1. Mark your responses by completely filling in the bubble that represents your response. 
2. Take care to ensure that each of your responses is entered in the correct row. 
3. Your opinions are valued and are used to improve teaching. 
4. Response sheets will be returned to lecturers only after the examination results have been finalised. 
5. Do not write your own name or other identifying information on this sheet.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Responses:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( S^A = \text{Strongly Agree} \quad A = \text{Agree} \quad N = \text{Neutral} \quad D = \text{Disagree} \quad SD = \text{Strongly Disagree} \quad NA = \text{Not Applicable} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1: The lecturer produced classes that were well organised</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2: The lecturer presented material in an interesting way</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3: The lecturer gave adequate feedback on my work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4: The lecturer treated students with respect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5: The lecturer seemed to know the course well</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6: The lecturer communicated his/her enthusiasm for the course</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7: The lecturer emphasised thinking rather than just memorising</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8: The lecturer gave explanations that were clear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9: The lecturer was available for consultation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10: The lecturer helped me to improve my learning skills</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Go To Question 20

20: All things considered, how would you rate this staff member's overall effectiveness as a university teacher?

For Question 20: 5=Outstanding 3=Satisfactory 1=Very Poor

Please answer the other questions on the reverse page. (PTO...)

The data collected by the Evaluation Services Unit may be aggregated and de-identified for institutional research purposes.
Open-ended comments  (Teaching/Tutoring Evaluation)

PLEASE PRINT to preserve anonymity.
The questionnaire will be returned to your lecturer/tutor after the exam period for perusal.

a) What are this staff member's strengths in teaching?
   He knows what MATHEMATICS is.
   Means well.
   Confusing use of a blackboard.

b) What improvements would you suggest?
   Be organised.
   Be involved in the presentation.
   Give clear explanations.

   The overheads were useless.
   Give textbook references.

   Hire staff adequate for teaching a third level subject, I learnt more and better from M6CH 2700. This course was a waste of time.
   Process failed to emphasise thinking, and were thus a waste of time, follow the M6CH 2700 model where no examples were given, and the process were put into a workbook.

   Procrastination.

(c) Do you have any comments or recommendations concerning the use of this questionnaire and its timing?
   Week 10 is a bit early
   Try Week 13 next time.
   Do we get a CUAL for this course?

Your lecturer/tutor takes this evaluation very seriously and thanks you for the time you took to complete it. Teaching and learning are highly related and good communication between staff and students can contribute to improvements in teaching.
The Teaching and Educational Development Institute

NAME: Botev, Mr Zdravko

MECH3750
Mechanical Engineering Analysis II

TE Lecturing

1. Mark your responses by completely filing in the bubble that represents your response.
2. Take care to ensure that each of your responses is entered in the correct row.
3. Your opinions are valued and are used to improve teaching.
4. Response sheets will be returned to lecturers only after the examination results have been finalised.
5. Do not write your own name or other identifying information on this sheet.

SA=Strongly Agree A=Agree N=Neutral D=Disagree SD=Strongly Disagree NA=Not Applicable

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>13</th>
<th>14</th>
<th>15</th>
<th>16</th>
<th>17</th>
<th>18</th>
<th>19</th>
<th>20</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The lecturer produced classes that were well organised</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The lecturer presented material in an interesting way</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The lecturer gave adequate feedback on my work</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The lecturer treated students with respect</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The lecturer seemed to know the course well</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The lecturer communicated his/her enthusiasm for the course</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The lecturer emphasised thinking rather than just memorising</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The lecturer gave explanations that were clear</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The lecturer was available for consultation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The lecturer helped me to improve my learning skills</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All things considered, how would you rate this staff member's overall</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| effectiveness as a university teacher?                                 | For Question 20: 5=Outstanding 3=Satisfactory 1=Very Poor

Please answer the other questions on the reverse page. (PTO...)

The data collected by the Evaluation Services Unit may be aggregated and de-identified for institutional research purposes.
Open-ended comments (Teaching/Tutoring Evaluation)

PLEASE PRINT to preserve anonymity.
The questionnaire will be returned to your lecturer/tutor after the exam period for perusal.

a) What are this staff member's strengths in teaching?

Subject knowledge, enthusiasm. Willing to answer questions & was very helpful with clear explanations. Have a broader understanding of the material & subject rather than the bare minimum. Botev has given me a greater understanding and appreciation of the subject.

b) What improvements would you suggest?

Pay Botev a full-time wage to allow him to fully interact with the students including consultation outside of tutorials & lectures.
Also reduce lectures to no more than 1 hour at a time. 2 hours with a break isn't enough to let brain recover.

c) Do you have any comments or recommendations concerning the use of this questionnaire and its timing?

adequate

Your lecturer/tutor takes this evaluation very seriously and thanks you for the time you took to complete it. Teaching and learning are highly related and good communication between staff and students can contribute to improvements in teaching.
1. Mark your responses by completely filling in the bubble that represents your response.
2. Take care to ensure that each of your responses is entered in the correct row.
3. Your opinions are valued and are used to improve teaching.
4. Response sheets will be returned to lecturers only after the examination results have been finalised.
5. Do not write your own name or other identifying information on this sheet.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Responses:</th>
<th>SA</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>NA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1:</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3:</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4:</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5:</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6:</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7:</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8:</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9:</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13:</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14:</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15:</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16:</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17:</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18:</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19:</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20:</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Go To Question 20

20: All things considered, how would you rate this staff member's overall effectiveness as a university teacher?

For Question 20: 5=Outstanding 3=Satisfactory 1=Very Poor

Please answer the other questions on the reverse page. (PTO...)

The data collected by the Evaluation Services Unit may be aggregated and de-identified for institutional research purposes.
Open-ended comments (Teaching/Tutoring Evaluation)

PLEASE PRINT to preserve anonymity.
The questionnaire will be returned to your lecturer/tutor after the exam period for perusal.

a) What are this staff member's strengths in teaching?

