

**ERRATUM TO “ON A PROBLEM OF LANG FOR MATRIX  
POLYNOMIALS, *BULL. LONDON MATH. SOC.*, 54 (2022),  
1552–1567”**

ALINA OSTAFE

In [6] the author initiates the study of a matrix analogue of the well known result conjectured by Lang [4, 8] in the 1960s and proved by Ihara, Serre and Tate, asserting the finiteness of so-called *torsion points* on curves, that is, points with all coordinates roots of unity. For the case of plane curves, Beukers and Smyth [1, Section 4.1] give a uniform bound for the number of such points. The paper [6] contains two main results, one in the case of  $n \times n$  matrix specialisations commuting with the coefficients of the involved polynomials (Theorem 1.4) and one for arbitrary  $2 \times 2$  matrix specialisations (Theorem 1.7).

Unfortunately the proof of Theorem 1.7 contains a gap in the application of [3, Theorem 1], that is, the author missed to consider also the case  $s = 0$  in Case (I) on page 1564. As a result of this gap, [6, Theorem 1.7] does not hold true, and consequently Corollary 1.9 does not as well.

We regret that we have to retract the statement of [6, Theorem 1.7], and we correct in this note the statement of [6, Corollary 1.9] and give a corollary which resembles the one in [6, Theorem 1.7] for the polynomials  $f(X) = X$  and  $g(X) = X^d + C$ , where  $C$  is a fixed  $2 \times 2$  matrix over  $\mathbb{C}$ .

Before we state the result we introduce some notation: we denote by  $M_2(\mathbb{C})$  the set of all  $2 \times 2$  complex matrices, and  $GL_2(\mathbb{C})$  its subset of invertible matrices. We call a matrix  $A \in GL_2(\mathbb{C})$  *torsion* if  $A^n = I$  for some  $n \geq 1$ , where  $I$  represents the identity matrix. For a matrix  $A$ ,  $\text{Tr}(A)$  denotes the trace of  $A$  and  $\text{Spec}(A)$  denotes the set of its eigenvalues.

We also recall that a conjugacy class  $\mathcal{A}$  containing an element  $A \in M_2(\mathbb{C})$  is the set of all matrices of the form  $UAU^{-1}$ ,  $U \in GL_2(\mathbb{C})$ .

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $C \in M_2(\mathbb{C})$ .*

- (i) *Assume  $C \neq \mu \cdot I$  for some  $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$ . If  $\text{Tr}(C)$  is not the sum of at most two roots of unity, then there are only finitely many pairs of conjugacy classes  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) \subset M_2(\mathbb{C})^2$  that contain the torsion matrix solutions to the equation*

$$X + Y = C.$$

*Conversely, if there are only finitely many pairs of conjugacy classes  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) \subset M_2(\mathbb{C})^2$  that contain the torsion matrix solutions to the equation*

$$X + Y = C,$$

*then  $\text{Tr}(C)$  is not the sum of at most two roots of unity.*

- (ii) If  $C = \mu \cdot I$  for some  $\mu \in \mathbb{C}^*$ , then there are only finitely many conjugacy classes  $\mathcal{A}$  that contain torsion matrices  $A \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})$  such that  $\mu \cdot I - A$  is also torsion.

*Proof.* We can write  $C = VDV^{-1}$ , where  $V \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})$  and

$$(1) \quad D = \begin{pmatrix} \mu_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \mu_2 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{or} \quad D = \begin{pmatrix} \mu & 1 \\ 0 & \mu \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu$  are the eigenvalues of  $C$ .

We look for torsion solutions  $(A, B) \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})^2$  to the equation  $X + Y = C$ . Since  $A, B$  are torsion if and only if  $V^{-1}AV, V^{-1}BV$  are as well, the problem is equivalent to looking at torsion solutions to the equation

$$(2) \quad X + Y = D,$$

where  $D$  has one of the forms in (1).

