

Algebraic stacks in the representation theory of finite-dimensional algebras

Daniel Chan
joint work with Boris Lerner

University of New South Wales
web.maths.unsw.edu.au/~danielch

October 2015

always work over base field k algebraically closed of char 0.

Motto

Moduli stacks are a fruitful way to study non-commutative algebra, because they are a machine to construct functors.

Plan of talk

- Recall the variety of representations of a quiver with relations.
- Brief user's guide to stacks in representation theory.

Question

Given a finite dimensional algebra A , how do you find an algebraic stack which is derived equivalent to it?

We finally,

- introduce a new moduli stack of “Serre stable representations”, which gives a first approximation to answering this question.

Quivers and representations

We use the following notation

- quiver $Q = (Q_0 = \text{vertices}, Q_1 = \text{edges})$ without oriented cycles
- kQ the path algebra & $I \triangleleft kQ$ an admissible ideal of relations
- $M = \bigoplus_{v \in Q_0} M_v$ is a (right) $A = kQ/I$ -module i.e. a representation of Q with relations I .
- The *dimension vector* of M is $\vec{\dim} M = (\dim_k M_v)_{v \in Q_0} \in \mathbb{Z}^{Q_0} \simeq K_0(A)$.

Representation variety

Let's classify representations with dim vector $\vec{d} = (d_v)$. Consider one such M .

- Picking bases i.e. isomorphisms $M_v \simeq k^{d_v}$ gives a unique point of

$$\text{Rep}(Q, \vec{d}) := \prod_{v \rightarrow w \in Q_1} \text{Hom}_k(k^{d_v}, k^{d_w}).$$

- Choice of basis is up to group $GL(\vec{d}) := \prod_{v \in Q_0} GL(d_v)$.
- If $I \neq 0$, then kQ/I -modules correspond to some closed subscheme

$$\text{Rep}(Q, I, \vec{d}) \subseteq \text{Rep}(Q, \vec{d}).$$

- $GL(\vec{d})$ acts on $\text{Rep}(Q, I, \vec{d})$ and orbits correspond to isomorphism classes of modules (with dim vector \vec{d}),
- stabilisers correspond to automorphism groups of M .
- The diagonal copy of k^\times acts trivially so $PGL(\vec{d}) := GL(\vec{d})/k^\times$ also acts.

Motivating example à la King

$Q =$ Kronecker quiver $v \rightrightarrows w$, $\vec{d} = \vec{1} = (1 \ 1)$.

$$k \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{x} \\ \rightrightarrows \\ \xrightarrow{y} \end{array} k \in \text{Rep}(Q, \vec{1}) \simeq k^2 = \mathbb{A}^2$$

$PGL(\vec{1}) = k^{\times 2}/k^{\times} \simeq k^{\times}$ acts by scaling, so if we omit $(x, y) = (0, 0)$ (explain later) have quotient $(\text{Rep}(Q, \vec{1}) - (0, 0))/PGL \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$.

We get a *family* of modules $M_{(x:y)} = M_{(x:y),v} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{x} \\ \rightrightarrows \\ \xrightarrow{y} \end{array} M_{(x:y),w}$

parametrised by $(x : y) \in \mathbb{P}^1$ which gives “the” *universal representation*

$$\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{x} \\ \rightrightarrows \\ \xrightarrow{y} \end{array} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)$$

Interesting Fact

\mathcal{U} is an $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} - A$ -bimodule whose dual ${}_A \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}} = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{O})$ induces inverse derived equivalences

$$\text{RHom}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\mathcal{T}, -) : D^b(\mathbb{P}^1) \longrightarrow D^b(A), \quad - \otimes_A^L \mathcal{T} : D^b(A) \longrightarrow D^b(\mathbb{P}^1)$$

Stacks: via categorifying Grothendieck's functor of points

To generalise this eg, need to enlarge category of schemes. A scheme X is not determined by its k -points, but is determined by all its R -points (R comm ring). More precisely, it's determined by

Functor of points

the *functor of points* of X , which is the covariant functor

$$h_X = \text{Hom}_{\text{Scheme}}(\text{Spec}(-), X) : \text{CommRing} \longrightarrow \text{Set}$$

$$\text{so } h_X(R) = \{f : \text{Spec } R \longrightarrow X\}$$

Remark Compare with maximal atlas defn of a manifold.