- very intelligent, improved lecturing skills
- as semester progressed
- made stuff more interesting

b) What improvements would you suggest?

- make assignments more challenging technically rather than just a report writing exercise.

c) Do you have any comments or recommendations concerning the use of this questionnaire and its timing?

Your lecturer/tutor takes this evaluation very seriously and thanks you for the time you took to complete it. Teaching and learning are highly related and good communication between staff and students can contribute to improvements in teaching.
1. Mark your responses by completely filling in the bubble that represents your response. 
2. Take care to ensure that each of your responses is entered in the correct row.
3. Your opinions are valued and are used to improve teaching.
4. Response sheets will be returned to lecturers only after the examination results have been finalised.
5. Do not write your own name or other identifying information on this sheet.

SA=Strongly Agree  A=Agree  N=Neutral  D=Disagree  SD=Strongly Disagree  NA=Not Applicable

1: The lecturer produced classes that were well organised
2: The lecturer presented material in an interesting way
3: The lecturer gave adequate feedback on my work.
4: The lecturer treated students with respect
5: The lecturer seemed to know the course well
6: The lecturer communicated his/her enthusiasm for the course
7: The lecturer emphasised thinking rather than just memorising
8: The lecturer gave explanations that were clear
9: The lecturer was available for consultation
10: The lecturer helped me to improve my learning skills

Go To Question 20

20: All things considered, how would you rate this staff member's overall effectiveness as a university teacher?

For Question 20: 5=Outstanding  3=Satisfactory  1=Very Poor

Please answer the other questions on the reverse page. (PTO...)

The data collected by the Evaluation Services Unit may be aggregated and de-identified for institutional research purposes.
Open-ended comments  (Teaching/Tutoring Evaluation)

PLEASE PRINT to preserve anonymity.
The questionnaire will be returned to your lecturer/tutor after the exam period for perusal.

a) What are this staff member's strengths in teaching?

   Extremely intelligent.

b) What improvements would you suggest?

c) Do you have any comments or recommendations concerning the use of this questionnaire and its timing?

Your lecturer/tutor takes this evaluation very seriously and thanks you for the time you took to complete it. Teaching and learning are highly related and good communication between staff and students can contribute to improvements in teaching.
The Teaching and Educational Development Institute  
SUBJECT: MECH3750  
Mechanical Engineering Analysis II  

NAME: Botev, Mr Zdravko  

TE Lecturing  

Date: 9/05/2007  
Time: 1100  

Do not mark this area  

Ref. Num: 49803  

SA=Strongly Agree  A=Agree  N=Neutral  D=Disagree  SD=Strongly Disagree  NA=Not Applicable  

1. Mark your responses by completely filing in the bubble that represents your response.  
2. Take care to ensure that each of your responses is entered in the correct row.  
3. Your opinions are valued and are used to improve teaching.  
4. Response sheets will be returned to lecturers only after the examination results have been finalised.  
5. Do not write your own name or other identifying information on this sheet.  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Responses:</th>
<th>SA</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>NA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1:</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3:</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4:</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5:</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6:</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7:</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8:</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9:</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13:</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14:</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15:</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16:</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17:</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18:</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19:</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20:</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Go To Question 20  

20: All things considered, how would you rate this staff member's overall effectiveness as a university teacher?  

For Question 20: 5=Outstanding  3=Satisfactory  1=Very Poor  

Please answer the other questions on the reverse page. (PTO...)  

The data collected by the Evaluation Services Unit may be aggregated and de-identified for institutional research purposes.
Open-ended comments (Teaching/Tutoring Evaluation)

PLEASE PRINT to preserve anonymity.
The questionnaire will be returned to your lecturer/tutor after the exam period for perusal.

a) What are this staff member's strengths in teaching?

Mr. Botow knew the course content well and was able to produce a number of ways of looking at problems. He was also very approachable, and willing to help out in his spare time, and give feedback on work. Always considerate.

b) What improvements would you suggest?

—

c) Do you have any comments or recommendations concerning the use of this questionnaire and its timing?

—

Your lecturer/tutor takes this evaluation very seriously and thanks you for the time you took to complete it. Teaching and learning are highly related and good communication between staff and students can contribute to improvements in teaching.
Go To Question 20
Open-ended comments  (Teaching/Tutoring Evaluation)

PLEASE PRINT to preserve anonymity.
The questionnaire will be returned to your lecturer/tutor after the exam period for perusal.

a) What are this staff member's strengths in teaching?

Very well organized
knows subject very well.
enthusiastic

b) What improvements would you suggest?

_

c) Do you have any comments or recommendations concerning the use of this questionnaire and its timing?

_

Your lecturer/tutor takes this evaluation very seriously and thanks you for the time you took to complete it. Teaching and learning are highly related and good communication between staff and students can contribute to improvements in teaching.
Go To Question 20

20: All things considered, how would you rate this staff member's overall effectiveness as a university teacher?

For Question 20: 5=Outstanding  3=Satisfactory  1=Very Poor

Please answer the other questions on the reverse page. (PTO...)

The data collected by the Evaluation Services Unit may be aggregated and de-identified for institutional research purposes.
Open-ended comments  (Teaching/Tutoring Evaluation)

PLEASE PRINT to preserve anonymity.
The questionnaire will be returned to your lecturer/tutor after the exam period for perusal.

a) What are this staff member’s strengths in teaching?
   - VERY GOOD KNOWLEDGE OF COURSE
   - GOOD MATLAB CODE

b) What improvements would you suggest?
   - EXPLAIN MATH. DERIVATION MORE SLOWLY & CLEARER ON THE BOARD, USUALLY HARD TO UNDERSTAND & NOT ENOUGH TIME TO COPY & UNDERSTAND WHAT HAS BEEN WRITTEN
   - MORE APPLICATION OF WHAT IS TAUGHT IN ASSIGNMENT.

c) Do you have any comments or recommendations concerning the use of this questionnaire and its timing?