We first remark that if  $D$  is diagonal in (1), and  $\mu_1 = \mu_2$ , that is,  $C = \mu_1 \cdot I$ , then we look for torsion matrices  $A$  having eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2$  that are roots of unity, such that  $\mu_1 - \lambda_1$  and  $\mu_1 - \lambda_2$  are also roots of unity. However, there are finitely many such roots of unity  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  if and only if  $\mu_1 \neq 0$ . Indeed, let  $\lambda_1$  be a root of unity such that  $\eta_1 = \mu_1 - \lambda_1$  is also a root of unity, that is,  $\lambda_1 + \eta_1 = \mu_1$ . If  $\mu_1 \neq 0$ , there are at most two solutions  $(\lambda_1, \eta_1)$  in roots of unity (and same discussion applies to  $\lambda_2$ ) corresponding to the intersection of the unit circles in  $\mathbb{C}$  centred at 0 and  $\mu_1$ , proving (ii).

Therefore, from now on, if  $D$  is diagonal as above, we assume that  $\mu_1 \neq \mu_2$ , and we proceed with proving (i).

( $\implies$ ) We assume first that  $\mathrm{Tr}(C)$  is not the sum of at most two roots of unity, and we want to show that, up to conjugacy, there are finitely many torsion solutions to (2). Let  $(A, B)$  be such a solution, and let  $\mathrm{Spec}(A) = \{\lambda_1, \lambda_2\}$  and  $\mathrm{Spec}(B) = \{\eta_1, \eta_2\}$ . Taking the trace of (2), we obtain the following equation in roots of unity:

$$(3) \quad \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \eta_1 + \eta_2 = \mathrm{Tr}(C).$$

If  $\mathrm{Tr}(C)$  is not an algebraic number, (3) has no solution  $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \eta_1, \eta_2)$  in roots of unity, therefore from now on we assume  $\mathrm{Tr}(C)$  to be algebraic over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Moreover, if  $\mathrm{Tr}(C) = 0$ , then it is the sum of two roots of unity, say 1 and  $-1$ , which contradicts our assumption. Therefore, we also have that  $\mathrm{Tr}(C) \neq 0$ .

We can apply now [2, Theorem 1] (see also [5, 7]) to conclude that there are finitely many non-degenerate solutions  $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \eta_1, \eta_2)$  in roots of unity to (3), that is, for which there is no vanishing subsum. Therefore such solutions lead to finitely many torsion matrices  $A$  up to conjugacy and the same for  $B$ .

We consider now the possible vanishing subsums in (3), which up to symmetry, are as follows:

- (i)  $\lambda_1 = \mathrm{Tr}(C)$  and  $\lambda_2 + \eta_1 + \eta_2 = 0$  (same discussion applies if  $\lambda_1$  is replaced by  $\lambda_2$ ; if  $\lambda_1$  is replaced by any of  $\eta_1$  or  $\eta_2$ , same discussion applies with  $A$  interchanged with  $B$ ).
- (ii)  $\lambda_1 + \eta_1 = \mathrm{Tr}(C)$  and  $\lambda_2 + \eta_2 = 0$  (same discussion applies if  $\lambda_1 + \eta_1$  is replaced by any combination  $\lambda_i + \eta_j$  with  $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$ ).

- (iii)  $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = \text{Tr}(C)$  and  $\eta_1 + \eta_2 = 0$  (if  $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2$  is replaced by  $\eta_1 + \eta_2$ , same discussion applies with  $A$  interchanged with  $B$ ).

However, in all these cases we note that  $\text{Tr}(C)$  is the sum of at most two roots of unity, which contradicts our assumption. This concludes the proof of this implication.

( $\Leftarrow$ ) We assume now that the torsion solutions to (2) are contained in finitely many pairs of conjugacy classes. We want to show that  $\text{Tr}(C)$  is not the sum of at most two roots of unity. It is enough to construct examples when  $\text{Tr}(C)$  is a root of unity or the sum of two roots of unity that lead to infinitely many nonsimilar torsion matrices  $A, B$  that satisfy (2).

