an e-vector with e-value μ .

Note total popn $x_1(k) + x_2(k)$ remains constant = 6000.

Now $\mathbf{x}(k) = \alpha_1 1^k \mathbf{f}_1 + \alpha_2 \mu^k \mathbf{f}_2 = \alpha_1 \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + \alpha_2 \mu^k \mathbf{f}_2$
for some scalars α_1, α_2 .

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{x}(k) = \alpha_1 \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Can't have $\alpha_1 = 0$ otherwise $\mathbf{x}(k) \rightarrow \mathbf{0}$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ so total popn $\rightarrow 0$ too, a contradiction.

Note $\implies 2\alpha_1 + \alpha_1 = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_1(k) + x_2(k) = 6000$.

$$\therefore \alpha_1 =$$

Eventually, expect $\mathbf{x}(k)$ to tend to

$$2000 \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 4000 \\ 2000 \end{pmatrix} \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Rem 2 a) If initial voting pattern is

$$\mathbf{x}(0) = 2000 \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

then for all k we have $\mathbf{x}(k) =$

since this is an e-vector for A with e-value 1.

b) $\mathbf{x} = \begin{pmatrix} 4000 \\ 2000 \end{pmatrix}$ is called an "equilibrium" of the dts for this reason.

Some proofs

Special Case of Thm Let $\mathbf{x}(k+1) = A\mathbf{x}(k)$

define a Markov chain where

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \quad \& \quad a, b, c, d > 0$$

i) Then A has e-values 1 & $\lambda = \det A$

ii) $|\lambda| < 1$.

Proof. i) Char poly is

$$p(\lambda) = \begin{vmatrix} a - \lambda & b \\ c & d - \lambda \end{vmatrix}$$

=

\therefore product of e-values is $\det A$.

We know one e-value is 1 so

ii) holds $\because a, b, c, d \in (0, 1)$.