Your lecturer/tutor takes this evaluation very seriously and thanks you for the time you took to complete it. Teaching and learning are highly related and good communication between staff and students can contribute to improvements in teaching.
### 2007 Student Evaluation of Teaching

**NAME:** Botev, Mr Zdravko  
**SUBJECT:** MECH3750  
**TE Lecturing**  
**Ref. Num:** 49803  
**Date:** 9/05/2007

**Time:** 11:00

---

1. Mark your responses by completely filling in the bubble that represents your response.
2. Take care to ensure that each of your responses is entered in the correct row.
3. Your opinions are valued and are used to improve teaching.
4. Response sheets will be returned to lecturers only after the examination results have been finalised.
5. Do not write your own name or other identifying information on this sheet.

---

**SA=Strongly Agree A=Agree N=Neutral D=Disagree SD=Strongly Disagree NA=Not Applicable**

<p>| | | | | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

Go To Question 20

---

20: All things considered, how would you rate this staff member's overall effectiveness as a university teacher?

For Question 20: 5=Outstanding 3=Satisfactory 1=Very Poor

---

Please answer the other questions on the reverse page. (PTO...)

---

The data collected by the Evaluation Services Unit may be aggregated and de-identified for institutional research purposes.
Open-ended comments  (Teaching/Tutoring Evaluation)

PLEASE PRINT to preserve anonymity.  
The questionnaire will be returned to your lecturer/tutor after the exam period for perusal.

a) What are this staff member's strengths in teaching?
   - Excellent knowledge

b) What improvements would you suggest?
   - pace the content slower
   - make notes on blackboard during lecture be aid online

(c) Do you have any comments or recommendations concerning the use of this questionnaire and its timing?

Your lecturer/tutor takes this evaluation very seriously and thanks you for the time you took to complete it. Teaching and learning are highly related and good communication between staff and students can contribute to improvements in teaching.
1. The lecturer produced classes that were well organised
2. The lecturer presented material in an interesting way
3. The lecturer gave adequate feedback on my work
4. The lecturer treated students with respect
5. The lecturer seemed to know the course well
6. The lecturer communicated his/her enthusiasm for the course
7. The lecturer emphasised thinking rather than just memorising
8. The lecturer gave explanations that were clear
9. The lecturer was available for consultation
10. The lecturer helped me to improve my learning skills

Go To Question 20

20: All things considered, how would you rate this staff member's overall effectiveness as a university teacher?

For Question 20: 5 = Outstanding 3 = Satisfactory 1 = Very Poor

Please answer the other questions on the reverse page. (PTO...)

The data collected by the Evaluation Services Unit may be aggregated and de-identified for institutional research purposes.
Open-ended comments (Teaching/Tutoring Evaluation)

PLEASE PRINT to preserve anonymity.
The questionnaire will be returned to your lecturer/tutor after the exam period for perusal.

a) What are this staff member's strengths in teaching?
   - he seemed like he enjoyed the course alot
   - tries to make jokes.

b) What improvements would you suggest?
   - use notation we are familiar with, in particular for Taylor's theorem


c) Do you have any comments or recommendations concerning the use of this questionnaire and its timing?
   - fine

Your lecturer/tutor takes this evaluation very seriously and thanks you for the time you took to complete it. Teaching and learning are highly related and good communication between staff and students can contribute to improvements in teaching.
1. Mark your responses by completely filling in the bubble that represents your response.
2. Take care to ensure that each of your responses is entered in the correct row.
3. Your opinions are valued and are used to improve teaching.
4. Response sheets will be returned to lecturers only after the examination results have been finalised.
5. Do not write your own name or other identifying information on this sheet.

**SA=Strongly Agree A=Agree N=Neutral D=Disagree SD=Strongly Disagree NA=Not Applicable**

1: The lecturer produced classes that were well organised
2: The lecturer presented material in an interesting way
3: The lecturer gave adequate feedback on my work
4: The lecturer treated students with respect
5: The lecturer seemed to know the course well
6: The lecturer communicated his/her enthusiasm for the course
7: The lecturer emphasised thinking rather than just memorising
8: The lecturer gave explanations that were clear
9: The lecturer was available for consultation
10: The lecturer helped me to improve my learning skills
11:
12:
13:
14:
15:
16:
17:
18:
19:

Go To Question 20

20: All things considered, how would you rate this staff member's overall effectiveness as a university teacher?

For Question 20: 5=Outstanding 3=Satisfactory 1=Very Poor

Please answer the other questions on the reverse page. (PTO...)

The data collected by the Evaluation Services Unit may be aggregated and de-identified for institutional research purposes.
Open-ended comments  (Teaching/Tutoring Evaluation)

PLEASE PRINT to preserve anonymity.
The questionnaire will be returned to your lecturer/tutor after the exam period for perusal.

a) What are this staff member’s strengths in teaching?

He knows his stuff!

b) What improvements would you suggest?

Make the content a bit more interesting and
give more real world applications. Make this
stuff relative to reality.

c) Do you have any comments or recommendations concerning the use of this questionnaire
and its timing?

Your lecturer/tutor takes this evaluation very seriously and thanks you for the time you took to complete it. Teaching and learning are highly related and good communication between staff and students can contribute to improvements in teaching.
1. Mark your responses by completely filling in the bubble that represents your response.
2. Take care to ensure that each of your responses is entered in the correct row.
3. Your opinions are valued and are used to improve teaching.
4. Response sheets will be returned to lecturers only after the examination results have been finalised.
5. Do not write your own name or other identifying information on this sheet.