*Example 1:* Assume  $\text{Tr}(C)$  is a root of unity. Let  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \eta_1, \eta_2$  be roots of unity that satisfy

$$\lambda_1 = \text{Tr}(C) \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_2 + \eta_1 + \eta_2 = 0$$

(that is, we are in case (i) above).

Therefore  $\lambda_1$  is uniquely defined, and the second equation above implies that

$$(-\eta_1/\lambda_2, -\eta_2/\lambda_2) \in \{e^{\pm\pi i/3}, e^{\mp\pi i/3}\}.$$

However, if one varies  $\lambda_2$  over all roots of unity, one can construct matrices  $A$  with  $\text{Spec}(A) = \{\lambda_1, \lambda_2\}$  and  $B$  with  $\text{Spec}(B) = \{\eta_1, \eta_2\}$  satisfying the above system. Indeed, let  $\lambda_2 \neq \lambda_1$  be any root of unity,  $\eta_1 = -e^{\pi i/3}\lambda_2$  and  $\eta_2 = -e^{-\pi i/3}\lambda_2$ .

If  $D$  in (1) is diagonal, the matrix

$$(4) \quad A = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 - d & d(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 - d) - \lambda_1\lambda_2 \\ 1 & d \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$d = \frac{\lambda_2^2 - \lambda_1\lambda_2 - \mu_1\mu_2 + (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)\mu_2}{\mu_2 - \mu_1},$$

satisfies  $\text{Spec}(A) = \{\lambda_1, \lambda_2\}$  and  $B = C - A$  satisfies  $\text{Spec}(B) = \{\eta_1, \eta_2\}$ . As  $\lambda_2$  varies over all roots of unity, we obtain infinitely many matrices  $A$  and  $B$  which are not similar.

Similarly, if  $D$  in (1) has the second Jordan form with eigenvalue  $\mu$ , then one can construct

$$(5) \quad A = \begin{pmatrix} 2\mu & 0 \\ \lambda_2^2 + \mu^2 - \mu\lambda_2 & \lambda_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

For such  $A$  one has  $\text{Spec}(A) = \{\lambda_1, \lambda_2\}$  and  $B = C - A$  satisfies  $\text{Spec}(B) = \{\eta_1, \eta_2\}$ .

*Example 2:* Assume  $\text{Tr}(C)$  is a sum of two roots of unity. If  $\text{Tr}(C) = 0$  (which also falls in this case), then (3) becomes

$$\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \eta_1 + \eta_2 = 0,$$

which clearly has infinitely many torsion solutions  $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \eta_1, \eta_2)$ , and thus one can construct infinitely many non-similar torsion matrices  $A$  and  $B$ .

We give now a construction when  $\text{Tr}(C) \neq 0$ . Let  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \eta_1, \eta_2$  be roots of unity that satisfy

$$\lambda_1 + \eta_1 = \text{Tr}(C) \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_2 + \eta_2 = 0$$

(that is, we are in case (ii) above).

From the first equation  $\lambda_1 + \eta_1 = \text{Tr}(C)$ , since  $\text{Tr}(C) \neq 0$ , we have at most two solutions  $(\lambda_1, \eta_1)$  in roots of unity satisfying this equation. Let us fix one such torsion pair  $(\lambda_1, \eta_1)$  such that  $\lambda_1 + \eta_1 = \text{Tr}(C)$ .

However, as above, if one varies  $\lambda_2$  over all roots of unity, one can construct matrices  $A$  with  $\text{Spec}(A) = \{\lambda_1, \lambda_2\}$  and  $B$  with  $\text{Spec}(B) = \{\eta_1, \eta_2\}$  satisfying the above system. Indeed, let  $\lambda_2 \neq \lambda_1$  be any root of unity.