We “categorify” this defn, and let Gpd be the category of groupoids = small categories with all morphisms invertible.

“Definition” (Stack)

A *stack* is a pseudo-functor $h : \text{CommRing} \longrightarrow \text{Gpd} + \text{lots of axioms}$.

Think of the isomorphism classes of objects in the category $h(k)$ as the “ k -points” & the category now remembers automorphisms.

Example: Stacky group quotients

Let G be an algebraic group acting on a k -variety X .

Want a “stacky” group quotient $[X/G]$ st “ k -points” are the G -orbits $G \cdot x$, & the automorphism group of such a point is $\text{Stab}_G x < G$.

Recall A scheme morphism $\tilde{U} \rightarrow U$ is a G -torsor or G -bundle if G acts on \tilde{U} and trivially on U , is G -equivariant and locally on U is the trivial G -torsor $pr : G \times U \rightarrow U$.

Motivation There should be a G -torsor $\pi : X \rightarrow [X/G]$ so an object of $f \in [X/G](R)$ gives a Cartesian square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{U} & \xrightarrow{\phi} & X \\ q \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\ U := \text{Spec } R & \xrightarrow{f} & [X/G] \end{array}$$

\implies objects of $[X/G](R)$ are pairs (ϕ, q) st $q : \tilde{U} \rightarrow \text{Spec } R$ is a G -torsor & $\phi : \tilde{U} \rightarrow X$ is G -equivariant.

Effect of stabiliser groups

Define category of coherent sheaves $\text{Coh}[X/G] =$ category of G -equivariant coherent sheaves on X e.g. if X smooth, $\omega_{[X/G]} := \omega_X$.

Consider case $X = \mathbb{A}_x^1$ & $G = \mu_p = \langle \zeta = \sqrt[p]{1} \rangle$ acts by multn, so action free on $x \neq 0$ but $\text{Stab}_G 0 = \mu_p$.

k -**points** are parametrised by $y = x^p$.

- If $y \neq 0$ then $k[x]/(x^p - y)$ is a simple sheaf on $[X/G]$.
- If $y = 0$, then $k[x]/(x^p)$ is non-split extension of p non-isomorphic simples $k[x]/(x)$ with μ_p -action given by the p characters of μ_p .

General Fact

If $\tilde{U} \rightarrow U$ is a G -torsor, then $[\tilde{U}/G] \simeq U$. Here $[(\mathbb{A}_x^1 - 0)/\mu_p] \simeq \mathbb{A}_y^1 - 0$.

- $\omega_X = k[x]dx$ & $\omega_{[X/G]} \otimes_{[X/G]} -$ permutes the simples with $x = 0$ cyclically.

Families through stacky points

Note there is also a “birational” map $[\mathbb{A}_x^1/\mu_p] \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_y^1$. The rational inverse $\phi : \mathbb{A}_y^1 - 0 \rightarrow [\mathbb{A}_x^1/\mu_p]$ given by

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{A}_x^1 - 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{A}_x^1 \\ x \mapsto x^p = y \downarrow & & \\ \mathbb{A}_y^1 - 0 & & \end{array}$$

Important Phenomenon

You can't extend ϕ to all of \mathbb{A}_y^1 , except by first passing to to an étale cover of $\mathbb{A}_y^1 - 0$ as below.

Have tautological quotient map $\mathbb{A}_x^1 \rightarrow [\mathbb{A}_x^1/\mu_p]$ defined by

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mu_p \times \mathbb{A}_x^1 & \xrightarrow{\text{action}} & \mathbb{A}_x^1 \\ pr \downarrow & & \\ \mathbb{A}_x^1 & & \end{array}$$

This process is called “stable reduction”.

Weighted projective lines

Can define stacks via gluing just as for schemes.

Let $y_1, \dots, y_n \in \mathbb{P}^1$ and $p_1, \dots, p_n \geq 2$ be integer weights.

There is a stack $\mathbb{W} = \mathbb{P}^1(\sum p_i y_i)$ and map $\pi : \mathbb{P}^1(\sum p_i y_i) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ which is

- an isomorphism away from the y_i ,
- locally near y_i , it looks like $[\mathbb{A}_x^1/\mu_{p_i}] \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_y^1$

We call $\mathbb{P}^1(\sum p_i y_i)$ a *weighted projective line*.