SA=Strongly Agree  A=Agree  N=Neutral  D=Disagree  SD=Strongly Disagree  NA=Not Applicable

1: The lecturer produced classes that were well organised
2: The lecturer presented material in an interesting way
3: The lecturer gave adequate feedback on my work.
4: The lecturer treated students with respect
5: The lecturer seemed to know the course well
6: The lecturer communicated his/her enthusiasm for the course
7: The lecturer emphasised thinking rather than just memorising
8: The lecturer gave explanations that were clear
9: The lecturer was available for consultation
10: The lecturer helped me to improve my learning skills
11:
12:
13:
14:
15:
16:
17:
18:
19:
20: All things considered, how would you rate this staff member's overall effectiveness as a university teacher?

For Question 20  5=Outstanding  3=Satisfactory  1=Very Poor

Go To Question 20

Date: 9/05/2007
Time: 1100

Ref. Num: 49803

33883

The data collected by the Evaluation Services Unit may be aggregated and de-identified for institutional research purposes.
Open-ended comments (Teaching/Tutoring Evaluation)

PLEASE PRINT to preserve anonymity.
The questionnaire will be returned to your lecturer/tutor after the exam period for perusal.

a) What are this staff member's strengths in teaching?

Good All round

Cool Acct

Taylor Series got a bit repetitious

- but that's hardly your fault.

b) What improvements would you suggest?

Longer Prac!

No human being can do these prac sheets in one hour

- get more tutors for the comp session

Give more time for people to copy down your stuff!

c) Do you have any comments or recommendations concerning the use of this questionnaire and its timing?

(6) Why do you always ask this?

Your lecturer/tutor takes this evaluation very seriously and thanks you for the time you took to complete it. Teaching and learning are highly related and good communication between staff and students can contribute to improvements in teaching.
1. Mark your responses by completely filing in the bubble that represents your response. 
2. Take care to ensure that each of your responses is entered in the correct row. 
3. Your opinions are valued and are used to improve teaching. 
4. Response sheets will be returned to lecturers only after the examination results have been finalised. 
5. Do not write your own name or other identifying information on this sheet.

SA=Strongly Agree A=Agree N=Neutral D=Disagree SD=Strongly Disagree NA=Not Applicable

1: The lecturer produced classes that were well organised
2: The lecturer presented material in an interesting way
3: The lecturer gave adequate feedback on my work
4: The lecturer treated students with respect
5: The lecturer seemed to know the course well
6: The lecturer communicated his/her enthusiasm for the course
7: The lecturer emphasised thinking rather than just memorising
8: The lecturer gave explanations that were clear
9: The lecturer was available for consultation
10: The lecturer helped me to improve my learning skills

Go To Question 20

20: All things considered, how would you rate this staff member's overall effectiveness as a university teacher?

For Question 20: 5=Outstanding 3=Satisfactory 1=Very Poor

Please answer the other questions on the reverse page. (PTO...)

The data collected by the Evaluation Services Unit may be aggregated and de-identified for institutional research purposes.
Open-ended comments  (Teaching/Tutoring Evaluation)

PLEASE PRINT to preserve anonymity.
The questionnaire will be returned to your lecturer/tutor after the exam period for perusal.

a) What are this staff member's strengths in teaching?

Offers very thorough explanations.

b) What improvements would you suggest?

Using Powerpoint to conduct lectures instead of writing on the blackboard.

c) Do you have any comments or recommendations concerning the use of this questionnaire and its timing?

Your lecturer/tutor takes this evaluation very seriously and thanks you for the time you took to complete it. Teaching and learning are highly related and good communication between staff and students can contribute to improvements in teaching.
The Teaching and Educational Development Institute

SUBJECT: MECH3750
Mechanical Engineering Analysis II

NAME: Botev, Mr Zdravko
TE Lecturing

Date: 9/05/2007
Time: 1100

Do not mark this area
Ref. Num: 49803

1. Mark your responses by completely filling in the bubble that represents your response.
2. Take care to ensure that each of your responses is entered in the correct row.
3. Your opinions are valued and are used to improve teaching.
4. Response sheets will be returned to lecturers only after the examination results have been finalised.
5. Do not write your own name or other identifying information on this sheet.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Responses:</th>
<th>SA</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>NA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1:</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3:</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4:</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5:</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6:</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7:</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8:</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9:</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13:</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14:</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15:</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16:</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17:</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18:</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19:</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20:</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>o</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Go To Question 20

20: All things considered, how would you rate this staff member's overall effectiveness as a university teacher?

For Question 20: 5=Outstanding 3=Satisfactory 1=Very Poor

Please answer the other questions on the reverse page. (PTO...)

The data collected by the Evaluation Services Unit may be aggregated and de-identified for institutional research purposes.
Open-ended comments (Teaching/Tutoring Evaluation)

PLEASE PRINT to preserve anonymity.
The questionnaire will be returned to your lecturer/tutor after the exam period for perusal.

a) What are this staff member's strengths in teaching?

It's the course material that makes it boring.

b) What improvements would you suggest?

Change course material. Leave the pure maths to the mathematicians (who got it right 200 years ago) and focus on methods to solve problems.

c) Do you have any comments or recommendations concerning the use of this questionnaire and its timing?

Your lecturer/tutor takes this evaluation very seriously and thanks you for the time you took to complete it. Teaching and learning are highly related and good communication between staff and students can contribute to improvements in teaching.
The Teaching and Educational Development Institute

SUBJECT: MECH3750
Mechanical Engineering Analysis II

NAME: Botev, Mr Zdravko

TE Lecturing

Date: 9/05/2007
Time: 1100

Do not mark this area

Ref. Num: 49803

1. Mark your responses by completely filing in the bubble that represents your response.
2. Take care to ensure that each of your responses is entered in the correct row.
3. Your opinions are valued and are used to improve teaching.
4. Response sheets will be returned to lecturers only after the examination results have been finalised.
5. Do not write your own name or other identifying information on this sheet.

