

Lecture 11: Dimension

Aim Lecture A basis $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n\}$ of V gives n -dim coord system. Suggests V is n -dimensional. Need theory to ensure any two bases have the same number of vectors.

How does $\text{Span}(S)$ vary with S

Prop $V =$ vector space/ field \mathbb{F}

Let $S_1 \subseteq S_2$ be finite subsets of V . Then

$\text{Span}(S_1) \subseteq \text{Span}(S_2)$.

Proof: We may suppose $S_1 = \{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_m\}$, $S_2 = \{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_m, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n\}$.

Need show every lin combn of S_1 is also a lin combn of S_2 . Consider a lin combn of S_1

$$\lambda_1 \mathbf{v}_1 + \dots + \lambda_m \mathbf{v}_m =$$

$$\lambda_1 \mathbf{v}_1 + \dots + \lambda_m \mathbf{v}_m + 0 \mathbf{v}_{m+1} + \dots + 0 \mathbf{v}_n$$

which is also a lin combn of S_2 . Hence every vector in $\text{Span}(S_1)$ is also in $\text{Span}(S_2)$ and the prop is proved.

Thm 1 Let $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n \in V =$ vector space/
field \mathbb{F} . Then

$$(*) \quad \text{Span}(\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n, \mathbf{v}) = \text{Span}(\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n)$$

iff $\mathbf{v} \in \text{Span}(\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n)$.

Proof: (\implies) If $(*)$ holds then

$$\mathbf{v} \in \text{Span}(\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n, \mathbf{v}) = \text{Span}(\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n).$$

(\impliedby) Suppose $\mathbf{v} \in \text{Span}(\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n)$ so say

$$\mathbf{v} = \alpha_1 \mathbf{v}_1 + \dots + \alpha_n \mathbf{v}_n$$

for some scalars $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$. We first show

$\text{Span}(\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n, \mathbf{v}) \subseteq \text{Span}(\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n)$. An arbitrary elt of

$\text{Span}(\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n, \mathbf{v})$ has form

$$\lambda_1 \mathbf{v}_1 + \dots + \lambda_n \mathbf{v}_n + \lambda \mathbf{v} =$$

$$= (\lambda_1 + \alpha_1 \lambda) \mathbf{v}_1 + \dots + (\lambda_n + \alpha_n \lambda) \mathbf{v}_n$$

which lies in $\text{Span}(\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n)$.

Hence, $\text{Span}(\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n, \mathbf{v}) \subseteq \text{Span}(\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n)$

Prop 4 \implies

$$\text{Span}(\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n, \mathbf{v}) \supseteq \text{Span}(\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n)$$

The two inclusions show $\text{Span}(\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n, \mathbf{v}) = \text{Span}(\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n)$.

Shrinking spanning sets to bases

Rem If $S = \{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n\}$ lin depend then some $\mathbf{v}_i \in \text{Span}(S - \{\mathbf{v}_i\})$ (by alt charn of lin depend).

Prop \implies

$$\text{Span}(\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n) =$$

$$\text{Span}(\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{i-1}, \mathbf{v}_{i+1}, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n)$$

i.e. can omit \mathbf{v}_i without from S without shrinking its span.

e.g. 1 Suppose $S = \{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_3\}$ all lie in plane

$P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$ & no two are parallel.

$\text{Span}(S) = P$. Above remark shows that you can remove any of the 3 vectors from S and the remaining two will still span P . This is clear geometrically from picture.

Thm 2 Suppose $S \subset V$ is a finite spanning set for V . Then some subset of S is a basis for S .

Why? We run following algorithm which keeps deleting vectors from S until you arrive at a basis.

Step 1: Ask if some $\mathbf{v} \in S$ is a lin combn of other vectors in S .

Step 2: If no, then S is lin indep by alt charn of lin

depend. Done as S is lin indep spanning set & \therefore a basis.

Step 3: If yes, delete \mathbf{v} from S & note by remark that we still have $\text{Span}(S) = V$. Go back to step 1.

Note, process stops \because S has only finitely many vectors and you can't keep deleting forever.

Dimension

Lemma Let $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_m \in \mathbb{F}^n$ be lin indep. Then $m \leq n$.

Proof: Let $A = (\mathbf{v}_1 \dots \mathbf{v}_m) \in M_{nm}(\mathbb{F})$.