π^* induces an isomorphism

$$k^2 = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}(1)) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{W}}(\pi^* \mathcal{O}, \pi^* \mathcal{O}(1)).$$

If $f_i \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{W}}(\pi^* \mathcal{O}, \pi^* \mathcal{O}(1))$ corresponds to y_i , then

$$\mathrm{coker}(f_i : \pi^* \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \pi^* \mathcal{O}(1))$$

is the non-split extension of p_i non-isomorphic simples on previous slide.

Canonical Algebra

Factorising f_i into p_i inclusions gives

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & \mathcal{O}(\frac{y_1}{p_1}) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}(\frac{2y_1}{p_1}) & \longrightarrow & \dots & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}(\frac{(p_1-1)y_1}{p_1}) \\ & \nearrow^{x_1} & & & & & & \searrow \\ \pi^* \mathcal{O} & & & & & & & \pi^* \mathcal{O}(1) \\ & \nearrow^{x_2} & & & & & & \nearrow \\ & \mathcal{O}(\frac{y_2}{p_2}) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}(\frac{2y_2}{p_2}) & \longrightarrow & \dots & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}(\frac{(p_2-1)y_2}{p_2}) \\ & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ & \nearrow^{x_n} & & & & & & \nearrow \\ & \mathcal{O}(\frac{y_n}{p_n}) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}(\frac{2y_n}{p_n}) & \longrightarrow & \dots & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}(\frac{(p_n-1)y_n}{p_n}) \end{array}$$

Thm(Geigle-Lenzing) The above is a tilting bundle on $\mathbb{P}^1(\sum p_i y_i)$ with endomorphism ring the corresponding canonical algebra.

Moduli stack of isomorphism classes of $A = kQ/I$ -modules

Fix dim vector $\vec{d} \in K_0(A)$. There's a stack $\text{Iso}(A, \vec{d})$ with k -points the iso classes of A -modules dim vector \vec{d} & automorphisms = module automorphisms.

$\text{Iso}(A, \vec{d})(R) =$ category of (R, A) -modules $\mathcal{M} = \bigoplus \mathcal{M}_v$, with

- \mathcal{M}_v loc free rank d_v/R ,
- Morphisms = bimodule isomorphism

Important Facts

- $\text{Iso}(A, \vec{d}) \simeq [\text{Rep}(Q, I, \vec{d})/GL(\vec{d})]$.
- Tautologically, there is a universal A -module $\mathcal{U} = \bigoplus \mathcal{U}_v$ over $\text{Iso}(A, \vec{d})$.

Note These will never be weighted projective lines because all modules have k^\times in their automorphism group!

Rigidified moduli stack of A -modules

We *rigidify* the stack to remove this common copy of k^\times . Define (when some $d_v = 1$ else need stackification)

$\text{RigIso}(A, \vec{d})(R)$ has same objects as $\text{Iso}(A, \vec{d})(R)$, but

- a morphism in $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$ is an equivalence class of (R, A) -bimodule isomorphisms $\psi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow L \otimes_R \mathcal{N}$ where L is a line bundle on R ,
- $\psi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow L \otimes_R \mathcal{N}, \psi' : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow L' \otimes_R \mathcal{N}$ are equivalent if there's an iso $l : L \rightarrow L'$ st $\psi' = (l \otimes 1)\psi$.

Important Facts

- $\text{RigIso}(A, \vec{d}) \simeq [\text{Rep}(Q, l, \vec{d})/PGL(\vec{d})]$.
- Tautologically, there is a universal A -module $\mathcal{U} = \bigoplus \mathcal{U}_v$ over $\text{RigIso}(A, \vec{d})$, unique up to line bundle.

Serre functor map $\text{RigIso} \dashrightarrow \text{RigIso}$

Assume now $\text{gl. dim } A < \infty$ & write $DA = \text{Hom}_k(A, k)$.

Recall we have a Serre functor $\nu = - \otimes_A^L DA$ on $D_{fg}^b(A)$. Define $\nu_d = \nu \circ [-d]$.

Given a k -point of $\text{RigIso}(A, \vec{d})$ i.e. A -module M , $\nu_d M$ may or may not define a k -point of $\text{RigIso}(A, \vec{d})$.

Proposition

The locus of modules where it does, defines a locally closed substack $\text{RigIso}(A, \vec{d})^0$ of $\text{RigIso}(A, \vec{d})$. It is open if $d = \text{pd } DA$ or $\text{pd } DA - 1$.