SA = Strongly Agree  A = Agree  N = Neutral  D = Disagree  SD = Strongly Disagree  NA = Not Applicable

1: The lecturer produced classes that were well organised
2: The lecturer presented material in an interesting way
3: The lecturer gave adequate feedback on my work
4: The lecturer treated students with respect
5: The lecturer seemed to know the course well
6: The lecturer communicated his/her enthusiasm for the course
7: The lecturer emphasised thinking rather than just memorising
8: The lecturer gave explanations that were clear
9: The lecturer was available for consultation
10: The lecturer helped me to improve my learning skills
11:
12:
13:
14:
15:
16:
17:
18:
19:

Go To Question 20

20: All things considered, how would you rate this staff member's overall effectiveness as a university teacher?

For Question 20: 5 = Outstanding  3 = Satisfactory  1 = Very Poor

Please answer the other questions on the reverse page. (PTO...)

The data collected by the Evaluation Services Unit may be aggregated and de-identified for institutional research purposes.
Open-ended comments (Teaching/Tutoring Evaluation)

PLEASE PRINT to preserve anonymity.
The questionnaire will be returned to your lecturer/tutor after the exam period for perusal.

a) What are this staff member's strengths in teaching?

b) What improvements would you suggest?

- Examples relevant to real life scenarios or sample exam questions done in lectures whilst explaining theory might make learning relevant topics easier to understand.
- 2 hour lectures are too long at the best of times. They are particularly long when the 10 minute break given in the middle is only 5 minutes and when they don't finish until right on the hour sometimes even after.

c) Do you have any comments or recommendations concerning the use of this questionnaire and its timing?

Your lecturer/tutor takes this evaluation very seriously and thanks you for the time you took to complete it. Teaching and learning are highly related and good communication between staff and students can contribute to improvements in teaching.
The Teaching and Educational Development Institute

SUBJECT: MECH3750
Mechanical Engineering Analysis II

NAME: Botev, Mr Zdravko

2007 Student Evaluation of Teaching

Date: 9/05/2007
Time: 1100

Responses:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SA</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>NA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Mark your responses by completely filling in the bubble that represents your response.
2. Take care to ensure that each of your responses is entered in the correct row.
3. Your opinions are valued and are used to improve teaching.
4. Response sheets will be returned to lecturers only after the examination results have been finalised.
5. Do not write your own name or other identifying information on this sheet.

SA=Strongly Agree A=Agree N=Neutral D=Disagree SD=Strongly Disagree NA=Not Applicable

1: The lecturer produced classes that were well organised
2: The lecturer presented material in an interesting way
3: The lecturer gave adequate feedback on my work
4: The lecturer treated students with respect
5: The lecturer seemed to know the course well
6: The lecturer communicated his/her enthusiasm for the course
7: The lecturer emphasised thinking rather than just memorising
8: The lecturer gave explanations that were clear
9: The lecturer was available for consultation
10: The lecturer helped me to improve my learning skills

Go To Question 20

20: All things considered, how would you rate this staff member's overall effectiveness as a university teacher?

For Question 20: 5=Outstanding 3=Satisfactory 1=Very Poor

Please answer the other questions on the reverse page. (PTO...)

The data collected by the Evaluation Services Unit may be aggregated and de-identified for institutional research purposes.
Open-ended comments  (Teaching/Tutoring Evaluation)

PLEASE PRINT to preserve anonymity.
The questionnaire will be returned to your lecturer/tutor after the exam period for perusal.

a) What are this staff member's strengths in teaching?

b) What improvements would you suggest?

- MAKE SKELETAL NOTES WHERE WE CAN FILL IN BLANK SPACES
  WITH EXAMPLES

c) Do you have any comments or recommendations concerning the use of this questionnaire and its timing?


Your lecturer/tutor takes this evaluation very seriously and thanks you for the time you took to complete it. Teaching and learning are highly related and good communication between staff and students can contribute to improvements in teaching.
1. Mark your responses by completely filling in the bubble that represents your response.
2. Take care to ensure that each of your responses is entered in the correct row.
3. Your opinions are valued and are used to improve teaching.
4. Response sheets will be returned to lecturers only after the examination results have been finalised.
5. Do not write your own name or other identifying information on this sheet.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Responses:</th>
<th>SA</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>NA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. The lecturer produced classes that were well organised</td>
<td>1:</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. The lecturer presented material in an interesting way</td>
<td>2:</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. The lecturer gave adequate feedback on my work</td>
<td>3:</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. The lecturer treated students with respect</td>
<td>4:</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. The lecturer seemed to know the course well</td>
<td>5:</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. The lecturer communicated his/her enthusiasm for the course</td>
<td>6:</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. The lecturer emphasised thinking rather than just memorising</td>
<td>7:</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. The lecturer gave explanations that were clear</td>
<td>8:</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. The lecturer was available for consultation</td>
<td>9:</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. The lecturer helped me to improve my learning skills</td>
<td>10:</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20: All things considered, how would you rate this staff member's overall effectiveness as a university teacher?</td>
<td>20:</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For Question 20: 5=Outstanding 3=Satisfactory 1=Very Poor

Please answer the other questions on the reverse page. (PTO...)

The data collected by the Evaluation Services Unit may be aggregated and de-identified for institutional research purposes.
Open-ended comments (Teaching/Tutoring Evaluation)

PLEASE PRINT to preserve anonymity.
The questionnaire will be returned to your lecturer/tutor after the exam period for perusal.

a) What are this staff member's strengths in teaching?

   CLARITY OF KNOWLEDGE

b) What improvements would you suggest?

   ➢ SKELETAL NOTES AVAILABLE FROM POD
   ➢ MORE EXAMPLES

c) Do you have any comments or recommendations concerning the use of this questionnaire and its timing?

Your lecturer/tutor takes this evaluation very seriously and thanks you for the time you took to complete it. Teaching and learning are highly related and good communication between staff and students can contribute to improvements in teaching.
**2007 Student Evaluation of Teaching**

**NAME:** Botev, Mr Zdravko  
**SUBJECT:** MECH3750  
**Mechanical Engineering Analysis II**  
**TE Lecturing**

---

1. Mark your responses by completely filling in the bubble that represents your response.  
2. Take care to ensure that each of your responses is entered in the correct row.  
3. Your opinions are valued and are used to improve teaching.  
4. Response sheets will be returned to lecturers only after the examination results have been finalised.  
5. Do not write your own name or other identifying information on this sheet.