If  $D$  in (1) is diagonal, let  $A$  be defined by (4), where

$$d = \frac{-\text{Tr}(C)\lambda_2 - \mu_1\mu_2 + (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)\mu_2}{\mu_2 - \mu_1}.$$

Then one has  $\text{Spec}(A) = \{\lambda_1, \lambda_2\}$  and  $B = C - A$  satisfies  $\text{Spec}(B) = \{\eta_1, \eta_2\}$ . As  $\lambda_2$  varies over all roots of unity, we obtain again infinitely many matrices  $A$  and  $B$  which are not similar.

Similarly, if  $D$  in (1) has the second Jordan form with eigenvalue  $\mu$ , then one can construct

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 \\ -\mu^2 + \mu(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) & \lambda_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

For such  $A$  one has  $\text{Spec}(A) = \{\lambda_1, \lambda_2\}$  and  $B = C - A$  satisfies  $\text{Spec}(B) = \{\eta_1, \eta_2\}$ , and thus one obtains infinitely many such non-similar matrices.

Similar construction can be made to create examples for the case (iii) above.

This concludes the proof.  $\square$

We also note that the strategy of the proof can also be used to study torsion solutions of the equation (3) in higher dimension  $n \geq 3$  as well, however one would have to consider all possible vanishing subsums to a linear equation in  $2n$  roots of unity, which becomes quickly very complicated.

We conclude this note with the following consequence.

**Corollary 2.** *Let  $C \in \mathbf{M}_2(\mathbb{C})$  be such that  $\text{Tr}(C)$  is not the sum of at most two roots of unity, and let  $f(Z) = Z^d + C \in \mathbf{M}_2(\mathbb{C})[Z]$  be a polynomial of degree  $d \geq 1$ . Then, up to conjugacy, there are only finitely many torsion matrices  $U$  such that  $f(U)$  is also torsion.*

*Proof.* If  $d = 1$ , then this is exactly one of the implications of the statement of Theorem 1 with  $A$  replaced by  $-U$  therein. Let  $d \geq 2$ . Then we look for torsion specialisations  $U, B \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})$  such that

$$-U^d + B = C.$$

By Theorem 1, there are finitely many pairs of conjugacy classes  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$  such that any torsion solution  $(A, B)$  to  $X + Y = C$  belongs to one of these pairs, and thus, there are finitely many  $U$ , up to conjugacy, as well. This concludes the proof.  $\square$

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author is very grateful to Julian Lawrence Demeio for noticing the gap in the proof of [6, Theorem 1.7], and for follow-up discussions on this topic. The author also thanks Harry Schmidt for raising concerns around the statement of [6, Theorem 1.7].

## REFERENCES

- [1] F. Beukers and C. J. Smyth, ‘Cyclotomic points on curves’, *Number Theory for the Millenium*, I (Urbana, Illinois, 2000), A K Peters, 2002, 67–85. [1](#)
- [2] R. Dvornicich and U. Zannier, ‘On sums of roots of unity’, *Monatsh. Math.*, **129** (2000), 97–108. [2](#)
- [3] J.-H. Evertse and R. Tijdeman, ‘Singular differences of powers of  $2 \times 2$ -matrices’, *Compositio Math.*, **104** (1996), 199–216. [1](#)
- [4] S. Lang, *Fundamentals of Diophantine Geometry*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1983. [1](#)
- [5] H.B. Mann, ‘On linear relations between roots of unity’, *Mathematika*, **12** (1965), 107–117. [2](#)
- [6] A. Ostafe, ‘On a Problem of Lang for Matrix Polynomials’, *Bull. London Math. Soc.*, **54** (2022), 1552–1567. [1](#), [5](#)
- [7] U. Zannier, ‘Vanishing sums of roots of unity’, *Rend Sem Mat Univ Pol Torino*, **53** (1995), 487–495. [2](#)
- [8] U. Zannier, *Lecture notes on Diophantine analysis*, Publ. Scuola Normale Superiore, Pisa, 2009. [1](#)

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF NEW SOUTH WALES,  
SYDNEY NSW 2052, AUSTRALIA

*Email address:* `alina.ostafe@unsw.edu.au`