We hence obtain a partially defined self-map

$$\nu_d : \text{RigIso}(A, \vec{d})^0 \longrightarrow \text{RigIso}(A, \vec{d})$$

The Serre stable moduli stack

The *Serre stable moduli stack* $\text{RigIso}(A, \vec{d})^S$ is the fixed point stack i.e. fibre product

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{RigIso}(A, \vec{d})^S & \longrightarrow & \text{RigIso}(A, \vec{d})^0 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \Gamma_{\nu_d} \\ \text{RigIso}(A, \vec{d}) & \xrightarrow{\Delta} & \text{RigIso}(A, \vec{d}) \times \text{RigIso}(A, \vec{d}) \end{array}$$

The category of k -points $\text{RigIso}(A, \vec{d})^S(k)$ has

- Objects: isomorphisms $M \xrightarrow{\sim} \nu_d M$ where M is an A -module dim vector \vec{d}
- Morphisms: diagrams of isomorphisms which commute up to scalar

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M & \longrightarrow & \nu_d M \\ \theta \downarrow & & \downarrow \nu_d \theta \\ N & \longrightarrow & \nu_d N \end{array}$$

Objects of $\text{RigIso}(A, \vec{d})^S(R)$ are (R, A) -bimodule isomorphisms $\mathcal{M} \simeq L \otimes_R \mathcal{M} \otimes_A^L DA[-d]$, where L is a line bundle.

Serre stability alters points: eg Kronecker algebra

$Q =$ Kronecker quiver $v \rightrightarrows w$, $\vec{d} = \vec{1} = (1 \ 1)$. $A = kQ$, $d = 1$.

$$M : k \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{0} \\ \xrightarrow{0} \end{array} k$$

has a projective summand $0 \rightrightarrows k$ so $M \not\cong \nu_1 M$
 \implies no corresponding point of $\text{RigIso}(A, \vec{1})^S$.

However, for the universal representation

$$\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{x} \\ \xrightarrow{y} \end{array} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)$$

we have $\mathcal{U} \otimes_A^L DA[-1] \simeq \omega_{\mathbb{P}^1} \otimes_{\mathbb{P}^1} \mathcal{U}$ & in fact

Proposition

$$\text{RigIso}(A, \vec{1})^S \simeq \mathbb{P}^1.$$

A similar result holds for the Beilinson algebra derived equivalent to \mathbb{P}^d .

Serre stability alters automorphism groups

$A =$ canonical algebra of $\mathbb{P}^1(3y)$. Let $d = 1, \vec{d} = \vec{1}$.

$$M := \begin{array}{ccccc} & & k & \xrightarrow{0} & k \\ & \nearrow 0 & & & \searrow 0 \\ k & & & \xrightarrow{1} & k \end{array}$$

is the direct sum of a ν_1 -orbit corresponding to the 3 simple sheaves at $y = 0$.

- automorphisms of M in RigIso are $(k^\times)^3/k^\times \simeq (k^\times)^2$.
- automorphisms of M in RigIso^S are $\mu_3!$

Why

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M & \longrightarrow & \nu_1 M \\ \theta \in (k^\times)^3 \downarrow & & \downarrow \nu_d \theta \\ M & \longrightarrow & \nu_1 M \end{array}, \nu_d \theta = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \theta$$

commutes up to scalar $\iff \theta$ is an e-vector of the permutation matrix.

The k -points of RigIso^S

Note ν_d induces a (shifted) Coxeter transformation on $K_0(A)$.

If $M \in \text{mod } A$ is Serre stable in sense $M \simeq \nu_d M$, then $\vec{d} := \vec{\dim} M$ is fixed by ν_d . We say \vec{d} is Coxeter stable.

Proposition

Let M be a Serre stable module with $\vec{\dim} M$ minimal Coxeter stable. If $\text{End}_A M$ is semisimple then

- Any two isomorphisms $\theta : M \rightarrow \nu_d M, \theta' : M \rightarrow \nu_d M$ are isomorphic in RigIso^S .
- The automorphism group in $\text{RigIso}^S(k)$ of any such θ is μ_p where $p = \text{no. Wedderburn components of } \text{End}_A M$.