---

**SA=Strongly Agree A=Agree N=Neutral D=Disagree SD=Strongly Disagree NA=Not Applicable**

<p>| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Responses:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SA</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>NA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Go To Question 20**

---

**20:** All things considered, how would you rate this staff member's overall effectiveness as a university teacher?

---

**For Question 20:** 5=Outstanding 3=Satisfactory 1=Very Poor

---

Please answer the other questions on the reverse page. (PTO...)

---

The data collected by the Evaluation Services Unit may be aggregated and de-identified for institutional research purposes.
a) What are this staff member's strengths in teaching?

Great knowledge.

b) What improvements would you suggest?

Explain the maths a bit better, go over basic principles in depth so that further discussion makes sense.

c) Do you have any comments or recommendations concerning the use of this questionnaire and its timing?

Your lecturer/tutor takes this evaluation very seriously and thanks you for the time you took to complete it. Teaching and learning are highly related and good communication between staff and students can contribute to improvements in teaching.
1. Mark your responses by completely filling in the bubble that represents your response.
2. Take care to ensure that each of your responses is entered in the correct row.
3. Your opinions are valued and are used to improve teaching.
4. Response sheets will be returned to lecturers only after the examination results have been finalised.
5. Do not write your own name or other identifying information on this sheet.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Responses:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SA</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Go To Question 20

All things considered, how would you rate this staff member's overall effectiveness as a university teacher?

For Question 20: 5=Outstanding 3=Satisfactory 1=Very Poor

Please answer the other questions on the reverse page. (PTO...)

The data collected by the Evaluation Services Unit may be aggregated and de-identified for institutional research purposes.
Open-ended comments  (Teaching/Tutoring Evaluation)

PLEASE PRINT to preserve anonymity.
The questionnaire will be returned to your lecturer/tutor after the exam period for perusal.

a) What are this staff member’s strengths in teaching?

b) What improvements would you suggest?

  More explanations of all principles esp. simple principle.
  Possibly expanding the contact hours of the course.
  As lectures had a lot crammed into them.

c) Do you have any comments or recommendations concerning the use of this questionnaire and its timing?

  No.

Your lecturer/tutor takes this evaluation very seriously and thanks you for the time you took to complete it. Teaching and learning are highly related and good communication between staff and students can contribute to improvements in teaching.
1. Mark your responses by completely filling in the bubble that represents your response. □ □ □ □ □
2. Take care to ensure that each of your responses is entered in the correct row.
3. Your opinions are valued and are used to improve teaching.
4. Response sheets will be returned to lecturers only after the examination results have been finalised.
5. Do not write your own name or other identifying information on this sheet.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Responses:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA</td>
<td>Strongly Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>The lecturer produced classes that were well organised</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>The lecturer presented material in an interesting way</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>The lecturer gave adequate feedback on my work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>The lecturer treated students with respect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>The lecturer seemed to know the course well</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>The lecturer communicated his/her enthusiasm for the course</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>The lecturer emphasised thinking rather than just memorising</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>The lecturer gave explanations that were clear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>The lecturer was available for consultation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>The lecturer helped me to improve my learning skills</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Responses" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Responses" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Responses" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Responses" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Responses" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Responses" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Responses" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Responses" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Responses" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>All things considered, how would you rate this staff member's overall effectiveness as a university teacher?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For Question 20: 5=Outstanding 3=Satisfactory 1=Very Poor

Please answer the other questions on the reverse page. (PTO...)

The data collected by the Evaluation Services Unit may be aggregated and de-identified for institutional research purposes.
Open-ended comments  (Teaching/Tutoring Evaluation)

PLEASE PRINT to preserve anonymity.
The questionnaire will be returned to your lecturer/tutor after the exam period for perusal.

a) What are this staff member's strengths in teaching?

   Good knowledge of course.
   Good lecture notes.

b) What improvements would you suggest?

c) Do you have any comments or recommendations concerning the use of this questionnaire and its timing?

Your lecturer/tutor takes this evaluation very seriously and thanks you for the time you took to complete it. Teaching and learning are highly related and good communication between staff and students can contribute to improvements in teaching.
The Teaching and Educational Development Institute

SUBJECT: MECH3750
Mechanical Engineering Analysis II

NAME: Botev, Mr Zdravko

TE Lecturing

Date: 9/05/2007
Time: 1100

Do not mark this area

Ref. Num: 49803

Responses:
SA A N D SD NA
5 4 3 2 1 0

1: The lecturer produced classes that were well organised
2: The lecturer presented material in an interesting way
3: The lecturer gave adequate feedback on my work
4: The lecturer treated students with respect
5: The lecturer seemed to know the course well
6: The lecturer communicated his/her enthusiasm for the course
7: The lecturer emphasised thinking rather than just memorising
8: The lecturer gave explanations that were clear
9: The lecturer was available for consultation
10: The lecturer helped me to improve my learning skills
11:
12:
13:
14:
15:
16:
17:
18:
19:

Go To Question 20

20: All things considered, how would you rate this staff member's overall effectiveness as a university teacher?

For Question 20: 5=Outstanding 3=Satisfactory 1=Very Poor

Please answer the other questions on the reverse page. (PTO...)

The data collected by the Evaluation Services Unit may be aggregated and de-identified for institutional research purposes.
Open-ended comments  (Teaching/Tutoring Evaluation)

PLEASE PRINT to preserve anonymity.
The questionnaire will be returned to your lecturer/tutor after the exam period for perusal.

a) What are this staff member's strengths in teaching?

knowledge.

b) What improvements would you suggest?

better slides.

c) Do you have any comments or recommendations concerning the use of this questionnaire and its timing?