$\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_m$ lin indep

$$\implies x_1 \mathbf{v}_1 + \dots + x_m \mathbf{v}_m = \mathbf{0}$$

has unique soln $0 = x_1 = \dots = x_m$.

i.e. $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ has unique soln $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$.

\therefore no. rows of $A \geq$ no. columns of A .

(Otherwise row echelon form for A has non-leading

column so gen soln for \mathbf{x} has a parameter in it, a contradiction.)

$$\therefore n \geq m.$$

Thm 3 Let $V =$ vector space/ field \mathbb{F}

Let $S = \{\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_n\}$ span V .

Let $I = \{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_m\} \subseteq V$ be lin indep.

Then $m \leq n$.

Proof: By thm 2, we can shrink S to basis B with $d \leq n$ vectors.

Scholium in lecture 10 \implies

$[\mathbf{v}_1]_B, \dots, [\mathbf{v}_m]_B \in \mathbb{F}^d$ are lin indep.

Lemma $\implies m \leq d$ so $m \leq n$ too.

Prop - Defn Let $V =$ vector space/ field \mathbb{F}

Suppose $B_1 = \{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_m\}$ &

$B_2 = \{\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_n\}$ are bases for V . Then $m = n$

In this case, say V is finite dimensional

& has dimension $\dim_{\mathbb{F}} V = \dim V := n$.

Proof: By thm 3

B_1 spans V & B_2 lin indep \implies

B_2 spans V & B_1 lin indep \implies

so $m = n$.

e.g. 2 For $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^3 - \mathbf{0}$, the line

$V = \text{Span}(\mathbf{v})$ has basis $B = \{\mathbf{v}\}$.

$\therefore \dim_{\mathbb{R}} V = \text{no. elts of } B = 1$.

So a line is 1-dimensional as one would want.

e.g. 3 We have standard basis

$\{\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n\}$ so $\dim \mathbb{F}^n = n$.

e.g. 4 \mathbb{C} is a vect space / \mathbb{R} with

vector addn = addn of complex numbers

scalar multn = product of complex number by real.

\mathbb{C} has basis (over \mathbb{R})

since every complex number can be written uniquely

as $a + bi$ for some (unique choice of) $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$.

Hence $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} =$

Note $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C} = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}^1 = 1$.

E.g. 5 $\dim M_{mn}(\mathbb{F}) = mn$ since

$\{E_{11}, \dots, E_{1m}, E_{21}, \dots, E_{m1}, \dots, E_{mn}\}$ is a basis.

$\dim \mathbb{P}_n$

Theoretical implications of dimension

Cor 1 For $V =$ vector space/ field \mathbb{F} of dim d ,

a) Any lin indep set I has $\leq d$ elts.

b) Any spanning set S has $\geq d$ elts.

Proof: a) V has a basis S with d elts. S is also a spanning set so

thm 3 $\implies d \geq$ no. elts of I .

b) Sim.

e.g. 5 $\dim \mathbb{R}^3 = 3$ so can't span \mathbb{R}^3 with < 3 vectors and any 4 vectors in \mathbb{R}^3 are lin dependent.

Cor 2 Let $V =$ vector space/ field \mathbb{F} . Let $B = \{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n\} \subset V$ (\mathbf{v}_i 's distinct). The following conditions on B are equivalent:

a) B is a basis for V .

b) B is lin indep & $n = \dim V$.

c) B spans V & $n = \dim V$.

Proof: Omitted, see notes §7.6, thm 3.

e.g. 6 Let $B = \{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n\}$ be an o/n set of vectors in \mathbb{R}^n .

We saw lect 10 that B is lin indep so cor 2 $\implies B$ is in fact a basis.

Existence of basis

Thm 4 Let $V =$ vector space/ field \mathbb{F}

Let $S \subset V$ be a spanning set of n elts. Any subspace W of V has a basis with $\leq n$ vectors.

If $\dim W = \dim V$ then $W = V$.

Proof: Omitted. It's dual to proof thm 2.

e.g. 7 One can check closure axioms to see

$$W := \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^5 \mid x_1 + 2x_2 - x_5 = 0\}$$

is a subspace of \mathbb{R}^5 .

Thm 4 \implies it has a basis with $\leq \dim \mathbb{R}^5 = 5$ vectors. In fact, since $W \neq \mathbb{R}^5$, any basis has < 5 vectors.