Some theorems

Theorem (C.-Lerner)

Let \mathbb{W} be a weighted projective line which is *Fano or anti-Fano* i.e. $\omega_{\mathbb{W}}^{\mp 1}$ is ample or equiv, is not tubular. Let

- $\mathcal{T} = \bigoplus \mathcal{T}_v$ be a basic tilting bundle on \mathbb{W}
- $A = \text{End}_{\mathbb{W}} \mathcal{T}$.

Then $\text{RigIso}(A, \vec{\dim} \mathcal{T})^S \simeq \mathbb{W}$ & \mathcal{T} is dual to the universal representation.

Remark Higher dimensional versions hold.

Theorem (C.-Lerner)

Let $A =$ canonical algebra. Then $\text{RigIso}(A, \vec{1})^S$ is a weighted projective line derived equivalent to A & the universal representation is dual to the tilting bundle given earlier.

- Abdelghadir-Ueda have also exhibited weighted projective lines as moduli spaces, but of enriched quiver representations.
- The proof of the derived equivalence is via Bridgeland-King-Reid theory and is independent of Geigle-Lenzing's.

Reminder on Bridgeland-King-Reid theory

Let \mathbb{W} be a smooth weighted projective variety. Then the set Ω of simple sheaves is a *spanning class* for $\text{Coh } \mathbb{W}$.

Let \mathcal{T} be an $(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{W}}, A)$ -bimodule for some fin dim algebra A which is left locally free &

$$F = \text{RHom}_{\mathbb{W}}(\mathcal{T}, -) : D_c^b(\mathbb{W}) \longrightarrow D_{fg}^b(A)$$

Theorem(Bridgeland-King-Reid)

Suppose for all $\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S}' \in \Omega$ we have

- $F : \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{W}}^i(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S}') \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_A^i(F\mathcal{S}, F\mathcal{S}')$ is an isomorphism, and
- $\nu(F\mathcal{S}) \simeq F(\omega_{\mathbb{W}} \otimes_{\mathbb{W}} \mathcal{S})$.

Then F is a derived equivalence.

Remark Serre stability condition makes checking the 2nd condition easy.

A fresh look at the canonical algebra A

Step 1 Choose \vec{d} : For $\text{RigIso}^S \neq \emptyset$ need \vec{d} fixed by Coxeter transformation $= \nu_1$ on $K_0(A)$. Use $\vec{d} = \vec{1}$ \because it works and generates all such vectors if A is non-tubular.

Step 2 Compute Serre functor on some modules: eg for

$$M := \begin{array}{ccccc} & & k & \xrightarrow{b} & k \\ & \nearrow a & & & \searrow c \\ k & & & \xrightarrow{1} & k \end{array}, \quad \nu_1 M := \begin{array}{ccccc} & & k & \xrightarrow{a} & k \\ & \nearrow c & & & \searrow b \\ k & & & \xrightarrow{1} & k \end{array}$$

Note iso class determined by product abc

Step 3 Guess a universal family/moduli space:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & k[x] & \xrightarrow{x} & k[x] \\ & \nearrow x & & & \searrow x \\ k[x] & & & \xrightarrow{1} & k[x] \end{array}$$

is a μ_3 -equivariant family on \mathbb{A}_x^1 . See $\text{RigIso}^S \simeq \mathbb{P}^1(3y)$.

Remark on stable reduction in this case

For $c \in k - 0$, we get a Serre stable family

$$M_c := \begin{array}{ccc} & k & \xrightarrow{1} k \\ & \nearrow c & \\ k & \xrightarrow{1} & k \\ & \searrow 1 & \end{array}$$

which does not immediately extend to $c = 0$. Need first adjoin $\sqrt[3]{c}$ to get

$$M_{\sqrt[3]{c}} := \begin{array}{ccc} & k & \xrightarrow{\sqrt[3]{c}} k \\ & \nearrow \sqrt[3]{c} & \\ k & \xrightarrow{1} & k \\ & \searrow \sqrt[3]{c} & \end{array}$$

- Method “works” because Serre stable moduli stack of “skyscraper sheaves” is the tautological moduli problem that recovers many stacks.
- Ideally we can apply Bridgeland-King-Reid theory to obtain independently many derived equivalences. Problem is we don't have many general results about the Serre stable moduli stack e.g. need a stable reduction theorem.
- For tame hereditary algebras, the preprojective algebra arises naturally in attempting to construct Serre stable objects.
- Case where you insert weights on intersecting divisors fails. Perhaps can be fixed by using the cotangent bundle.