No.

Your lecturer/tutor takes this evaluation very seriously and thanks you for the time you took to complete it. Teaching and learning are highly related and good communication between staff and students can contribute to improvements in teaching.
1. Mark your responses by completely filling in the bubble that represents your response.
2. Take care to ensure that each of your responses is entered in the correct row.
3. Your opinions are valued and are used to improve teaching.
4. Response sheets will be returned to lecturers only after the examination results have been finalised.
5. Do not write your own name or other identifying information on this sheet.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Responses:</th>
<th>SA</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>NA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| 1:          | The lecturer produced classes that were well organised |
| 2:          | The lecturer presented material in an interesting way |
| 3:          | The lecturer gave adequate feedback on my work |
| 4:          | The lecturer treated students with respect |
| 5:          | The lecturer seemed to know the course well |
| 6:          | The lecturer communicated his/her enthusiasm for the course |
| 7:          | The lecturer emphasised thinking rather than just memorising |
| 8:          | The lecturer gave explanations that were clear |
| 9:          | The lecturer was available for consultation |
| 10:         | The lecturer helped me to improve my learning skills |
| 11:         | |
| 12:         | |
| 13:         | |
| 14:         | |
| 15:         | |
| 16:         | |
| 17:         | |
| 18:         | |
| 19:         | |

Go To Question 20

20: All things considered, how would you rate this staff member's overall effectiveness as a university teacher?

For Question 20: 5=Outstanding 3=Satisfactory 1=Very Poor

Please answer the other questions on the reverse page. (PTO...)

The data collected by the Evaluation Services Unit may be aggregated and de-identified for institutional research purposes.
Open-ended comments  (Teaching/Tutoring Evaluation)

PLEASE PRINT to preserve anonymity.
The questionnaire will be returned to your lecturer/tutor after the exam period for perusal.

a) What are this staff member's strengths in teaching?

He can write and speak quite clearly and appears to have a good knowledge of the subject.

b) What improvements would you suggest?

Make the course more interesting (if possible)

c) Do you have any comments or recommendations concerning the use of this questionnaire and its timing?

No.

Your lecturer/tutor takes this evaluation very seriously and thanks you for the time you took to complete it. Teaching and learning are highly related and good communication between staff and students can contribute to improvements in teaching.
The Teaching and Educational Development Institute

SUBJECT: MECH3750
Mechanical Engineering Analysis II

NAME: Botev, Mr Zdravko

TE Lecturing

Responses:

SA=Strongly Agree  A=Agree  N=Neutral  D=Disagree  SD=Strongly Disagree  NA=Not Applicable

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SA</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>NA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Go To Question 20

20: All things considered, how would you rate this staff member's overall effectiveness as a university teacher?

For Question 20: 5=Outstanding  3=Satisfactory  1=Very Poor

Please answer the other questions on the reverse page. (PTO...)

The data collected by the Evaluation Services Unit may be aggregated and de-identified for institutional research purposes.
Open-ended comments  (Teaching/Tutoring Evaluation)

PLEASE PRINT to preserve anonymity.
The questionnaire will be returned to your lecturer/tutor after the exam period for perusal.

a) What are this staff member's strengths in teaching?

Very good knowledge of material.

b) What improvements would you suggest?

Get room with air con!

c) Do you have any comments or recommendations concerning the use of this questionnaire and its timing?

Your lecturer/tutor takes this evaluation very seriously and thanks you for the time you took to complete it. Teaching and learning are highly related and good communication between staff and students can contribute to improvements in teaching.
NAME: Botev, Mr Zdravko

MECH3750
Mechanical Engineering Analysis II

TE Lecturing

1. Mark your responses by completely filling in the bubble that represents your response.
2. Take care to ensure that each of your responses is entered in the correct row.
3. Your opinions are valued and are used to improve teaching.
4. Response sheets will be returned to lecturers only after the examination results have been finalised.
5. Do not write your own name or other identifying information on this sheet.

SA=Strongly Agree A=Agree N=Neutral D=Disagree SD=Strongly Disagree NA=Not Applicable

1: The lecturer produced classes that were well organised
2: The lecturer presented material in an interesting way
3: The lecturer gave adequate feedback on my work
4: The lecturer treated students with respect
5: The lecturer seemed to know the course well
6: The lecturer communicated his/her enthusiasm for the course
7: The lecturer emphasised thinking rather than just memorising
8: The lecturer gave explanations that were clear
9: The lecturer was available for consultation
10: The lecturer helped me to improve my learning skills

Go To Question 20

20: All things considered, how would you rate this staff member's overall effectiveness as a university teacher?

For Question 20: 5=Outstanding 3=Satisfactory 1=Very Poor

Please answer the other questions on the reverse page. (PTO...)

The data collected by the Evaluation Services Unit may be aggregated and de-identified for institutional research purposes.
Open-ended comments  (Teaching/Tutoring Evaluation)

PLEASE PRINT to preserve anonymity.
The questionnaire will be returned to your lecturer/tutor after the exam period for perusal.

a) What are this staff member's strengths in teaching?

          Great posture

b) What improvements would you suggest?

          Absolutely nothing.

c) Do you have any comments or recommendations concerning the use of this questionnaire and its timing?

          No.

Your lecturer/tutor takes this evaluation very seriously and thanks you for the time you took to complete it. Teaching and learning are highly related and good communication between staff and students can contribute to improvements in teaching.
1. The lecturer produced classes that were well organised
2. The lecturer presented material in an interesting way
3. The lecturer gave adequate feedback on my work.
4. The lecturer treated students with respect
5. The lecturer seemed to know the course well
6. The lecturer communicated his/her enthusiasm for the course
7. The lecturer emphasised thinking rather than just memorising
8. The lecturer gave explanations that were clear
9. The lecturer was available for consultation
10. The lecturer helped me to improve my learning skills

Go To Question 20

20: All things considered, how would you rate this staff member's overall effectiveness as a university teacher?

For Question 20: 5=Outstanding 3=Satisfactory 1=Very Poor

Please answer the other questions on the reverse page. (PTO...)

The data collected by the Evaluation Services Unit may be aggregated and de-identified for institutional research purposes.
Open-ended comments  (Teaching/Tutoring Evaluation)

PLEASE PRINT to preserve anonymity.
The questionnaire will be returned to your lecturer/tutor after the exam period for perusal.

a) What are this staff member's strengths in teaching?

He seemed to know course very well.

b) What improvements would you suggest?

consultation time

c) Do you have any comments or recommendations concerning the use of this questionnaire and its timing?

NO

Your lecturer/tutor takes this evaluation very seriously and thanks you for the time you took to complete it. Teaching and learning are highly related and good communication between staff and students can contribute to improvements in teaching.
1. Mark your responses by completely filling in the bubble that represents your response.
2. Take care to ensure that each of your responses is entered in the correct row.
3. Your opinions are valued and are used to improve teaching.
4. Response sheets will be returned to lecturers only after the examination results have been finalised.
5. Do not write your own name or other identifying information on this sheet.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. The lecturer produced classes that were well organised</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. The lecturer presented material in an interesting way</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>O</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. The lecturer gave adequate feedback on my work</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>O</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. The lecturer treated students with respect</td>
<td></td>
<td>O</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. The lecturer seemed to know the course well</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>O</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. The lecturer communicated his/her enthusiasm for the course</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>O</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. The lecturer emphasised thinking rather than just memorising</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>O</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. The lecturer gave explanations that were clear</td>
<td></td>
<td>O</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. The lecturer was available for consultation</td>
<td></td>
<td>O</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. The lecturer helped me to improve my learning skills</td>
<td></td>
<td>O</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20. All things considered, how would you rate this staff member's overall effectiveness as a university teacher?</td>
<td></td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For Question 20: 5=Outstanding 3=Satisfactory 1=Very Poor

Please answer the other questions on the reverse page. (PTO...)
Open-ended comments (Teaching/Tutoring Evaluation)

PLEASE PRINT to preserve anonymity.
The questionnaire will be returned to your lecturer/tutor after the exam period for perusal.

a) What are this staff member's strengths in teaching?
   Mathematical & Matlab knowledge is good.
   Able to show how code is written simply.

b) What improvements would you suggest?
   When writing code to show all steps and
   written function codes.

c) Do you have any comments or recommendations concerning the use of this questionnaire
   and its timing?

Your lecturer/tutor takes this evaluation very seriously and thanks you for the time you took to complete it. Teaching and learning are highly related and good communication between staff and students can contribute to improvements in teaching.
1. Mark your responses by completely filling in the bubble that represents your response.
2. Take care to ensure that each of your responses is entered in the correct row.
3. Your opinions are valued and are used to improve teaching.
4. Response sheets will be returned to lecturers only after the examination results have been finalised.
5. Do not write your own name or other identifying information on this sheet.

**SA=Strongly Agree A=Agree N=Neutral D=Disagree SD=Strongly Disagree NA=Not Applicable**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The lecturer produced classes that were well organised</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The lecturer presented material in an interesting way</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The lecturer gave adequate feedback on my work</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The lecturer treated students with respect</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The lecturer seemed to know the course well</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The lecturer communicated his/her enthusiasm for the course</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The lecturer emphasised thinking rather than just memorising</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The lecturer gave explanations that were clear</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The lecturer was available for consultation</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The lecturer helped me to improve my learning skills</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Go To Question 20
Open-ended comments (Teaching/Tutoring Evaluation)

PLEASE PRINT to preserve anonymity.
The questionnaire will be returned to your lecturer/tutor after the exam period for perusal.

a) What are this staff member's strengths in teaching?
knowledge of the subject.

b) What improvements would you suggest?

c) Do you have any comments or recommendations concerning the use of this questionnaire and its timing?

Your lecturer/tutor takes this evaluation very seriously and thanks you for the time you took to complete it. Teaching and learning are highly related and good communication between staff and students can contribute to improvements in teaching.
The Teaching and Educational Development Institute

NAME: Botev, Mr Zdravko

SUBJECT: MECH3750

Mechanical Engineering Analysis II

TE Lecturing

1. Mark your responses by completely filling in the bubble that represents your response.
2. Take care to ensure that each of your responses is entered in the correct row.
3. Your opinions are valued and are used to improve teaching.
4. Response sheets will be returned to lecturers only after the examination results have been finalised.
5. Do not write your own name or other identifying information on this sheet.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Responses:</th>
<th>SA</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>NA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1: The lecturer produced classes that were well organised
2: The lecturer presented material in an interesting way
3: The lecturer gave adequate feedback on my work.
4: The lecturer treated students with respect
5: The lecturer seemed to know the course well
6: The lecturer communicated his/her enthusiasm for the course
7: The lecturer emphasised thinking rather than just memorising
8: The lecturer gave explanations that were clear
9: The lecturer was available for consultation
10: The lecturer helped me to improve my learning skills

Go To Question 20

20: All things considered, how would you rate this staff member’s overall effectiveness as a university teacher?

For Question 20: 5=Outstanding, 3=Satisfactory, 1=Very Poor

Please answer the other questions on the reverse page. (PTO...)

The data collected by the Evaluation Services Unit may be aggregated and de-identified for institutional research purposes.
Open-ended comments (Teaching/Tutoring Evaluation)

PLEASE PRINT to preserve anonymity.
The questionnaire will be returned to your lecturer/tutor after the exam period for perusal.

a) What are this staff member’s strengths in teaching?

Knew course content well.

b) What improvements would you suggest?

c) Do you have any comments or recommendations concerning the use of this questionnaire and its timing?

Your lecturer/tutor takes this evaluation very seriously and thanks you for the time you took to complete it. Teaching and learning are highly related and good communication between staff and students can contribute to improvements in teaching.